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The TECHNICAL SERVICES LAW LIBRARIAN will carry reports or summaries of the convention meetings and programs of the TS-SIS and OBS-SIS, act as the vehicle of communication for SIS committee activities, and carry current awareness and short implementation reports. TSLH will not publish substantive articles. Subscriptions are available from the business manager at $4.00 per volume, prepaid; with v. 15, $6.00; checks should be made payable to: American Association of Law Libraries. ISSN 0195-4857
This second issue of Volume 14 initiates some changes in the publication. For one thing, this is the first issue for which I am responsible. Secondly, there is now a division of responsibilities with Alice McKenzie, TSSL's first Business Manager, taking over the business records and the actual printing and distribution of the newsletter. Please send your remittances and inquiries about non-receipt to her. My role is to receive the copy and to prepare it for publication. Deadlines for each issue are given below. I look forward to working with you and welcome your comments and suggestions. Thanks are always in order to our faithful columnists and contributors and especially to the editor for the past two years, Cindy Larter.

The first item below is a request from Carol Billings for input concerning recommendations currently under study on SIS structure. This follows the article on the same subject by Renee Chapman in the August issue. Please take the time to submit your comments to Carol, copying your SIS chairs. TSSL readers know what energetic, viable sections these are. However, I have been concerned for some time that that knowledge is not commonly held. I have suggested that TS and OBS section chairs consider submitting abbreviated reports to the AALL Newsletter from time to time. Committee chairs could do this as well. I certainly do not want to diminish the copy coming to my desk, but I think we should publicize our activities beyond these pages.
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SIS Council Solicits Comments from SIS Members
On Organizational Structure Recommendations
Carol Billings, Chair, SIS Council
Law Library of Louisiana

Upon the recommendation of the Special Committee on Organizational Structure, the Executive Board has asked the SIS Council to solicit comments from all SIS members, to deliberate, and to report its reaction to the Special Committee's report in time for study prior to the March 1989 board meeting. In order to prepare its response by the prescribed January deadline, the SIS Council needs members' opinions immediately, no later than December 2. Please mail your comments regarding any of the following Special Committee recommendations to your SIS chair:

1. "Consider the overlap and dilution of impact which exists" in the following SISs, and comment on their proposed merger: A. Legal Information Service to the Public and Reader Services, B. Automation and Scientific Development and
2. Consider the possibility of AALL Headquarters publication and distribution of all SIS brochures, and the publication of all SIS newsletters as an insert of certain AALL newsletters.

3. Consider the role and responsibility of AALL in supporting SIS meetings, i.e., in activities such as providing speakers or funding, regulating relationships with commercial sponsors, scheduling convention meetings and programs to avoid conflict among Association, Chapter and SIS interests.

4. Consider a bylaw amendment changing the AALL Executive Board structure to guarantee two seats for each type-of-library SIS: Academic, Private, and State, Court and County.

5. Consider a bylaw amendment to insure participation (but not membership) at Executive Board meetings by the Chairs of the SIS Council and Council of Chapter Presidents.

6. Consider changing the SIS dues structure and increasing dues through bylaw amendment.

7. Consider amending bylaw Article 7, Section 1 to require a written petition from 50 members rather than 25 to form a new SIS.

8. Consider a formal distinction in the Constitution and Bylaws between type-of-library and functional SISs.

Each year the members of the TS-SIS submit a wide range of programs designed to appeal to AALL members from all types of law libraries. This year is no exception. The Chair of the Education Committee, Joan Howland, has approved two TS-SIS workshops, and the Program Chair, Mark Estes, has given his final approval to eight TS-SIS programs for the 1989 Reno meeting.

A workshop entitled "Online Public Catalog: Issues and Answers for Law Libraries" co-sponsored by the Readers' Services SIS and OBS-SIS, will focus on the impact and management of online public access catalogs. For those unable to attend the workshop, the program "Quality Control in an Online Environment" will complement the workshop, and is scheduled during the convention.
"Weeding/Deselection of Library Collections" is a workshop that will address the who, what, why, where, and when of deselection and weeding in firm, academic, and public law libraries.

"No Variations on a Theme: Uniform Titles" is a program that is being coordinated by Richard Amelung and will be held after the Sunday business meeting. Technical services librarians will not want to miss this program. However, public services librarians interested in knowing how this material is organized, should also plan on attending. Other programs selected for Reno include:

- "Collection Development Policies: An Unnecessary Hindrance or a Needed Blessing?" co-sponsored by the Academic SIS.
- "LC Classification in Firm and Corporate Law Libraries," co-sponsored by the Private Law Libraries SIS.
- "Law Book Paper & Binding: Why They Aren't Better & What We Can Do," co-sponsored by the Committee on Relations with Information Vendors.
- "A Mixed Bag: the Cataloging and Management of Mixed Media," co-sponsored by the AV/Micrographics SIS.
- "Technical Services Librarians: Flight or Fight?" co-sponsored by the Academic SIS.

