CONVENTION BUSINESS MEETINGS

Sunday, July 5, 1987

9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.  OBS-SIS 1986/87 Board Meeting
9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.  TS-SIS 1986/87 Board Meeting
10:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.  TS-SIS Bylaws Committee
11:00 a.m. - Noon  TS-SIS Acquisitions Committee
Noon - 1:00 p.m.  TS-SIS Roundtable for Heads of Acquisitions Departments
1:00 p.m. - 2:00 p.m.  TS-SIS Roundtable for Heads of Acquisitions Departments
2:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.  OBS-SIS Business Meeting
3:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.  OBS-SIS RLIN, OCLC and WLN Committee Meetings
4:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.  TS-SIS Ad Hoc Committee for Member Involvement
4:00 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.  TS-SIS Past Presidents Meeting

Monday, July 6, 1987

7:30 a.m. - 8:30 a.m.  TS-SIS Business Meeting

Tuesday, July 7, 1987

7:30 a.m. - 8:30 a.m.  TS-SIS Roundtable for Heads of Cataloging Departments of Large Libraries

Wednesday, July 8, 1987

7:30 a.m. - 8:30 a.m.  OBS-SIS 1987/88 Board Meeting
7:30 a.m. - 8:30 a.m.  TS-SIS 1987/88 Board Meeting
12:15 p.m. - 1:15 p.m.  TS-SIS Serials Committee
12:15 p.m. - 1:15 p.m.  TS-SIS 1987/88 Board Meeting
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EDITOR'S NOTE

Subscription renewals for TSLL will be sent in May. If you have not received your renewal by May 30, please use the renewal form in this issue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>DEADLINE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>July 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contributors can also telefax their items to me at 412-648-1352.

CONVENTION PROGRAMS AT A GLANCE

**JULY 1-3, 1987**

Institute on Basic Acquisitions at Northern Illinois University (TSLL)

**MONDAY, JULY 6, 1987**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:30 a.m. - Noon</td>
<td>Cost-Effective Acquisitions (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.</td>
<td>The Binding Decision: Options, Standards, and Practices (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 p.m. - 9:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Cataloging Loose-leaf Publications Under the New LC Guidelines (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TUESDAY, JULY 7, 1987**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m. - 10:30 a.m.</td>
<td>Changing Relationships Between Public Services and Technical Services (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.</td>
<td>A to V: Almost Everything You Ever Wanted to Know About Audio and Video (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Problem Areas in LC Classification - Odds and Ends (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:15 p.m. - 4:45 p.m.</td>
<td>Cost-Effective Acquisitions: Or, Where Do We Go From Here? (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**WEDNESDAY, JULY 8, 1987**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:45 a.m. - 12:15 p.m.</td>
<td>Authority Control in an Online Environment (OBS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.</td>
<td>Publishers and Their Marketing Techniques: A Sequel to Vendors and Their Salespeople (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THURSDAY, JULY 9, 1987**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Workshop on Retrospective Conversion (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Workshop on LC Classification (TSLL)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The second annual Small Computers in Libraries (SCIL) conference, taking place this year from March 30th to April 1st in Arlington, VA, was exactly what a conference ought to be—informative and stimulating. This was largely a reflection of extensive preparation and careful organization by the sponsors, Meckler Publishing Corporation, producers of Small Computers in Libraries magazine and other works on computers in libraries. The sessions were well balanced, with a variety of subjects, and a broad range in experience required to understand the subject matter. There were presentations geared to the novice micro-user, as well as the aficionado and the computer hacker.

As compared to the 900 people attending last year, this year the number practically doubled, rising to almost 1700, including 120 speakers and 240 exhibitors. Booths too multiplied, increasing 400% -- from 14 last year to 56 this year. This exponential increase can be explained not only by the high quality of the conference, but also by the timeliness of the subject matter.

While SCIL does not have the glitter or the side shows of AALL (no marching bands or raffled cars), nor the solace of fellow law librarians, it is packed with information. I, for one, was able to find more talks of interest at this SCIL than at last year’s AALL.

The conference had a surprising amount to offer to those of us in technical services. The vast majority of the speakers were librarians, many from technical services departments. Sessions included a number of 40 minute discussions of obvious interest, such as:

* Four talks on spreadsheets and databases
* Seven on software for libraries covering, for example, selection, cataloging software and copyright concerns
* One talk each devoted to government documents, serials lists and interlibrary load
* Four talks on integrated library systems

Exhibits were perhaps less relevant than those at AALL. Certainly, I found less reason to spend time there. This was
because the preponderance of displays centered on library management software for smaller libraries: circulation, serials check-in, and acquisitions modules. However, there were also booths of online book-order and serials systems, furniture, databases and CD-ROM reference tools, such as LegalTrak. There was even a demonstration on how to build your own "generic computer."

