To: ALL-SIS Coll Dev Committee (2012-13)

From: Damon Campbell by way of Lisa Junghahn (Ljunghahn@law.harvard.edu)

Re: Coll Dev Committee meeting (2012)

Date: July 22, 2012

I. Action item: who will chair next year?

- a. Email Lisa with any recommendations
- II. We're hoping to work more with the ACQ committee RE surveys and projects
- III. What should we be doing as a committee?
  - a. Do we have any ideas for speakers, programs, etc?
    - i. The committee does a roundtable SIS is limited to how many meetings outside of breakfasts and receptions they can have. There's a limit of 10 slots and we currently have 25..so we'll need to deal with that
    - ii. Pick topics check with Leah RE what's going on with the limit and if this will even stick
      - 1. The round table is arguably of more value than the committee meeting
        - a. The topic for the roundtable can be developed over the course of the year
      - 2. When did the SIS chairs meet?
        - a. Probably Saturday
      - 3. What are the burning things we'd like to talk about at the roundtable?
        - a. Clearing multiple formats and delivering content online where possible and appropriate
          - i. This is happening with serials/looseleafs expensive and time-consuming
          - ii. Govt resources
          - iii. By vendor depending on charge schedule, ease of dealing, etc
        - The impact of cancelations aren't quite apparent yet some titles are canceled/not upd but are still on the shelves – that's the next decision to make.
        - c. What does the collection look like what are some things people are retaining?
        - d. Expectations management letting people know what collection areas the library may not focus on/be responsible for
          - i. Dealing with faculty make them aware of the cost differences between different formats of resources. Some collection decisions will be based mostly on bottom-line. How do we make them aware of this? Expectation management

- Tighten up how many recommended titles we purchased based on how much research the topic is actually getting
- Logic-based collecting. We're not buying this/keeping this because we always have. We need to collect titles and resources because they will be used
  - a. Research **Duncan** Library apparently nearly all digital
  - ABA standards how do they play into our collecting? Volume count used to be a big deal, but no longer
    - i. The survey is more about where your \$ is going nowadays
  - c. Faculty buy-in will be important
- The process of weeding and collection reshaping is going to differ from library to library
  - a. A weeding policy might be helpful
- 4. Collaborative collecting we don't want everyone to get rid of everything all at once and then no one has it
  - a. NELCO, LIPA, FL Libraries all looking at this
    - i. Who will track who has what?
  - b. If there are faculty that utilize
     historical data, weed the items as
     normal but store rather than dispose if
     it's within the faculty's subject area
- More aggressive weeding of outdated materials

   easier access to current materials by nixing
   older material
- 4. What are some things we can do with the other groups there is some room for overlap and consolidation
- IV. What are folks doing with ebooks?
  - a. Title by title collection
    - i. Ebrary law module composed of decade old materials in some cases
    - ii. Lexis has partnered with overdrive
  - b. Materials, in most cases, must be current, but vendors are slow to adopt an online model
  - c. Interactive casebooks are relatively new

- d. West is developing per-view geared toward firms. Treatises. They seem to be reinventing the wheel
- e. Quality can be iffy at times
  - i. Quality of format, sometimes actual content
- f. Actually purchasing a product can be messy
- g. How do we track uses statistically?
  - i. We have to create definitions/labels for different types of electronic products and stick with them
- V. Worries about going digital and being beholden to vendors?
  - a. Real concern even with owned material, as vendors often supply the interface
    - i. You pull away, you must build your own interface
- VI. Possible article on the conversation today new perspective vs more historical perspective
  - a. Send notes to Lisa