Remember that planning for the Minneapolis convention in 1990 begins when the 1989 Membership Surveys are mailed to the members of TS-SIS. It is from this survey that Cindy Larter, Vice Chair/Chair Elect, the Executive Board and the Standing Committee Chairs will select program topics, as well as individuals who are interested in coordinating programs. Please take the time to complete this survey!

Acquisitions

By Marilyn K. Nicely
University of Oklahoma Law Library

A little over a year ago I saw an article in Library Journal about University Press Books. But I was never able to locate an address or a phone number (even in the OCLC name address directory). So I finally turned to Columbia University--and used my "connections" to get the information inserted at the end of this column. University Press Books looks like a special source to solve a special problem.

Readers, do any of you have any information you'd like to share? Please consider calling me up or writing a line to be included in this column. I imagine most of you have read Volume 11, no. 1 of CRIV Sheet (formerly Publications Clearing House Bulletin) which will now appear three times annually as a supplement of the AALL Newsletter. I suppose ultimately there
may be a need to review the relationship of this column to CRIV. It is good to see acquisitions issues in a more general, less specialized forum. Comments?

The following information was provided by Marie P. Wolkoff, Head of Resources, Columbia University Law School Library:

University Press Books, a department of the New School for Social Research in New York City, is a specialty bookstore devoted to the publications of university presses. The store stocks new titles from all American university presses, a growing number of Canadian and British university presses, and a smattering of other foreign university presses which publish titles in the English language. In addition, University Press Books maintains a backlist in all subject areas. The backlist began accumulating with the opening of the store in 1986 and rarely predates 1986.

Orders may be placed by phone, by mail or in person. When ordering, it is helpful to keep in mind that the store stocks titles published by the designated press of a university (Columbia University Press, Ohio State University Press, etc.) and not the publications of a university's various other presses. In-stock titles are processed by the day following receipt of order and shipped UPS. If a title is not in stock, the store will special order it. These titles are ordered directly by and for the bookstore and then shipped to the customer. The store is located at 65 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10003. It is a part of the New School complex but does have a direct entrance on East 14th Street as well as an entrance through the School itself. The telephone number is (212) 206-1574.

ON DESCRIPTION AND ENTRY
Melody Lembke and Rhonda Lawrence
Los Angeles County Law Library

Please send any questions that you may have about descriptive cataloging to the editors of this column. We will either answer your individual letter, or we will pass your question along to the Library of Congress. The editors want to thank Ben Tucker and his staff, particularly Adele Hallam, Senior Descriptive Cataloging Specialist in the Office for Descriptive Cataloging Policy at the Library of Congress, for taking time to answer our questions.

Question no. 1

When is the Library of Congress going to establish a uniform title for the Canadian Constitution? Record DCLC83174350 is for a work that is nothing but the text of the constitution with all of its amendments, but someone entered the work under the compiling agency. We think it was just to avoid having to come up with a uniform title! The Constitution Act, 1982 changed all of the old citation titles in the British North American acts to the Constitution Act. However, even though the Australian
constitution has a citation title for the constitution, the uniform title in the authority file is "Constitution." See NAFL8040172 for Australia's uniform title.

Answer no. 1

Ben Tucker replied to the questions about the uniform titles for the Canadian and Australian constitutions this way: "Our record 83-174350 is certainly one that can be held up to ridicule, and the sooner we correct it the better. We have decided we should not have omitted the word 'Act,' so that the forms would be [Constitution Act, 1867] and [Constitution Act, 1982]. Then the heading for the Australian constitution would be: Australia. [Constitution Act] arriving at this form on the basis of rule 25.3B, RI 25.3B, and the citation title ('Short title: This act may be cited as the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act.')"

