


Default Report

LSRD-SIS 2021 Membership Survey

March 12, 2021 10:14 AM MST

Q1 - 1. How long have you worked in a law library?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	1. How long have you worked in a law library?	2.00	5.00	4.00	1.02	1.05	42

#	Field	Choice Count
1	0-1 years	0.00% 0
2	2-5 years	9.52% 4
3	6-10 years	23.81% 10
4	11-20 years	23.81% 10
5	20+ years	42.86% 18
		42

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q2 - 2. How long have you been a member of LSRD (and before 2020 OBS) -SIS?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	2. How long have you been a member of LSRD (and before 2020 OBS) -SIS?	2.00	5.00	3.55	1.14	1.30	42

#	Field	Choice Count
1	0-1 years	0.00% 0
2	2-5 years	23.81% 10
3	6-10 years	26.19% 11
4	11-20 years	21.43% 9
5	20+ years	28.57% 12
		42

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q3 - 3. Please select all other Special Interest Sections of which you are also a member:




#	Field	Choice Count
1	Academic Law Libraries SIS	23.91% 22
2	Black Law Librarian SIS	3.26% 3
3	Digitization & Educational Technology SIS	2.17% 2

#	Field	Choice Count
4	Foreign, Comparative & International Law SIS	3.26% 3
5	Government Documents SIS	2.17% 2
6	Government Law Libraries SIS	2.17% 2
7	Legal History & Rare Books SIS	2.17% 2
8	Legal Information Services to the Public SIS	1.09% 1
9	Private Law Librarians & Information Professionals SIS	1.09% 1
10	Professional Engagement, Growth & Advancement SIS	4.35% 4
11	Research Instruction & Patron Services SIS	2.17% 2
12	Social Responsibilities SIS	4.35% 4
13	Technical Services SIS	40.22% 37
14	Legal Innovation and Technology SIS	7.61% 7
		92

Showing rows 1 - 15 of 15

Q4 - 4. Please select the category that best describes your current status or employer

type:



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	4. Please select the category that best describes your current status or employer type: - Selected Choice	1.00	5.00	3.93	0.51	0.26	42

#	Field	Choice Count
1	County or State Law Library	2.38% 1
2	Court Library	0.00% 0
3	Law Firm Library	2.38% 1
4	Law School Library	92.86% 39
5	Other Private Law Library	2.38% 1
6	Retired	0.00% 0
7	Student	0.00% 0


#	Field	Choice Count
8	Unemployed	0.00% 0
9	Other	0.00% 0
		42


Showing rows 1 - 10 of 10

Q4_9_TEXT - Other

Other

Q5 - 5. Please select all responsibilities that account for a significant portion (at least 20%) of your time at work:





#	Field	Choice Count
1	Acquisitions	8.65% 16
2	Administration	10.81% 20
3	Archives	0.54% 1
4	Cataloging	14.05% 26
5	Circulation	0.54% 1
6	Collection Development	7.03% 13
7	Digitization / Digital Collections	1.08% 2
8	Electronic Resources Management	11.89% 22
9	Faculty Research	1.08% 2
10	Government Documents	2.70% 5
11	ILS Management	10.27% 19
12	Instruction / Teaching	1.08% 2
13	Interlibrary Loan	0.00% 0
14	IT (general)	2.70% 5
15	Knowledge Management	1.62% 3
16	Reference	2.70% 5
17	Scholarly Publishing	2.16% 4
18	Serials Management	9.19% 17
19	Web Management	4.86% 9
20	Other	1.08% 2
21	Institutional Repository	2.70% 5
22	Specialized Research	0.00% 0

#	Field	Choice Count
23	Solo	0.00% 0
24	Binding and labeling operation	3.24% 6

185

Showing rows 1 - 25 of 25


Q5_20_TEXT - Other

Other

Special collections

Service/Committee Work

Q6 - 6. Why did you join LSRD? (check all that apply)



#	Field	Choice Count
1	For networking opportunities	20.61% 27
2	The section's focus aligns with my interests and work responsibilities	25.95% 34
3	To stay up to date on issues with law library systems and platforms	27.48% 36
4	For the section's educational programming	17.56% 23
5	It was recommended / required by my place of work	8.40% 11
6	Other	0.00% 0


131

Showing rows 1 - 7 of 7

Q6_6_TEXT - Other

Other

Q7 - 7. How satisfied are you with your experience as an LSRD-SIS member?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	7. How satisfied are you with your experience as an LSRD-SIS member?	1.00	3.00	1.56	0.70	0.49	41

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Extremely satisfied	56.10% 23
2	Somewhat satisfied	31.71% 13
3	Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	12.20% 5
4	Somewhat dissatisfied	0.00% 0
5	Extremely dissatisfied	0.00% 0
		41

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q8 - 8. How can LSRD-SIS improve and serve you better?