One final benefit to attending was the three day exposure to all of the latest jargon (LANs, teleconferencing, CD-ROM, WORM, High Sierra, etc.) Inevitably, one is rendered at least micro-conversant, if not fluent, and this, of course, should add to one's aura of high-tech mystique.

While SCIL obviously can never replace AALL for a technical services law librarian, it represents a healthy balance for those of us working with microcomputers. Hopefully, I will be able to attend both soon again. Next year's SCIL is slated for March 7th to 9th, 1988, at the Hyatt Regency in Chicago -- again costing $95.00. If you would like more information, please contact Meckler Publishing Corporation at 11 Ferry Lane West, Westport, CT 06880 (203-226-6967).

LOGO CONTEST WINNER!!!!

Brian Striman of the University of Nebraska College of Law Library has won the TS/SIS logo contest (see TSLL v.12 no.2). The logo symbolizes the work of the Section and includes the names of the four standing committees. The Ad Hoc Committee on Membership Involvement judged Brian's entry the best of the five that were submitted, and Brian will receive a free membership in the TS/SIS for 1987-88 and a subscription to the Technical Services Law Librarian. The logo will be used on a brochure being developed by the Committee for distribution at the annual meeting in Chicago. See below for a sneak preview.
CALL FOR MEMBERS--New Working Group on Caption Abbreviations

The TS-SIS Cataloging and Classification Committee is organizing a small working group whose purpose will be to draw up a list of caption abbreviations (caption: word indicating the bibliographic unit into which a multipart item has been divided, e.g. volume, Band, Heft, part, number, tome). The list, when approved by the Cataloging and Classification Committee, will serve as a standard for all libraries in recording holdings of legal materials.

The MARC holdings format in the draft form in which it now exists specifies that "abbreviations to be used in the caption definitions are those listed in the Anglo-American Cataloging Rules, second edition, Appendix B." However, the appendix to AACR2 is probably not the right place to put the abbreviations for legal captions which we'll need when we record holdings. The abbreviations pertain to holdings statements rather than descriptive cataloging and would not be desirable in the bibliographic description. We need to draw up our own list of standard caption abbreviations so that we'll be able to interpret one another's holdings records when everybody's automated and using the MARC holdings format!

This small working group will first try to identify all the captions which someone might want to abbreviate and then come up with abbreviations which are clear and unambiguous. The group will report its recommendations to the Cataloging and Classification Committee. If you would like to serve on this small working group, communicate with: Evelyn Smith, University of Michigan Law Library, Ann Arbor, FMI 48109-1210; telephone (313) 764-4200; RLIN mail bm.ml.

If your library has a list of caption abbreviations which it is using, please send a copy to Evelyn. She has lists from Naomi Ronen, Lorna Tang and Barbara Hycnar.

REMINDER!!!!!!

WHO: ALL TECHNICAL SERVICES SIS MEMBERS
WHAT: Reception
WHEN: Tuesday, July 7, 1987
WHERE: DePaul University Law Library
Directions available at the convention

SPONSORED BY:
INNOVATIVE INTERFACES, INC., MAKERS OF INNOVACQ
TS-SIS CONVENTION PROGRAMS: THE PLANNING PROCESS

Each year TS-SIS sponsors many convention programs. The number of programs sponsored has grown with each passing year. This year we are sponsoring an amazing 8 programs, 2 post-conference workshops, and 1 pre-conference institute! Sometimes these programs are co-sponsored with another SIS or AALL committee, and sometimes our SIS goes it alone.

* PLANNING

The planning process begins an entire year or more before the programs will be presented. The chair solicits ideas for program topics from the membership and the SIS committees. Although most of the ideas are gathered during the annual AALL convention, they are also welcomed by the chair throughout the year. Suggestions are also gathered from the survey sent annually to the membership by the chair. A program could also result from a project undertaken by a committee or other group. (for example, to present results of a survey) If a program at a prior year’s meeting generated continuing interest, there might be a need to present a second program on the same topic at the following convention.

* REVIEW

The general ideas gathered through the survey and general announcements to the membership are reviewed by the Executive Board. The Board gives the Chair guidance on what committees should sponsor a program as well as which programs should be submitted to the Program Committee for their consideration. If a committee has numerous program topics, they will decide which to pursue with the Executive Board. They give this list to the Executive Board along with the program coordinators and a brief summary of the proposed programs.

* SELECTION

The list of TS-SIS programs is forwarded to AALL’s Program Committee. For the past three years, the Program Committee has held an open meeting during the annual convention. This meeting gives everyone proposing programs the opportunity to explain and discuss their proposed programs with the Committee. Although the Program Committee encourages the submission of proposed programs during the convention, it is possible to submit ideas later if it is done shortly after the convention.