EDITORS' NOTE: DCLC record 83-174350-b, revised in June 1988 shows that LC changed the entry, and established uniform titles for both the 1867 and 1982 Canadian constitution. Our intent is never to ridicule LC records; someone could always point a finger at some of our records and giggle! The two authority records for the Canadian constitutions also reflect the latest LC revisions.
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Record DCLC 81-172959-b shows an added entry for the Australian Constitution Act. The cross references on the authority record NAFL 8040172 from Great Britain as the enacting jurisdiction reflect the new LC rule interpretation, LCRI 21.33A, for constitutions, charters and other fundamental laws. Previously under AACR2 21.33A, these cross references would have originally been added entries if the work had been entered under the Australian Constitution Act. Now the new rule interpretation states that "Because this method provides for the needed access point only when the main entry heading is the jurisdiction for which the constitution, etc. was enacted but not when such a constitution, etc., is given as an added or subject entry, the Library of Congress uniformly makes an enacting jurisdiction/uniform title reference in the authority record for the governing jurisdiction/uniform title instead of the added entry called for by the rule." (CSB, no. 41, Summer 1988, p. 27)
Question no. 2

As discussed in question no. 1, LC has issued a new rule interpretation for making cross references on authority records for enacting jurisdictions for constitutions instead of making added entries as per AACR2 21.33A. Similar rule interpretations were issued for 21.31B1, Laws governing one jurisdiction; 21.35A1, International treaties; 21.35B, Agreements contracted by international governmental bodies; and 21.35C, Agreements contracted by the Holy See. For each of these rules, LC now makes appropriate cross references in the authority records for the headings not chosen as main entries. We wrote LC and asked: Is LC going to apply the new RI's on 21.31B1, 21.33A, 21.35B, and 21.35C retrospectively? Or only on new works? Or selectively to older AACR 2 works as they come up? Is LC also going to send something to the Joint Steering Committee to initiate a rule change?

Answer no. 2

Adele Hallam wrote that LC would apply the LCRI "Chiefly only on records for which new headings falling under these rules need to be established." She also stated that LC would be proposing a rule change.

Question no. 3

Most of our questions have been about uniform titles. This one is about description of titles. On the title page for California real estate forms and commentaries, the authors' names are not grammatically linked to the title. Their names do appear in full form after the title, however; the online record DCLC84-922-b shows that their names have been recorded in the statement of responsibility. The CIP used an at head of title note, and did not include the authors' names as part of the title. DCLC record 84-922-b does include the authors' names as part of the title. Isn't a uniform title needed for this work, per AACR2 rule 25.3B? (Oops, we did return to uniform titles again, didn't we?)

Librury of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data

Cheatham, Robert W
California real estate forms and commentaries.

At head of title: Cheatham and Merritt.
Includes bibliographical references and indexes.
1. Real property—California—Forms. 2. Real estate business—California—Forms. I. Merritt, Robert E., 1941-. II Title.
KFC140.A65C48 1984 346.79404'.6'0269 84-922

CHEATHAM AND MERRITT
CALIFORNIA REAL ESTATE FORMS AND COMMENTARIES

Robert W. Cheatham
Robert E. Merritt, Jr.
Answer no. 3

Mr. Tucker made the following comments: "Our interpretation is that because the statement of authorship follows the title, the authors' names given at the beginning of the title form an integral part of the title. Ergo, the title as transcribed is correct and no uniform title is needed. Because this type of transcription is quite common in law books and is handled differently by different catalogers, we shall consider making it clear by adding an example of it in the RI."

Question no. 4

Dana Dvorak at the Law Society of Upper Canada is curious about the choice of entry for DCLC 08-9771-s, A Manual for the use of the General Court. We realize that for older records which appear in MARC format, LC has made no attempt to change choice of entry even though the form of entry may be corrected to AACR2 form. As a theoretical question, however, if a collection such as this Manual were being cataloged today under AACR2, what do you think the choice of entry should be? See the 520 note for some of the contents.
The answer to question 4 is:

The response from Adele Hallam: "...The AACR 2 choice of main entry is the title, judging by consulting the volumes on the shelf."

---

**Preservation**

Patricia Denham
University of Cincinnati

The Preservation Committee will sponsor a program next year on the quality of materials used in law books. Tentatively titled, "Law Book Paper & Binding: Why They Aren't Better and What We Can Do," its co-coordinators are Patricia Denham from the Preservation Committee and Steve Barkan from the Committee on Relations with Information Vendors. Representatives from West Publishing and Lawyers Co-op will talk about how they make decisions on paper and binding. There will also be a discussion of a survey to be sent to law publishers and reprinter asking if their publications conform to published binding standards, what
printers and binders they use, and if they plan any changes as a result of the recent resolution on paper standards approved by AALL.

The preservation column in the February 1989 issue of TSLL will include an article by guest editor Ellen McGrath of the SUNY-Buffalo Law Library on their library's preservation program.