8. How can LSRD-SIS improve and serve you better?

I've always really enjoyed LSRD's timely and important programming -- you have a very focused area of interest. I would continue to work on important and interesting programming!

I'd like to see the section offer a webinar or online training of some sort at least once a year.

Add more programming on the ILS marketplace, strengthen our relationship with OCLC (in the old days their regional offices offered MARC cataloging training that was excellent for new support staff. We should encourage OCLC to offer these basic training classes online)

Highlight information about library online systems.

offer more online educational opportunities throughout the year.

Offer some hands on continuing education opportunities, like training in certain software or in a way that develops our unique skillset.

By communicating more about what work is going on behind the scenes, being done by the officers and committee chairs.

Perhaps instead of Website of the month, it could be made bi-weekly.

Q9 - 9. Please include here any other feedback you'd like to provide about LSRD-SIS:

9. Please include here any other feedback you'd like to provide about LSRD-...

I have always really appreciated the programming LSRD (OBS) puts on. I think the fact that LSRD is pretty small and with a focussed area of interest makes it really nimble, and able to put on really interesting and timely programs. Keep up the good work!g progra

I really appreciate all the useful and relevant information the section provides.


I think it was time for the name change - Good Move

Notify membership about the Board's meeting decisions and announce when the Board's minutes are available on the website

With so many libraries having switched to new ILS/LSPs over the past few years, I feel like there's likely been a lot of duplication in efforts by librarians researching the different platforms on the market. If there doesn't already exist a toolkit or some other kind of compilation of all the major features/costs/disadvantages/etc. of at least the leading platforms, can one be put together so those of use late to the migration party don't have to recreate the wheel every time?

I'm just not sure what it is working on, other than what I read in TSLL.

Q10 - 10. Do you plan to attend the 2021 AALL Annual Meeting which will be held virtually in July 2021?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	10. Do you plan to attend the 2021 AALL Annual Meeting which will be held virtually in July 2021? - Selected Choice	1.00	5.00	3.56	0.70	0.49	41

#	Field	Choice Count
3	Unsure/Comments	42.50% 17
4	Yes	52.50% 21
5	No	5.00% 2
		40

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q12 - 11. If you could take part in only one educational program or training session in the next year related to your work responsibilities or interests, what would it be about?

11. If you could take part in only one educational program or training sess...

How are we going to deal with subject headings? Inappropriate subject terms (illegal aliens) have made me realize that it would be fantastic if we could be more nimble about changing them, or adapting them for local practice but not losing what we gain from a nationally standardized system. What about something like a viaf for SH? I'd love to explore this.

more about linked data

At this point I need to learn more about approaches to changing staffing resources and roles especially related to transitions to remote work.

Helping staff deal with change

RDA

that's a great question; I cannot commit to just one program, however.

The value to go through an RFP to migrate to a new system.

ERM

system migrations; open source ILS/LMS

E-resource management (authentication, troubleshooting, access, discovery)

I'd like to see a program about integrating systems such as a repository, ILS, website, and catalog to make user searching easier.

Managing ILS migration. What to do after migrating and how best to manage clean up projects and collect specific lessons learned.

BIBFRAME

E-Resources!

I'm not sure.


Preservation of primary and core secondary legal resources for the public

Implementing/migrating to a FOLIO system

automating comprehensive authority work done in-house at no cost except time and minimizing that (time) as well

The effect of reduced staffing on workflows.