The Chair then sits down in a comfortable chair and waits. And waits. And waits - until the Program Committee makes their decisions about the programs. It usually takes approximately six weeks for the Committee to respond to the SIS Chairs with their decision. In the meantime, the program coordinators are more fully defining their topics and soliciting suggestions for possible speakers. A flurry of activity takes place after program
approval is received by the Chair. The Chair must contact all of the program coordinators, who, in turn, must finalize all of the arrangements with the speakers. The Chair and program coordinators are usually given a total of two weeks to finalize their program speakers.

Workshops and institutes are planned in a similar manner as programs but with a few differences. Workshops can be planned only a year in advance, but more than a year is a more typical scenario. Obviously, the magnitude and length of institutes require more than a year of planning. The Education Committee is the AALL organ responsible for approving these types of programs.

* PAST PROGRAMS

All of this hard work and planning has resulted in many excellent and worthwhile programs. Programs has been presented on virtually all aspects of technical services work; cataloging, serials, acquisitions, preservation, automation. Past workshop topics include preservation (San Diego, 1984), and electronic formats (New York, 1985), technical services costs (Washington, D.C., 1986). Recent institutes include one on cataloging (Cornell, 1985), and the acquisitions institute this year at Northern Illinois.

The best advice is to try to get your idea as organized as possible prior to the convention. Bring a thorough description of your program, as well as the names of one or two potential coordinators. Come to the business meeting. Speak with the Chair about your idea. The more thought and planning that is done beforehand will help ensure that your program will become a reality.

The American Library Association's 106th Annual Conference will be held June 27-July 2 in San Francisco. A number of programs of general interest to technical services librarians are being offered. These programs include:

* Microcomputers and LANs: Are they a viable part of your future?
* Hi-Tech Shopping for Serials Automation: Linking Public and Technical Services
* Subject Authorities in the Online Environment
* Series Authority Control in the Online Environment
A number of preconference institutes are also being offered. "Optical Publishing and Libraries", will be held June 24-26. The institute is sponsored by LITA; cost is $145 for ALA members and $170 for nonmembers. The RTSD Cataloging and Classification Section is sponsoring an institute on classification theory and practice and current developments in the field. Dates for the institute are June 25 and 26. Cost is $240 for ALA members and $265 for nonmembers. Finally, a preconference institute "LSP- The Linked Systems Project and the Library Community" will be held June 25 and 26. This institute will familiarize attendees with LSP technology and its implications, and explore LSP's present and future applications in the library environment. Cost is $160 for ALA members and $200 for nonmembers. Contact ALA headquarters in Chicago for further information and registration for all preconference institutes.

***************

ON-LINE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES SIS
MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR
Pat Callahan
University of Pennsylvania Law Library

Please mark your calendars and plan to attend the OBS program at this year's AALL convention. The program, "Authority Control in an Online Environment", is scheduled for Wednesday, July 8 from 10:45 to 12:15. This will be a good opportunity to find out from those who have been through the process what you need to know about preparation of bibliographic utility tapes for an online catalog in the area of authority control, what vendors can and cannot provide, what problems you may encounter, and hopefully, how to resolve most of them.

I have not received confirmation of OBS meeting times from AALL headquarters, but the tentative schedule is as follows:

1986/87 Board meeting - Sunday, July 5, 9:00-10:00
OBS Business meeting - Sunday, July 5, 2:00-3:00
RLIN, OCLC and WLN Committees - Sunday, July 5, 3:00-5:00
1987/88 Board meeting - Wednesday, July 8, 7:30-8:45
TSLL Board meeting - Sunday, July 5, 12:00-1:00

You should all have received election ballots, as well as a copy of a survey from Margie Axtmann, incoming OBS chair, asking for program ideas and participation. It's not too late to submit program ideas; in fact, we'll be asking for suggestions again at the business meeting before submitting them to next year's program chair, so last-minute suggestions will be welcome. Margie will also try to mail to the membership copies of the revised bylaws that she, Janice Anderson and Kyle Passmore have drawn up. We will discuss the changes in this draft at the business meeting.
and when wording has been agreed upon as much as possible, the membership will vote on the changes by mail ballot. If approved, the revised bylaws will be submitted to the AALL Executive Board for approval.

The OBS business meeting will include the following agenda items:

1. Election results
2. Secretary/treasurer’s report
3. Report on joint OBS/TS directory
4. Discussion of formation of an OBS committee on local systems
5. Discussion of draft of revised bylaws
6. Program ideas for 1988 convention

Please try to attend the business meeting. We’d like as much input as possible on the agenda items. See you in Chicago!

******************************

TECHNICAL SERVICES SIS
MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR
Merle J. Slyhoff
University of Pennsylvania

This is my last message to the membership via Technical Services Law Librarian. I am most pleased to have been able to serve as chair of TS/SIS! The Executive Board and Committee Chairs this year have proven invaluable in helping to initiate many new projects and in organizing programs for the convention.