INSTITUTE REPORT. In September I attended "New Directions in Library Binding: Life After 'Class A'," in Atlanta, a 2-day institute planned by the Preservation of Library Materials Section of the Resources and Technical Services Division of the American Library Association and sponsored by the Library Binding Institute. There were 120 participants who came from as far away as Hawaii and Alaska. The speakers, 8 librarians and 3 binders, all had the same theme: we librarians need to educate ourselves about binding options and standards, communicate with our binders, visit our binderies, write or revise contracts which specify preferred methods of leaf attachment and materials, and coordinate binding and preservation programs.

We learned that for years librarians have sent their unbound serials and monographs to their binders and assumed they would be returned in good, usable form. But now it is known that the former "usable" was usually oversewn, with tight inner margins and poor openability. Depending on the original sewing or adhesive and the size of the volume, there are other preferred types of binding which are used now. It is up to us to learn about them and to talk to our binders about making changes. We will be rewarded with books that open flat and stay open and can be photocopied easily.

Other speakers discussed contracts and written specifications, in-house repair, the materials used by library binders, quality control in the library, identifying and preserving volumes that should not be bound, and automation and microfilming. On the second day, we broke up into small groups which evaluated individual serials and books and discussed suitable binding methods.

I will send copies of "Guidelines for Inspecting Library Bound Volumes" and "Methods of Leaf Attachment: A Decision Tree for Library Binding," both single pages from the University of Connecticut Libraries, to those requesting them. Please write to Patricia Denham, University of Cincinnati Law Library, Mail Location 142, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221-0142.

A copy of the Library Binding Institute's 8th edition of the Standard of Library Binding is a necessity for a preservation conscious binding program. It provides specific standards which certified library binders should use and a common language for librarians and binders. Copies may be obtained for $5.00 prepaid from the Library Binding Institute, 8013 Centre Park Drive, Austin, Texas 78754.
SELECTED CONTENTS OF PRESERVATION PERIODICALS

The author describes how procedures were developed at the Ohio State University Libraries for technical services staff to identify materials in process that need to be considered for preservation treatment before they are added to the collection.

Grover, Mark L. "Paper and Binding Quality of Latin American Books." Abbey Newsletter, 12, no. 5 (July 1988).
A survey at Brigham Young University found that 64% of randomly selected volumes had a pH below 5.4 (very acidic) while 100% of books from some countries were in that category. Only 3% had hard covers and most of the rest were sewn or stapled thru the fold or adhesive bound, necessitating rebinding or other preservation attention.

QUARTERLY QUOTE: "Three steps to enhance your binding program are 1) align your binding program with your preservation program, 2) plan to pay more for binding because special services will cost more, and 3) communicate with knowledgeable people at your bindery." Carolyn Morrow.

SERIALS
Jean Pajerek
Cornell University

The following serials changed title recently and were caught by the Cornell Law Library acquisitions staff:

Arizona bar journal.
Changed to Arizona lawyer. v. 25, no. 1 (Sept. 1988)-

ASILS international law journal.
Changed to ILSA journal of international law. v. 11 (1987)-

California prisoners and civil narcotic addicts.
Changed to California prisoners and parolees. 1986-

Crime and social justice.
Changed to Social justice. v. 15 (Spring 1988)-

Stanford environmental law annual.
Changed to Stanford environmental law journal. v. 6 (1986)-

Supreme Court reporter.
Changed to West's Supreme Court reporter. v. 105A-
The Library of Congress Subject Headings, 11th ed. has arrived! The three (3) red volumes include no less than 4,164 consecutively numbered pages, and collectively weigh about 29 lbs. Once we recover from the initial shock over its size and likewise hefty price ($150.00), we can't help but notice some major differences between LCSH11 and the earlier editions.

The greatest difference is in the terminology used to express relationships. Instead of the traditional see, sa (see also), x (see from), and xx (see also from), the new list uses codes which are standard to many thesauri: USE, NT (narrower term), UF (used for), BT (broader term), and RT (related term). Here's an illustration of the changes:

**10th ed.**

- Capital punishment (Indirect)
  - sa Crucifixion
  - Death row
  - Electrocution
  - Executions and executioners
  - Garrote
  - Guillotine
  - Hanging
  - Last meal before execution
  - Stoning
  - x Abolition of capital punishment
  - Death penalty
  - xx Criminal law
  - Executions and executioners
  - Punishment