Q27 - 12. Will you attend a joint virtual TS-SIS/LSRD-SIS Summit if it is offered within the framework of the 2021 AALL virtual conference?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	12. Will you attend a joint virtual TS-SIS/LSRD-SIS Summit if it is offered within the framework of the 2021 AALL virtual conference? - Selected Choice	1.00	3.00	1.53	0.88	0.78	38

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	73.68% 28
2	No	0.00% 0
3	Unsure/Comments	26.32% 10
		38

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q27_3_TEXT - Unsure/Comments

Unsure/Comments

May suggest that staff member attend

Yes if funding provided by my institution

The summit tends to be scheduled rather late for me personally (although I fully understand the need to accommodate west coast members as well)


Funding again

Unsure/Comments

Again it depends on the cost. I would like to however.

if I don't have to pay to attend

Q13 - 13. Which ILS / LMS / LSP does your library currently use?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	13. Which ILS / LMS / LSP does your library currently use? - Selected Choice	2.00	13.00	5.85	3.71	13.73	41

#	Field	Choice Count
1	ExLibris - Aleph	0.00% 0
2	ExLibris - Alma	34.15% 14
3	SirsiDynix - EOS	4.88% 2
4	SirsiDynix - Horizon	0.00% 0
5	Innovative - Millennium	4.88% 2

#	Field	Choice Count
6	Innovative - Sierra	29.27% 12
7	ProQuest - Intota	0.00% 0
8	Koha	0.00% 0
10	SirsiDynix - Symphony	9.76% 4
11	ExLibris - Voyager	4.88% 2
12	OCLC - WMS	7.32% 3
13	Other	4.88% 2

41

Showing rows 1 - 13 of 13


Q13_13_TEXT - Other

Other

TIND

OLE

Q14 - 14. Is your library or place of work considering moving to a new library system?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	14. Is your library or place of work considering moving to a new library system?	1.00	3.00	1.98	0.72	0.51	41

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	26.83% 11
2	No	48.78% 20
3	No, because we just recently changed systems	24.39% 10
		41

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q15 - 15. How is library system administration structured at your institution or place of work?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	15. How is library system administration structured at your institution or place of work?	1.00	3.00	1.62	0.66	0.44	39

#	Field	Choice Count
1	My library/department administers its own system	48.72% 19
2	My library/department shares a system with a main library or other department	41.03% 16
3	My library/place of work is part of a consortium that shares a system	10.26% 4
		39

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q16 - 16. Does your library use a discovery system?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	16. Does your library use a discovery system?	1.00	3.00	1.15	0.46	0.21	48

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	89.58% 43
2	No	6.25% 3
3	Unsure	4.17% 2
		48

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q17 - 16a. Which discovery system does your library use?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	16a. Which discovery system does your library use? - Selected Choice	2.00	7.00	4.14	1.55	2.39	37


#	Field	Choice Count
2	EBSCO	16.22% 6
3	Encore	16.22% 6
4	Primo	37.84% 14
5	Summon	13.51% 5
7	Other	16.22% 6
		37

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q17_7_TEXT - Other

Other
WMS
Blacklight
WMS
WMS

Q28 - 16b. Does your library also use a discovery layer?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	16b. Does your library also use a discovery layer?	1.00	4.00	2.12	1.14	1.30	42

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	35.71% 15
2	No	40.48% 17
4	Unsure	23.81% 10
		42

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q34 - 16c. Which discovery layer does your library use?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	16c. Which discovery layer does your library use? - Selected Choice	1.00	3.00	1.83	0.99	0.97	12

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Blacklight	58.33% 7
2	VuFind	0.00% 0
3	Other	41.67% 5
		12

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4


Q34_3_TEXT - Other

Other

SirsiDynix Enterprise

Summon

Q25 - 17. Does the new name of our Special Interest Section, "Library Systems and Resource Discovery" convey the nature of the work you're involved in at your organization?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	17. Does the new name of our Special Interest Section, "Library Systems and Resource Discovery" convey the nature of the work you're involved in at your organization?	1.00	3.00	1.32	0.55	0.31	44

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	72.73% 32
2	Somewhat	22.73% 10
3	No	4.55% 2
		44

Showing rows 1 - 4 of 4

Q26 - 17a. What is a more accurate name for describing your area of work?

17a. What is a more accurate name for describing your area of work?


Library Systems, Resources, Information and Discovery

Library Resource Management - Print and Digital

Library Collection Management Systems

gatekeeper of knowledge

Q21 - 18. Do you read Technical Services Law Librarian (TSLL), the quarterly newsletter of LSRD-SIS and TS-SIS?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	18. Do you read Technical Services Law Librarian (TSLL), the quarterly newsletter of LSRD-SIS and TS-SIS?	1.00	2.00	1.08	0.27	0.07	38


#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	92.11% 35
2	No	7.89% 3


38

Showing rows 1 - 3 of 3

Q22 - 19. Please evaluate the TSSL columns based on their relevancy to your current job

and professional development. You can view the most recent issues of TSSL here.