The Ad Hoc Committee on Member Involvement, chaired by Jean Pajerek, Cornell, has proven especially innovative. Their mentor program of matching newer members with more experienced members will be inaugurated at this year’s convention. A logo contest provided Technical Services with a bold graphic design which will make its debut this year on the section’s new brochure. Congratulations to Brian Striman, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, for submitting the winning design. For his efforts he will receive free membership next year in TS/SIS and a free subscription to TSLL.

This year Technical Services is involved in 8 programs and 2 workshops at the annual convention. The Workshop on Library of Congress Classification, co-chaired by Regina Wallen and Marie Whited for the Cataloging and Classification Committee, will be videotaped, and the tape made available through Headquarters to interested chapters or institutions.
For the first time this year, Technical Services SIS will be holding a reception for its members and interested librarians at the Chicago meeting. Sponsored by Innovative Interfaces, Inc., makers of Innovacq, the reception will be held at the DePaul University Law Library, Tuesday, July 7, 4:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. I would like to thank DePaul for allowing us to use their facilities, and Jerry Kline, president of Innovative Interfaces, Inc. for graciously providing the refreshments and wine. I urge you to attend. It is a good chance to socialize with colleagues away from the hectic pace of convention programs.

Membership in TS/SIS topped 400 this year. We have a large, active membership, and I have enjoyed working with all of you. I know Michele will have your continued support for next year. As I prepare to hand the "chair" over to Michele, I again thank you for the privilege of serving for TS/SIS. I'm sure I will continue to work with many of you. I won't be far away. . .

*********************

AVAILABLE BACK ISSUES OF TSLL

Listed below are the back issues of TSLL which are still available. The current volume (volume 12) and one previous volume (volume 11) are available from the editor, Cindy Larter, at the University of Pittsburgh. The other volumes are available from AALL Headquarters in Chicago. The back issues are $2.50/issue. Checks should be made payable to AALL.

Volume 5 nos. 1, 2, 3
Volume 6 nos. 1, 2, 3
Volume 7 nos. 1, 2, 3
Volume 8 nos. 1
Volume 9 nos. 1, 2, 3, 4
Volume 10 nos. 1, 2, 3, 4
Volume 11 nos. 1, 2, 3, 4
Volume 12 nos. 1, 2, 3, 4

OPEN LETTER TO TS-SIS MEMBERSHIP

Sally Wiant, Program Chair for the 1987 AALL Convention, asked that I relay the following message to our membership. Her letter is in response to the minutes from our 1986 business meeting, forwarded to her by our Board Liaison, Penny Hazelton. Although this correspondence took place last fall, I felt it most advantageous to print her letter in our pre-convention issue.
Submitted by: Merle Slyhoff
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October 31, 1986

Penny Hazelton
University of Washington
Law Library
1100 N.E. Campus Parkway-JB-20
Seattle, Washington 98105

Dear Penny:

Thanks for your note and copy of the minutes of the Technical Services SIS. I share the membership's concern about the lack of seating due to inadequate room size or oversubscribed programs and insufficient numbers of handout material. On the other hand, I would be more concerned if the programs were not well attended. As you well know, it is difficult to determine room sizes because the membership indicates program attendance on the registration form; often they do not attend programs so indicated. An additional problem is that [many] of our members take multiple copies of handouts for their staffs thereby depleting the supply.

I disagree with the suggestion to avoid multiple programs running concurrently. It seems to me there are enough people in the Association with different needs for programs that we should wholeheartedly endorse the concept of concurrent programs. Unfortunately, Hyatt room layout may not permit us to do much about the size of rooms. In an attempt to alleviate some of these problems, Lolly and I have decided to schedule five concurrent sessions instead of six in each program slot, and to the extent possible we will schedule larger rooms. We have also asked local arrangements to provide student monitors for each program who will encourage participants to move to the front of the room, to take seats and she will distribute handouts so that everyone at the program may have at least one copy to take home.

I hope I will be able to address some of the problems raised by the SIS, however, with an Association our size we will continue to have scheduling conflicts; I don't think that is necessarily bad. Thanks for your interest.

Cordially,

Sarah K. Wiant
Director of the Law Library and Associate Professor of Law
CLASSIFICATION
Cecilia Kwan
University of California, Davis

The following questions were submitted by Brian Striman, University of Nebraska, and Cecilia Kwan, University of California, Davis. The answers were supplied by Mary K.D. Pietris, Chief, Processing Services, Library of Congress.

1. KF 202, Congresses

Question: Is KF 202 used for conferences, seminars, workshops, symposia and congresses where the spectrum of legal topics is so great as to prevent "forcing" into a specific legal classification number? LC has never used the KF 202, at least up to 1978, and our library has cataloged and classified from only one DLC/DLC copy using the KF 202, since 1978. What are LC's guidelines about use of KF 202?