**11th ed.**

- Capital punishment (May Subd Geog)
  - UF Abolition of capital punishment
  - BT Criminal law
  - RT Executions and executioners
  - NT Crucifixion
  - Death row
  - Electrocution
  - Garrote
  - Guillotine
  - Hanging
  - Last meal before execution
  - Stoning
  - Abolition of capital punishment
  - Death penalty
  - Criminal law
  - Executions and executioners
  - Punishment

Not only have the relationship codes changed, the groups of associated headings are arranged in a different sequence. The new category RT (related term) is used for headings that had previously been listed as both sa and xx references. Note also that the "Indirect" instruction has been replaced by a much more comprehensible direction, "May Subd Geog." In some cases a decision not to allow geographic subdivision is indicated:

- Civil service (May Subd Geog)
  - Pensions (Not Subd Geog)

In her article "LC Subject Headings Disguised as a Thesaurus" (March 1, 1988 issue of Library Journal), Mary Dykstra was extremely critical of the Library of Congress decision to use the codes associated with thesauri when the headings being linked by these codes do not conform to the strict hierarchical
relationships which are standard to thesauri. Capital punishment, for instance, has had its new symbols applied by the computer in a mechanical fashion; no human has intervened to evaluate whether or not the BT/NT headings are truly superordinate/subordinate to Capital punishment.

Nevertheless, LC is making an attempt to embrace the principles of hierarchy. For new headings established after 1984 the BT/NT links are made only between headings which have a genus/species, whole/part, or 'instance' relationship (see sect. 370, Subject Cataloging Manual: Subject Headings). In fact, changes are also being made to older headings to make their cross-reference structures more hierarchical. For example, in 1987 LC cancelled the "see also from" references under the heading Suffrage for these six headings: Citizenship, Constitutional law, Democracy, Election law, Elections, and Political science. Nevertheless, five of these headings continue to point (but now indirectly) to the heading Suffrage through the LCSH11 NT or RT references indicated below:

Most of this structure does reflect the new policy, described in the Introduction to LCSH11: "A heading is normally linked to one immediately next to it in the subject heading hierarchy. Since the referenced headings are linked in turn to other headings, references for distant relationships are no longer made. References leading to two or more levels in a hierarchy reflect an obsolete practice." Some of the references under Political science appear to belong to the obsolete, 'distant' type. Since LC is continuing its review of such headings, we should expect many more revisions to cross-references in future LCSH editions.
The Local Systems Committee met for the first time this summer in Atlanta. There isn't much to report since then, mostly because I have been up to my ears installing a local system!

Nan Hoegerle and Toni Forrester are working on a directory of libraries who have done conversion, detailing the steps they went through, vendor selection, etc. If you are contacted about this, please respond! Conversion of manual records is an obstacle that we all face, regardless of the specific systems we use.

I know it's early, but I would like to remind TLLL readers that I am interested in hearing about possible discussion topics for next year's meeting and/or projects that you think we should look at. Any and all ideas or concerns are welcomed. You can reach me (or at least leave a message!) at (207) 780-4893. My address is: Garbrecht Law Library, 246 Deering Avenue, Portland, ME 04102. If you're on RLIN, my E-Mail account is bm.x68.
TECHNICAL SERVICES SIS
AD HOC COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE PUBLISHING OPPORTUNITIES FOR TECHNICAL SERVICES LIBRARIANS
Brian Striman
University of Nebraska

Renee Chapman, Chair of TS-SIS, established the Ad Hoc Committee to Investigate Publishing Opportunities for Technical Services Law Librarians (for this news item hereafter called CIPO). The charge of CIPO is: To gather and organize information concerning existing, as well as potential, publishing avenues for technical services law librarians and to submit a full report prior to, or at the 1990 TS-SIS business meeting in Minneapolis. Progress reports will be published for TS-SIS membership in TSLL and at the 1989 TS-SIS business meeting in Reno. All efforts of the Committee shall be coordinated with currently existing AALL committees associated with publishing.

CIPO will provide information to help answer the following questions (this list is only a starting point):
- What can TS-SIS do to encourage publishing by the members of our section?
- How do other organizations such as the American Library Association and Special Libraries Association encourage publishing among their members? (For example, the Women's Studies Section of ALA suggests publishing opportunities to its members in a column within its section's newsletter.)
- Do technical services librarians in law publish enough to inform, stimulate and challenge their colleagues?
- Do technical services librarians in law publish enough to inform, stimulate and challenge the general community?

