ALL-SIS EB Meeting

August 14, 2017

1. Meeting called to order.
2. Motion to approve May minutes: approved.
3. Committee structure
   a. Caroline sent the webmaster the finalized committee roster this morning with board liaisons. This will be up on the website soon.
   b. Caroline requested that each committee (except Local Arrangements, Bluebook and Nominations) identify a vice-chair with intent that the person will become chair in the following year.
4. Highlights from Leadership Training
   a. Caroline attended the SIS leadership training at the AALL annual meeting. Two key themes:
      i. Desire for cross-SIS collaboration
         1. RIPS and ALL-SIS's Legal Research & Sourcebook committee seem to overlap
         2. Posters—RIPS has done a LibGuide on how to do a poster session
      ii. New Knowledge Center is launching in October
   b. Webconference center will soon be available to us at no charge but will require advance coordination
   c. Suggestions for improving responsiveness and participation:
      i. Microvolunteering – one and done
      ii. Phone instead of email
   d. There will be an AALL white paper series. This might be an option for our committee groups.
   e. We must use AALL branding
   f. We are responsible for all costs of A/V, webinars, etc.—this applies to activities during and after the annual meeting.
5. Statistics Committee
   a. Caroline talked with a number of people about ALLStAR (Academic Law Libraries: Statistics, Analytics and Reports). Michelle Hudson is the new chair of the Statistics committee; the initial charge for the committee will be to evaluate the ALLStAR benchmarking project and determine if ALL-SIS should offer a formal endorsement.
6. Programming for Baltimore
   a. Local arrangements:
      i. Janet Sinder, chair of the Local Arrangements committee, is seeking our input on programming for the annual meeting.
      ii. Do we need a reception and a breakfast? Neither was especially very well attended (only ~165 people at the breakfast).
         1. Also people complained that we didn't offer a hot breakfast.
      iii. Caroline will contact Janet—we would like the committee to let us know their thoughts, and recommend which way they'd like to go—either to choose one event or do both.

b. Roundtables and their programs. Are we happy with those? Yes.
   c. What other programming might we want to offer?
        i. It might be nice to do a webinar or something mid-year. We have not used our webinar budget in the past year.
        ii. Anna, as liaison to the Programming committee, will call the chair to discuss.
        iii. The Continuing Education committee and Programming could take this on together.
7. Collaboration
   a. This was really pushed at the SIS Leadership training.
   b. Caroline spoke with Stacey Etheridge—RIPS and the Legal Research & Sourcebook committee could work together. Genevieve will follow up with Caitlin Hunter, chair of LR&S.
   c. All board members will reach out to all chairs and ask them to identify prospective projects/opportunities for collaboration within the SIS and with other SIS. Responses will be due by our October board meeting.
8. Funding and Supporting TS-SIS/OB-SIS reps to ALA.
   a. Last year we pledged $1,000 to TS-SIS; got $502.69 back.
   b. Caroline suggests a resolution from ALL-SIS pushing this obligation back to AALL because it is so fundamental. All board members agree.
   c. If we want to obligate funds, we will need to go to the membership, in keeping with the promise we made at the business meeting.
   d. If we could come up with the language, we could take it to the SIS council.
        i. Genevieve will draft language for a resolution/statement and circulate to the board this week.
9. Strategic Planning: do we need to reconstitute a committee?
   a. Committee is currently disbanded.
   b. Genevieve will share the version with comments to Anna and Amanda and get them into the Dropbox folder.
10. What do we want to accomplish this year?
   a. Many people at AALL want to "put academics back in the Academic SIS." Unclear what that means.
   b. Caroline had a discussion with Elaine Egan, head of PLL, at the annual meeting. Are there areas for collaboration? We want to reduce any kind of "us versus them" mentality. Caroline will follow up with Elaine.
   c. We need to be aware that we serve a special role in representing academic libraries and may need to assert in a more powerful way.
   d. Want to build avenues to breaking barriers between groups.
   e. Might want more support for academic work by librarians. This could mean scholarship or writing support.
        i. Programming on workshoping papers, giving and getting feedback? Could go to Research and Scholarship committee. Amanda will contact chair (Andrew Lang) before September board meeting.
11. Fall board meeting time: Mondays at 2 PM. Next board meeting at September 11 at 2 PM.
12. Adjourned