Answer: LC would use KF 202 for general United States law congresses, conferences, etc. I guess that LC does not receive many general United States conferences. We would follow Piper and Kwan's A Manual of KF, Chapter 4, p. 21- in applying KF 202.

2. Trial tactics

Question: Successful strategies for winning in the courtroom, and many other similar topics dealing with trial tactics GENERALLY, both criminal and civil settings. . . the LC schedule permits only trial tactics in either, but not both, when classifying a legal work. Are we to put the trial tactics general, in KF 8915 (civil), even if some of the text deals with criminal (KF 9656)? What are LC's guidelines for classifying materials on trial tactics that include both civil and criminal procedure?

Answer: If a work deals with both civil and criminal procedure, we class with civil procedure as stated in Piper and Kwan's A Manual of KF, p. 56.

3. International law

Question: Is there some policy which describes a more specific use and jurisdiction for KJC and KJE? Does a cataloger classify European Economic Community materials (which are legal in nature) in KJE? These international law schedules are changing so drastically as to make major changes in reclassifying and shifting of international law materials. What is LC doing with all their international law materials for Europe? General, which used to be in KJ?

Answer: KJC is for comparative law and general law in Europe as a region. It is for works comparing two or more
European countries, for modern historical developments of particular subjects in Europe, and for legal subjects from such groups as the Council of Europe. KJE is for the law of the European Communities and for legal subjects regulated by or studied by the Community. Jolande Goldberg's article about the Europe schedules should be in the next issue of Law Library Journal. As the law schedules are written, certain materials that used to class in JX are now being classed in the new K schedules. However, I would not recommend reclassing any JX materials until JX is rewritten and everyone, including LC, has a better idea of what will stay in JX and what will go into the K schedules.

4. California legislative hearings

Question: I have seen California hearings classified with the subject as well as in KFC 10+. Which method is correct? Are "informational hearings" to be treated like other hearings and classified in KFC 10+? Are materials related to the hearing, e.g. staff background papers classified with the subject rather than KFC 10+? How are summaries of hearings to be treated?

Answer: California legislative hearings, legislative informational hearings, and summaries of hearings should all class in KFC 10+. This policy started in January, 1976. We have never systematically reclassified legislative hearings cataloged prior to 1976. Sometimes catalogers forget and class hearings incorrectly. If you find any of those, please let us know and we will reclass. Staff background papers should be classed with the subject and not in KFC 10+.

5. California reports

Question: With regards to legislative reports, the criteria published in Cataloging Service Bulletin #13, Summer, 1981 precludes the classification of most California reports in the form numbers. Do you have any example of California reports that would qualify for the form numbers? What is the reasoning for the difference in treatment between U.S. Congressional reports and state legislative reports as indicated in CSB #13?

Answer: Legislative reports that class in the legislative form number are described in CSB #13. U.S. Congressional reports that class in KF 30+ are part of the Congress reports series and are either reports to accompany bills or ethics and discipline matters. Many works from Congressional committees with the title "report" are committee prints and are classed with subject. The CSB #13 criteria limits the use of the state legislative reports form number so that everything with a title of report done by a legislative
committee will not class in the KFC 10+ area. This brings the use of KFC 10+ more in line with the use of KF 30+. I could not find that KFC 10.6 - KF 10.82 had been used since 1981.

Following is a reprint from CSB #13 on the subject of classification of legislative hearings and reports:

CLASSIFICATION OF LEGISLATIVE HEARINGS AND REPORTS

Subclass KF, Law of the United States, provides form numbers for classifying U.S. Congressional and U.S. state legislative hearings and reports. The Subject Cataloging Division classifies works in these numbers according to the following criteria:

1) U.S. Congress
   a) Class all hearings and reports of committees of the U.S. Congress in KF25-32.5.
   b) Class U.S. Congressional committee prints with the appropriate topic in classes B-Z.

2) U.S. State Legislatures
   Class all hearings and reports of U.S. state legislative committees in the appropriate form numbers in subclasses KFA-KFW. (Note: The term "reports of U.S. state legislative committees" is to be construed in the narrow sense as referring to those reports issued by a legislative committee to accompany a specific bill when it is reported out of the committee to its parent legislative body after hearings have been held and/or the committee has considered and made its recommendations on the bill.) Class all reports that do not meet these criteria in the appropriate topical numbers in subclasses KFA-KFW, if legal, or classes B-Z, if non-legal.

No form numbers have been provided in the existing K subclasses other than KF, nor will such numbers be provided in those K subclasses not yet developed. The equivalent publications for countries other than the U.S. are classed in the appropriate numbers in classes B-Z, or, if general, in J.
SERIALS
Jean Pajerek
Cornell University

The following serials changed title recently and were caught by the Cornell Law Library acquisitions staff:

Arizona. Dept. of Law. Opinions and report of the attorney general.
   Changed to Arizona. Attorney General’s Office. Opinions of the attorney general. (This title was reported as ceased in a previous issue of TSLL, but our most recent information indicates that it has been continued under a new title.)