Note from the CIPO Chair: This is a very worthwhile project. We'll be exploring a wide variety of traditional and non-traditional publishing avenues, from our own AALL avenues (e.g., LII, TSLL, Occasional Paper Series) to non-AALL avenues (e.g., Technical Services Quarterly) to commercial publishers (e.g. Hein and Rothman) to e-mail (e.g., ABA.net). The result of our work will at least be a document, which if published itself, would be a valuable manual/guide for technical services librarians in law who are interested in publishing and sharing their knowledge but don't really know what's out there and how to go about taking the first steps to getting something published. CIPO members will be putting on their press hats to gather information on publishing guidelines and criteria from publishers as well as to be ex-officio Advisors to share ideas and opinions along the way. CIPO will be around 10 members with a mixed composition of catalogers, TS librarians, Heads of TS, acquisitions and Heads of Acquisitions librarians from different sizes and types of law libraries. Thus far, CIPO has this formidable group of intrepid adventurers: Sue Burkhart (UCLA); Joan Englander (SMU); Ellen
McGrath (SUNY-Buffalo); Carol Nicholson (U. of No. Carolina); Phil Post (Capitol U.); Deanna Wood (Ohio State U.). CIPPO Advisory members are: Paula Perry (David, Polk & Wardwell); Cindy Larter (Pittsburgh); Janis Johnston (Notre Dame); Alice McKenzie (Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison). Please contact me to share concerns, ideas, volunteer (?) and comments about this project.

TECHNICAL SERVICES SIS
PRESERVATION COMMITTEE
Linda Nalnis
Georgetown University

The Standing Committee on Preservation met from 7:30 to 8:30 Tuesday morning June 28, with Linda Nalnis chairing the meeting. The meeting began with reports on committee projects, included updates of preservation news and elicited program ideas for the 1989 AALL meeting.

Projects. Jacqueline Rice and Hope Breeze reported on their investigations of the acceptability, from a preservation viewpoint, of four types of commercially available pamphlet bindings. Written results of their studies were made available to Preservation Committee members. It was suggested that further publication of these findings would be very useful.

Jacqueline Rice found that there was little literature available on the subject of pamphlet binders. She based her study of Gaylord and Velo binders on other data: for example, promotional literature of the two products and their competition, the observed physical characteristics and mechanics of the two binders. In addition, she consulted with a preservation specialist. In her report, she described the construction of the two types of binders, including any special equipment needs, pointed out arguments against each type, and described several hand-made and commercially available alternatives to each.

Hope Breeze studied the use of Therm-A-Bind and Togic binding systems, both of which require the use of special equipment. The Therm-A-Bind machine glues pages to a binder. The Togic, like the Velo binders described by Jacqueline Rice, punctures holes in the pamphlet covers and pages of the document. Hope described the way each system works, and provided both positive and negative features of each. As with the Velo and Gaylord binders described above, considerations, beyond the cost, include whether the system is easy to use, whether the glue and binders are acid-free, whether the resulting booklet is easily opened and photocopied, whether the inside margins of the pamphlet are punctured (thus potentially reducing the possibility of successful rebinding), and how the finished product affects adjacent volumes on the library shelf.

Robert Connell reported on the progress he and Sonia Solomonoff had made in an ongoing study to identify published standards regarding environmental conditions under which library
materials should be stored. A preliminary bibliography on the subject was presented to the committee. They found a great many books and other works on this topic but few published standards. An annotated list of the organizations and individuals identified as having an interest in this topic was provided. They plan to continue their work in the coming year.

Diana Vincent-Davis has done some background work on a survey of Preservation Programs that will expand upon a similar survey done several years ago. She plans to frame two surveys, one for larger libraries that have a preservation department or structure, one for smaller institutions that may have less formal programs. She will include questions of interest to the Special Committee on the Preservation Needs of Law Libraries, and also questions to elicit suggestions for future AALL preservation programs.

Henry So reported on the Preservation Guidelines being developed by his work group. He announced that he would be interested in more volunteers for this project. He envisions that these guidelines would be short and to-the-point. An outline has been prepared.

Laura Bedard is coordinating a group of preservation-conscious librarians interested in the preservation needs of rare books and archives. She would like to hear from the group about their ideas for further activity, and hopes to incorporate rare books and archive questions into Diana Vincent-Davis' survey described above.

Updates. Diana Vincent-Davis described the activities of the Special Committee on the Preservation Needs of Law Libraries, and circulated that group's annual report. She told of her interest in taking the "show on the road," to address the preservation needs of law librarians in various regions of the country. The Preservation Committee members, with representation across the nation, offered to help her by researching what local preservation resources exist in their areas of the country.

Will Meredith handed out samples of a novelty item created for publicity and fund-raising to support the brittle books program at Harvard. He described a study, that he and Linda Nainis are conducting, of methods used by commercial micropublishers.