#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Annual Conference Wrap-up & Reports Issue	2.00	3.00	2.69	0.46	0.22	35
2	Acquisitions	1.00	3.00	2.35	0.68	0.46	34
3	Classification	1.00	3.00	2.49	0.60	0.36	35
4	Collection Development	1.00	3.00	2.26	0.61	0.37	34
5	Description & Entry	1.00	3.00	2.46	0.55	0.31	35
6	The Internet	2.00	3.00	2.25	0.43	0.19	32
7	Library metrics	1.00	3.00	2.36	0.59	0.35	33
8	Management	2.00	3.00	2.63	0.48	0.23	35
9	OCLC	1.00	3.00	2.60	0.60	0.35	35
10	Preservation	1.00	3.00	1.85	0.61	0.37	33
11	Private Law Libraries	1.00	2.00	1.09	0.29	0.08	32
12	Research & Publications	1.00	3.00	1.91	0.63	0.40	32
13	Serials Issues	1.00	3.00	2.38	0.73	0.53	34
14	Serials Titles	1.00	3.00	2.32	0.76	0.57	34
15	Subject Headings	2.00	3.00	2.46	0.50	0.25	35

#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
16	TechScans	1.00	3.00	2.24	0.73	0.53	34

#	Field	Not relevant	Somewhat relevant	Very relevant	Total
1	Annual Conference Wrap-up & Reports Issue	0.00% 0	31.43% 11	68.57% 24	35
2	Acquisitions	11.76% 4	41.18% 14	47.06% 16	34
3	Classification	5.71% 2	40.00% 14	54.29% 19	35
4	Collection Development	8.82% 3	55.88% 19	35.29% 12	34
5	Description & Entry	2.86% 1	48.57% 17	48.57% 17	35
6	The Internet	0.00% 0	75.00% 24	25.00% 8	32
7	Library metrics	6.06% 2	51.52% 17	42.42% 14	33
8	Management	0.00% 0	37.14% 13	62.86% 22	35
9	OCLC	5.71% 2	28.57% 10	65.71% 23	35
10	Preservation	27.27% 9	60.61% 20	12.12% 4	33
11	Private Law Libraries	90.63% 29	9.38% 3	0.00% 0	32
12	Research & Publications	25.00% 8	59.38% 19	15.63% 5	32
13	Serials Issues	14.71% 5	32.35% 11	52.94% 18	34
14	Serials Titles	17.65% 6	32.35% 11	50.00% 17	34
15	Subject Headings	0.00% 0	54.29% 19	45.71% 16	35
16	TechScans	17.65% 6	41.18% 14	41.18% 14	34

Showing rows 1 - 16 of 16

Q23 - 20. Please rate the overall quality of TSSL:



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	20. Please rate the overall quality of TSSL:	1.00	2.00	1.34	0.47	0.23	35

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Excellent	65.71% 23
2	Good	34.29% 12
3	Average	0.00% 0
4	Poor	0.00% 0

35

Showing rows 1 - 5 of 5

Q24 - 21. Please let us know what could be improved in TSLL to make it more beneficial to you. In particular, list any subjects of interest to you that are not currently covered in the publication.

21. Please let us know what could be improved in TSLL to make it more benef...

Keep the fine job!

Just a thought: it might be worth trying something like Issuu (issuu.com) to make the publication more appealing and modern.

I can't think of anything.


TSLL is the only AALL publication that I read cover to cover; it's contents are always relevant and right on point for my needs. Thanks for continuing to produce such a practical, useful and relevant publication!

More and more I want tips and tricks on automating/streamlining work, what I can skip (I know some libraries don't check in advance sheets, for example), how to do more in-house without 3rd-party contracts (authority work, cataloging for example), labeling and processing shortcuts (again, without paying someone for shelf-ready items), etc.

I really like the addition of reports from conferences/events, other than AALL.

Q27 - 22. Thank you for taking the time to complete the LSRD 2021 Membership Survey!

Would you be interested in getting more involved with LSRD?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	22. Thank you for taking the time to complete the LSRD 2021 Membership Survey! Would you be interested in getting more involved with LSRD?	1.00	2.00	1.58	0.49	0.24	48

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Yes	41.67% 20
2	No	58.33% 28

48

Showing rows 1 - 3 of 3

End of Report