Bill of particulars.
   Changed to Dickinson lawyers. Fall, 1986-

Comparative labor law.
   Changed to Comparative labor law journal. Vol. 8, no. 1 (Fall, 1986)-

Institute on State and Local Taxation. Proceedings of the annual Institute on State and Local Taxation and Conference on Property Taxation.
   Changed to Institute on State and Local Taxation. Proceedings of the annual Institute on State and Local Taxation. Vol. 4 (1986)-

Insurance counsel journal.
   Changed to Defense counsel journal. Vol. 54, no. 1 (January, 1987)-

Natal University law review.
   Changed to Natal University law and society review. Vol. 1, no. 1 (1985)-

   Changed to Pennsylvania. Dept. of Corrections. Annual report. 1985-

State government (Denver, Colo.) Changed to Journal of state government. Vol. 59, no. 1 (Spring, 1986)-


The following serials cessations were caught by the Cornell Law Library acquisitions staff:

City of London law review. Ceased with: 1984, Pt. 1

Directory of national unions and employee associations. Ceased with: 1979

Great Britain. Probation and After-Care Department. Report on the work of the Probation and After-Care Department. Ceased with: 1972/75


Inter-American Council of Jurists. Inter-American Juridical Committee. Work accomplished by the Inter-American Juridical Committee during its regular meeting. Ceased with: 1980

International Symposium on Comparative Law. Travaux du Colloque international de droit compare'. Ceased with: Vol. 13 (1975)

Labor relations yearbook. Ceased with: 1984
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1. This question was received from Canada: We have been having problems with the subject headings for materials on public legal education. LC seems to be using Law--Study and teaching. It does not feel right. I would like to see a new subject heading, Public legal education, established by LC. If that is not agreeable, LC could at least add "x Public legal education" to Law--Study and teaching.

LC has nine titles with "public legal education" in the title. Presumably, public legal education means educating the population (not lawyers) in the basics of law. When law is taught in high school or grade school, LC has used Law--Study and teaching (Secondary) or Law--Study and teaching (Elementary). Law--Study and teaching--Popular works might be used for some of these works. LC is reluctant to make the reference "Public legal education, see Law--Study and teaching," since they are not sure they want to mix regular legal education for lawyers with legal education for the general public. They will wait to see what other books come on this topic.

2. I have seen LC use the name of a country divided by the word "constitution." It is tagged as: 651 Austria =x Constitution. What puzzles me is that I cannot find in LCSH that this usage of the word constitution is established. I would have assumed that the proper subject heading would be: 610 Austria =t Bundes-Verfassungsgesetz. Are these subject headings allowable, and if so, where is the authority for this usage?

The authority for this usage was first published in Cataloging Service Bulletin no. 24 (Spring, 1984), pp. 56-57. In the scope note for the heading "Constitutions" it reads, "... individual constitutions of a particular country, state, province, etc., are entered under the name of the jurisdiction with the subdivision Constitution." These new headings and scope notes now appear in LCSH. See also the subdivision "--Constitution" under "United States." This subdivision should always be in =x, not =k or =t. The constitutional subdivisions also appear in the list of "Free-floating Subdivisions used under Names of Places" in the Subject Cataloging Manual, section H1140, which was also published in CSB 30. The uniform title for a constitution is not used as a subject heading, whether the work contains the text of the constitution or is about it. Works about the constitution of a particular jurisdiction, rather than the text of it, get the subdivision "--
Constitutional law." Similarly, LC does not use the uniform title for a law as a subject heading, except for a legislative history of the law.

This is my last column as the chair of the Preservation Standing Committee and as the Preservation editor. The committee has accomplished a lot since it was voted into existence in Houston on June 26, 1983. Including our upcoming program, we will have organized five programs and one workshop. The speakers have taught us about administering the library preservation program (1984), the physical properties of books (1985), mass deacidification (1986), and assessing our libraries' collections (1986). Our speakers have been among the most respected in the library preservation field: John Dean, Sally Buchanan, Jan Merrill-Oldham, Richard Smith, and Carolyn Clark Morrow, among others. I am very grateful to all who have helped to organize and plan our programs and projects.

The incoming chair of the committee is Linda Nainis of Georgetown University Law Center. She is responsible for establishing the new book repair program at Georgetown described in the last issue of TSLL.

I will be a member of the Special Committee on Preservation Needs appointed by Al Brecht, Vice President/President-Elect of AALL. Diana Vincent-Daviss will be the chair. The committee is charged with the responsibility for making recommendations to the AALL Executive Board for a concrete and coordinated preservation program for law libraries. The recommendations, if and when adopted by the Board, will be carried out by the TS-SIS Preservation Committee. Specifically, the committee will survey the preservation problems unique to legal collections, determine what preservation programs are in existence in law libraries, examine the paper and binding used by law book publishers, act as a liaison to other professional library associations, make recommendations on the future state and role of the TS-SIS Preservation Committee and develop a national program for law libraries. The first meeting will be in Chicago and it is anticipated that the committee will need two years to complete its work.