Linda Nainis distributed copies of the Permanent Paper Resolution, which had been passed by the AALL Executive Board the previous Saturday. She was commended by the group for the work she and Diana Vincent-Davis had done in preparing the resolution.

Program Ideas. Following lively discussion, some program suggestions, including disaster planning, selection of materials for preservation, and a hands-on workshop on repair, were
postponed until the following year. It was decided to suggest two programs to the program committee: (1) Law Book Paper and Binding: Why They Aren't Better and What We Can Do; this program would, in part, provide the results of a planned survey of law publishers directed at their paper and binding choices; the survey and planning for the program would be conducted jointly with the Committee on Relations with Information Vendors; and (2) Preservation of Archival Materials; it would be sponsored cooperatively with the Private Law Librarians SIS and the State Court and County SIS; it would encompass proper handling and microfilming techniques for law records and firm files.

TECHNICAL SERVICES SIS
SERIALS COMMITTEE
Janis L. Johnston
Notre Dame University

The preparations for the Serials Standing Committee activities for the coming year are well underway. Betsy Reidinger will continue to serve on the Cabinet along with new members Norma Feld and Mary Ann Van Cura. I am sorry to report that the program proposal on serial/continuation statistics submitted by the Committee for Reno was not approved. However, other important work is in progress.

Norma Feld has assumed the responsibilities of chairing the Special Committee on Uniform Bar Codes for Legal Publications. This group is investigating the possibility of having bar codes printed on legal serials for check-in and circulation. Norma has been monitoring the progress of SISAC in attempting to have its code adopted as the ANSI standard for bar codes. In early November SISAC will meet again. After that we should hear more about their progress with ANSI and on talks with library automation vendors about system compatibility. During the coming year this special committee hopes to investigate the amount of support existing among legal publishers for this idea, and the ability of various automated serial control systems to accommodate bar code use.

The Ad Hoc Committee to Study ANSI and MARC Holdings Statements has been continuing its work. Lorna Tang, chair of this committee, has recently reported that a final draft of the ANSI Standard for Non-Serial Holdings (239.57-198X) has been submitted to ANSI voting members for approval. Lorna and her committee are pleased with the draft, noting that the authors have worked very hard to conform this standard with the standard on serials holdings. If you would like a copy of the draft, please contact Lorna.

Other ideas for projects are under consideration and with enough support will be undertaken this year.
ANNOUNCEMENTS

1. Margie Axtmann, Cornell, has requested that an addendum be published to correct the News Flash for Acquisitions Librarians in the August issue. As most of you have probably realized by now, the Publications Clearing House Bulletin (PCHB) did not cease publication. It has changed its title and is now published as The CRIV Sheet, an insert in the AALL Newsletter three times a year. The editor is Kendall F. Svengalis, Rhode Island State Law Library.

2. The following information was received from Rhonda Lawrence:
   Peter Enyingi, Head of Technical Services; Melody Busse Lembke, Assistant Head of Technical Services; and Rhonda Lawrence (formerly Rhonda Lawrence Mittan), Senior Cataloger at the Los Angeles County Law Library, have completed the second edition of their work, Cataloging Legal Literature: a Manual on AACR2 and Library of Congress Subject Headings for Legal Materials. Published as number 22 in the AALL Publications Series, Cataloging Legal Literature is now available from Fred B. Rothman and Company. The second edition includes AACR2 rule changes and interpretations issued as of winter 1988, illustrates catalog records in the MARC format, and includes a revised section on cataloging looseleaf publications.

3. Carol Avery Nicholson, University of North Carolina, has provided the following announcement which will also appear in the November and December issues of the AALL Newsletter:
   If you missed the AALL 1988 Basic Cataloging Institute, here's your chance to get the program materials. The program materials prepared for the Institute include bibliographies, handouts and exercises. The exercises (with answer keys) cover descriptive cataloging; access points; LC classification and subject headings; and serials and looseleafs. Please send order requests to: Martha Brown, American Association of Law Libraries, 53 West Jackson Blvd., Suite 940, Chicago, Illinois 60604. The price per copy is $65. All orders must be prepaid.

