ALL-SIS EB Meeting

March 5, 2019

Attendees: Anna Lawless-Collins, Amanda Runyon, Caroline Osborne, Lisa Goodman, Ingrid Mattson

Meeting called to Order at 1:33 p.m.

Old business
1. Research and scholarship grant payment structure (Anna)
   a. Proposal: change the payout structure from three payments to two—more efficient/less problematic from an oversight perspective, and can still accomplish the aim of the award: encourage scholarly investigation within the profession
   b. Board agrees with this approach
      i. Ingrid will draft proposed, revised language in the award application to capture board desire that the research be completed and of publishable quality.
      ii. Ingrid will circulate proposed revisions via email for board consideration
2. Annual meeting
   a. Local arrangements transportation (Anna)
      i. Combination of a few buses with volunteers to guide the remaining pedestrians?
         1. Board generally approves this approach
      ii. Possible honorarium for middle-manager’s breakfast speaker (Lisa)
         1. The middle manager’s breakfast has $3000 in Lexis funding; we plan to charge $20 per person, and breakfast will run approx. $55/person. Based on last year’s attendance, we can project 60 people attending. Given these numbers, plus the fact that we have budgeted $1000 for honorariums ($500 of which we have earmarked already), Ingrid suggests a $250 honorarium would be not be inappropriate, and the Board concurs
3. Committees review update from Amanda (if available)
   a. Amanda has observed that the AALL committee charges are aligned with the AALL strategic plan and will explore ALL-SIS committee alignment to determine where there are gaps and overlaps in committee coverage

New business
1. LSN e-journal update (Ingrid)
   a. The LSN eJournal is self-sustaining; the editors told SSRN to no longer bill anyone (MAALL was apparently contributing as well), so to Co-EIC Randy Diamond's knowledge, we should not have received a bill since the last one (Oct. 2017). We haven't, and we shouldn't.
2. HeinOnline/USNews Scholarly Impact proposal (Anna)
   a. Anna will contact SIS reps and possibly AALL Board to determine what, if anything, is being done concerning this proposal. ALL-SIS will consider working with Repositories
Caucus or Faculty Services committee to draft a statement concerning the proposal and its efficacy

Ingrid moved to adjourn at 1:59 p.m.
Anna seconded.
All in favor.

March 5, 2019 – Email Business:

February Meeting Minutes approved

Research Grant Application language revised by Ingrid and approved by the board.
- Ingrid: LeighAnne, a committee co-chair, had previously submitted a revision that struck all staggered payments and proposed just paying award winners all at once. Some of the concern is that there is turnover on the committee, so it's hard to keep track of who has been paid what. Ingrid notes that the award is conditioned on work being complete within one year (unless the committee extends deadlines). It is not a huge feat for the outgoing committee chair to tell the incoming chair which of the previous year's recipient(s) still must complete their research (and thus receive final payment), especially when someone could be simply pocketing $2,000 and really meaningfully intending to get their research started but never quite getting there. So, Ingrid revised the language to allow for just two payments rather than 1. One year is a fairly intense timeline from research project start to final submission for publication, and the two-payment structure accomplishes our goals: money wisely invested in research that benefits the profession.