A reminder: the Preservation Committee's program this year is "The Binding Decision: Options, Standards and Practices"
scheduled for 2:30-4:00 on Monday, July 6th. Details are in previous issues of TSLL.

SURVEY ON COLLECTION PRESERVATION SURVEYS

Prepared by: Lois Markowitz
Touro College
Jacob D. Fuchsberg Law Center

During the summer of 1986, a collaborative effort by Lois Markowitz (Touro College) and Arturo A. Flores (U.C.-Berkeley) produced a survey which was edited by Patricia Denham (U. of Cincinnati). In September, 1986, Arturo mailed 210 surveys from San Francisco to libraries selected from the TSLL directory. He then left for paternity leave! The surveys were designated to be mailed back to Lois Markowitz in New York by November, 1986. They began to arrive in October and are still arriving. One hundred and eleven surveys have been received to date. We would like to share the responses with you.

We received replies from 79 academic institutions, 4 private law libraries and 28 from various court and bar libraries.

Six libraries have conducted surveys. Georgetown and Berkeley submitted copies of their surveys. UCLA and Georgetown used sample sizes of 400 and 560 volumes UCLA has not completed their survey. Southern Methodist has an ongoing survey; University of Mississippi has spent $100.00 and plans another survey; University of Buffalo has surveyed a rare book collection as part of a university survey; South Texas has an itinerant person who surveys the collection periodically; University of Kansas spends 10-15 hours per week of staff time.

The question, "Do you recommend that other law libraries conduct collection preservation surveys, given time and effort involved", elicited 3 positive and 1 negative responses. The costs involved in the surveys ranged from $100 to $4,900. There was a range of time involved from 200 hours to 6-9 months.

Five academic libraries and 2 bar libraries have not done surveys, but would like to. Seventy-three academic, 4 private and 26 court libraries are not planning surveys. The main drawback is fiscal limitation. Some academic libraries indicated a willingness to commit funds or staff time ranging from 1 hour per week to $500. One bar library is planning a microform project and one library expressed the need for a grant.
"Would a survey be a waste of resources since you don’t have the staff, money or perceived need to preserve your books?" Responses were: academic: 21 yes, 33 no; private: 3 yes; court: 5 yes, 15 no. The last question asked for a priority rating of 1-10 for conducting a collection preservation survey. Only 2 libraries (both academic), responded with 10 (the highest priority). Most of the responses fell in the mid point with eleven responding with 4.

The feeling generated by the survey and some of the comments added indicated that preservation needs did not have a high priority. Many respondents expressed regret that this was so but deferred to financial constraints. Perhaps the preservation committee could act as a clearinghouse to assist libraries who are designing a program (however small) to contact others who have instituted a program.

**************
ON-LINE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES SIS
OCLC COMMITTEE
Janice Snyder Anderson
Georgetown University Law Library

The OCLC Committee will be meeting on Sunday, July 5, from 3-5 p.m. at the annual AALL meeting in Chicago. Frances McNamara from OCLC will be there to report on what’s new. She will cover such topics as:

CD-ROM Products

OCLC’s compact disc cataloging system, which is scheduled for release this fall, will enable libraries to perform cataloging at significantly reduced costs. This system permits libraries to do their routine cataloging using a subset of the online union catalog that is stored on compact discs. Records not found in the subset can be retrieved from the full online catalog. Cost savings result from reduced telecommunications charges and from batching online operations for processing in non-prime time.

Resource-sharing compact disc products will permit resource-sharing on a regional or state-wide basis, for both OCLC and non-OCLC libraries. The service will provide a group of libraries with a union catalog and also with an alternative to the OCLC online catalog for interlibrary loan.

For reference services, OCLC plans to offer a series of bibliographic databases on compact discs. Each subject-related package will include information from various sources. The first
set is the education package, which includes the ERIC database and Resources in Education files, as well as records drawn from OCLC's online catalog. All the databases in the series will be accessed using the same search software.

**OCLC Link**

This service is a "gateway" that allows libraries to access online databases over the OCLC telecommunication network. Users can access VU/TEXT, Wilsonline, Dialog, and BRS. LINK also provides for electronic mail service with other LINK users, and for an electronic bulletin board for announcements, etc., to be shared in-house or with other LINK users.

There will also be information at the meeting on the progress of the Oxford Project and on the AACR2 conversion of the OCLC database.

For those interested in automating other library functions, there will also be information presented on the micro-based acquisitions system (ACQ-350) and serials control system (SC-350). Following this, Ms. McNamara will take all of those who are interested to the exhibits area for hands-on demonstrations of these systems.