4. Renee D. Chapman, Chair, TS-SIS, has forwarded a request from Carol A. Suhr, Chair, Grants Committee 1988-89, that the information on grants be included in TSLL. The application forms appear as the last pages of this issue. Those preferring to use a full-size form should use the one to appear in the AALL Newsletter or request it from: Carol A. Suhr, Chair, Scholarships and Grants Committee, American Association of Law Libraries, 53 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 940, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

AALL GRANTS PROGRAM

The American Association of Law Libraries is once again sponsoring its Grants Program, in anticipation of the 1989 Annual Meeting. At the request of the AALL Grants Committee, the grant
application form is included at the end of this newsletter. Further information about this program and another copy of the grant application form is published in the December 1988 AALL Newsletter.

The Grants Program is funded through contributions from law book dealers, publishers, and other friends of AALL. The number of grants and the size of each award varies, depending on the need and the amount of money available in the Program.

It is necessary to be a member of AALL or of an AALL chapter to be eligible to receive a grant. AALL members are given preference. Individuals who have been in the profession of law librarianship longer than five years or individuals who have received a grant in the past are not eligible to apply. Grants are awarded on the basis of: a) proven or potential ability, b) promise of future usefulness and permanence in the law library profession, c) financial need, and d) contributions to the profession.

The deadline for completed applications is March 1, 1989. All those eligible to apply for a grant are encouraged to do so.
American Association of Law Libraries
Application for Grant - 1989

PURPOSE:
The purpose of the AALL Grants Program is to financially reward librarians who hold promise of future involvement in the law library profession. The Program is administered by the AALL Grants Committee. By a decision of the AALL Executive Board, grants may be used only to support attendance at AALL Annual Meetings. Preference will be given to new, active members of AALL or of an AALL chapter.

QUALIFICATIONS:
An applicant must be a member of the American Association of Law Libraries or of a Chapter of the Association. An applicant who has been a member of AALL for more than five years is disqualified. Prior grant recipients are not eligible to apply. An applicant will not be considered who applies late or who submits an incomplete application.

In the event circumstances prevent the use of the grant by a grant recipient, the award reverts to the Committee for disposition at the discretion of the Chair. If a person does not use the grant, it is then possible for that person to apply in another year.

* * * * * * * * *

Date: ______________________

1. Name: ______________________

2. Title: ______________________

3. Institution/Employer: ________________

4. Work Address and Phone: ________________

5. Home Address and Phone: ________________

6. Are you a member of AALL? ______ If so, when did you join? ________________

7. Are you a member of an AALL Chapter? ______ If so, specify which chapter and state the year you joined. ________________

8. List the years you attended AALL Annual Meetings. ________________

9. List membership on AALL Committees or SIS's (present and past). ________________

10. List chapter activities. ________________

11. Employment in law librarianship (previous places of employment, titles, lengths of service). ________________

25
12. Other relevant employment.______________________________________________________

13. Educational background (beyond high school, dates, degrees).________________________

14. What percentage of your Annual Meeting expenses will your employer pay?__________

15. Estimate transportation expenses (car, airfare).____________________________________

16. Briefly describe your duties and responsibilities in your current law library posi-
tion.________________________________________________________________________
_____________________________________________________________________________

17. What is your career goal? ______________________________________________________

18. How do you feel you will benefit from attendance at this Annual Meeting?___________

Signature required:

I have not received an AALL grant in the past. If I receive a grant for this Annual
Meeting and if for any reason I cannot attend, I shall return the grant money to the
Chair of the AALL Grants Committee.

_____________________________________________________________________________

(Applicant's signature) (Date)

Two letters of recommendation are required and must be sent with your application. It
would be helpful if one of these letters could be from a person who supervises your
work and who is familiar with your responsibilities and who could include information
about your potential contribution to the field of law librarianship and AALL, as well
as the need for this award. Preferably, the other letter should be from someone who is
not a fellow staff member.

APPLICANTS ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR ASSURING THAT ALL INFORMATION NEEDED IS RE-
CEIVED BEFORE THE DEADLINE. IN FAIRNESS TO OTHERS, NO LATE OR INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS
WILL BE PROCESSED.

Please send the original and ten (10) copies of both this application and your letters
of recommendation to:

Carol A. Suhre, Chair
Scholarships and Grants Committee
American Association of Law Libraries
53 West Jackson Boulevard, Suite 940
Chicago, Illinois 60604

ALSO ENCLOSE A STAMPED, SELF-ADDRESSED ENVELOPE AND A STAMPED, SELF-ADDRESSED POSTCARD
TO BE USED TO NOTIFY YOU THAT YOUR APPLICATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED AND OF THE COMMITTEE'S
DECISION.
TECHNICAL SERVICES LAW LIBRARIAN

c/o Alice McKenzie
Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison
Spear St. Tower, 1 Market Plaza
San Francisco, CA  94105