

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
58th Annual Meeting, Buffalo
September 28-30, 2012

Program Name: **The Bloomberg Law Trials**

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly**

- | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 1. | The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. | The program met the stated objectives. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. | Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. | Questions were answered thoroughly. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 5. | The concept of the program has practical application in my work. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 6. | The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7. | The time allotted for the program was adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 8. | The meeting facilities were adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 9. | It was easy to hear the presenter. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 10. | The use of visual aids (powerpoints, etc.) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
58th Annual Meeting, Buffalo
September 28-30, 2012

Program Name: Building the Future with RDA: What's New & What's Next

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly**

- | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 1. | The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. | The program met the stated objectives. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. | Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. | Questions were answered thoroughly. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 5. | The concept of the program has practical application in my work. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 6. | The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7. | The time allotted for the program was adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 8. | The meeting facilities were adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 9. | It was easy to hear the presenter. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 10. | The use of visual aids (powerpoints, etc.) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
58th Annual Meeting, Buffalo
September 28-30, 2012

Program Name: The Guaranty Building and It's Rebirth

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly**

- | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 1. | The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. | The program met the stated objectives. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. | Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. | Questions were answered thoroughly. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 5. | The concept of the program has practical application in my work. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 6. | The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7. | The time allotted for the program was adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 8. | The meeting facilities were adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 9. | It was easy to hear the presenter. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 10. | The use of visual aids (powerpoints, etc.) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Association of Law Libraries of Upstate New York

Program Evaluation Form
58th Annual Meeting, Buffalo
September 28-30, 2012

Program Name: Brownfields: From Eyesore to Gem

**Please respond by circling the applicable number below (left to right):
(1) disagree strongly; (2) disagree; (3) uncertain; (4) agree; (5) agree strongly**

- | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|
| 1. | The objectives and content of the program were clearly described in the registration material. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 2. | The program met the stated objectives. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 3. | Concepts, terms or methodologies were well-explained and/or illustrated. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 4. | Questions were answered thoroughly. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 5. | The concept of the program has practical application in my work. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 6. | The program was theoretical, however it offered information that is of value in professional development. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 7. | The time allotted for the program was adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 8. | The meeting facilities were adequate. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 9. | It was easy to hear the presenter. | 1 2 3 4 5 |
| 10. | The use of visual aids (powerpoints, etc.) enhanced the instructional quality of the program. | 1 2 3 4 5 |

Comments (Please use the back of this form if needed):

What did you like or dislike about this program?

Would you recommend any of the speakers for future ALLUNY programs?

Do you have any suggestions for subjects and/or speakers for future ALLUNY programs?