As you can see, there is a lot planned for this meeting. So mark your calendars and check your transportation schedules to allow for arriving in time for this year’s meeting.

***********************

**ON-LINE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES SIS**

**RLIN COMMITTEE**

Susanne Nevin

University of Minnesota

Among the most important RLIN documents received since February are:

Summary minutes of the Board of Governors' meeting of February 12-13, 1987, dated March 16, 1987. Items of interest discussed at that meeting include: support for RLG Recon and prospects of OCLC-RLG development; production of a free-standing personal-computer-based, multiscript workstation, to be available in Fall, 1987; a seminar on "RLG Business Planning and the Interaction of RLIN with Local Systems"; as well as recommendations and reports from committees. The next Board of Governors' meeting is scheduled for June 8-9, 1987.
Bibliographical Statistical Analysis (BSA) may be used to prepare a variety of statistical reports from selected bibliographic records in the RLIN data base according to individual needs. Copies of the publication may be requested from Ms. Christina Kasson (BL.CLS).

A note from Susan Jurist, dated April 3, on the subject of bar code readers. They identified two readers that would work with PC/terminals without requiring any development work on RLG's part: (1) Data Recall MR-230 and (2) Intermec 9570 Wedge Reader. For more information contact the respective companies.

Memo from James Michalko, dated April 3, concerning two RLIN rate announcements: (1) Online Recon via RLIN (e.g. rate reduction for "derivative-not upgraded" from $2.13 to 0.70) and (2) data loading into RLIN.

Minutes from the November 13-14, 1986 Bibtech meeting and a packet of background reading from Susan Jurist, both dated April 15, for the joint Local Systems/Bibtech meeting to be held in St. Louis, May 4-6.

A Memo from James Coleman, dated April 16, titled "Conspectus Revisions: Procedures and Effect on the Database."

You may want to look over some of these documents and add any questions about an item to the agenda of our committee's meeting in Chicago in July.

Our meeting has been tentatively scheduled for Sunday, July 5 from 3-5 p.m. Jan James from RLG has agreed once again to be there to give us an overview of the latest developments at RLG and future projects. I shall ask her to concentrate especially on the latest news from the Law Programs Committee, the Bib-Tech Committee and the latest Board of Governors meetings.

So far I received one request for an item to be added to the agenda: enhancements and time table for the RLIN PASS command. If you would like to add an item, please let me know at the latest by the end of May. This will give me time to ask Jan to get the necessary information for us, if needed. My phone number is: (612)625-1898 and my RLIN mail account is: BM.MNA.

(P.S. The University of Minnesota's plans to have LUMINA up and running by April 1 turned out to be a joke after all. New time
table: the staff mode may be up by the end of May and LUMINA, the public access mode, may be available in the Fall.

LAST ON THE LIST

Are you tired of being last on your library's routing list to see TSSL? Does each issue get buried on someone's desk? This problem can be solved by purchasing your own subscription! Your subscription can be sent to your choice of office or home addressee. Just send the subscription form in this issue along with a check made payable to AALL to Cindy Larter at the University of Pittsburgh. The cost is so minimal - isn't it worth it?
AALL NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE SOLICITS CANDIDATES

The 87/88 AALL Nominations Committee needs your help in selecting the slate of candidates for the 1988 AALL election of officers. At best, a committee can only bring its collective experience to the nomination process. We need your help to acquaint the committee members with worthy candidates that they might otherwise overlook.

The members of the committee are listed below, please feel free to contact any of the committee members directly or by using the attached form.

Thanks for your help!

Meg Chicco
Wes Daniels
Mark Estes
James Hambleton
Jim Heller
Jenni Parrish
Jill Sidford, Chair

SUGGESTED CANDIDATE FOR 1988 AALL ELECTION

Name of Candidate:________________________________________

Institution Represented by Candidate:__________________________

Office(s) Suggested:________________________________________

Have you advised this individual that you are submitting his/her name to the AALL Nominations Committee?

yes
no

Suggested Candidate’s Association Activities:____________________

Suggested Candidate’s Professional Accomplishments:___________

Append any additional information about the candidate. Please duplicate as many copies of this form that you need. Send to any member of the AALL Nominations Committee or to:

Jill Sidford, Chair
AALL Nominations Committee
O’Melveny & Myers Library
400 South Hope Street, Room 1352
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Subscriptions to volume 13 of the TECHNICAL SERVICES LAW LIBRARIAN are now due. So that you do not miss any of the issues, please send a $4.00 check to:

Cindy Larter, Editor
TSLL
University of Pittsburgh
Law Library
3900 Forbes Ave.
415 Law Bldg.
Pittsburgh, PA 15260

Make checks payable to:

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF LAW LIBRARIES

Name and/or institution

Address