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ANNUAL MEETING BUSINESS MEETINGS

Saturday, June 16

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>until 2:00 p.m.</td>
<td>SIS and Chapter Training Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:00 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>OBS-SIS Outgoing Executive Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:00 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Outgoing Executive Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m.</td>
<td>OBS-SIS 1991 Program Planning Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6:00 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.</td>
<td>TS/OBS/RS Joint Reception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 p.m. - 9:30 p.m.</td>
<td>SIS Council Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sunday, June 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00 noon - 1:00 p.m.</td>
<td>OBS-SIS Local Systems Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:00 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Serials Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:45 p.m. - 4:15 p.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Acquisitions Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4:30 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Business Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 p.m. - 7:30 p.m.</td>
<td>Ad Hoc Committee to Investigate Publishing Opportunities in TS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7:30 p.m. - 9:30 p.m.</td>
<td>OBS-SIS WLN Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tuesday, June 19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:00 a.m. - 8:30 a.m.</td>
<td>TSLL Executive Board Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:00 a.m. - 9:30 a.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Cataloging &amp; Classification Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00 a.m. - 10:00 a.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Preservation Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 a.m. - 11:30 a.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Exchange Duplicates Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30 a.m. - 12:30 a.m.</td>
<td>OBS-SIS OCLC Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 p.m. - 1:30 p.m.</td>
<td>OBS-SIS Incoming Board Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30 p.m. - 2:30 p.m.</td>
<td>TS-SIS Incoming Board Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**TSLL EDITORIAL POLICY**

The Technical Services Law Librarian will carry reports or summaries of the convention meetings and other programs of the TS-SIS and OBS-SIS, act as the vehicle of communication for SIS committee activities, and carry current awareness and short implementation reports. Prospective authors are urged to contact the editor for style information. Statements and opinions of the authors are theirs alone and do not necessarily reflect those of the AALL or the TS-SIS or OBS-SIS.

Subscriptions are available from the Business Manager at $6.00 per volume, prepaid. Checks are to be made payable to: American Association of Law Libraries. ISSN 0195-4857

**EDITOR'S NOTE**

Help Wanted. Volunteers are needed for the positions of Editor and Business Manager beginning with Vol. 16, no. 2, the October issue. Both positions will continue much as they are now for the next year. Either Alice or I would be happy to describe the responsibilities of these positions. Your applications should reach me no later than June 1 for consideration at the TSLL Board Meeting in Minneapolis. Serving your profession in this way is interesting and rewarding. I encourage your participation.

Copy Wanted. If the May issue is the Annual Meeting preview issue, then the August issue certainly serves as the Annual Meeting report issue. Copy is due July 17. Section and committee chairs, program coordinators and anyone charged with writing up any aspect of the Annual Meeting for OBS or TS, please note that date. For all those submitting copy, fax is fine. But I would appreciate your following the faxed copy with a mailed copy. Fax copies can be blurred with numbers difficult to decipher. Is it an 8 or a 3? A typed copy makes checking easier.

Payment Wanted. The invoice for volume 16 is enclosed with this issue. We have been advised to go ahead and invoice for volume 16 as implementation of the receipt of this newsletter as a benefit of OBS-SIS or TS-SIS membership is not expected for a year. There have been delays working out details of the dues, separate subscription fees, printing and distribution from Headquarters, etc. In Minneapolis OBS-SIS will decide whether to publish its own newsletter. If the decision is in the affirmative, it will still be as much as a year before the new newsletter can be put in place. Therefore, for volume 16, we are invoicing as usual. Please renew promptly in order to continue receiving TSLL. In addition to your payment, we would appreciate your providing some information. If the subscription is in a library or firm name, do you want to continue subscribing as a library or firm once one or more librarians are receiving TSLL as a benefit of membership? We need to know how much demand there will be for non-member subscriptions.
## ANNUAL MEETING SECTION PROGRAMS AT A GLANCE

### Saturday, June 16
8:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.  Law Serials Management: or What They Never Taught You in Library School - Workshop

### Monday, June 18
8:45 a.m. - 10:15 a.m.  Automation of Library Functions in Law Firm Libraries
10:30 a.m. - 12:00 noon  Bar Coding Revisited
1:30 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.  The Second Time Around: Migration to Second Generation Library Systems

### Tuesday, June 19
8:45 a.m. - 10:15 a.m.  Politics of Selection: Practical Problems of Collection Development
10:30 a.m. - 12:00 noon  "Title Varies": Avoid the Embarrassment of Sloppy Serials and Continuations Cataloging
2:30 p.m. - 4:00 p.m.  Beyond the Technical Services Department: Growth Within the Profession
4:15 p.m. - 5:45 p.m.  Acquiring Minds Want to Know: Realizing the Maximum Potential in Automated Acquisitions Systems

### Wednesday, June 20
8:45 a.m. - 10:15 a.m.  Collecting Out of Print and Rare Books: The Mysteries Revealed
8:45 a.m. - 10:15 a.m.  Navigating by Experts: Expert Systems for Reference
10:30 a.m. - 12:00 noon  Preserving Our Collections: The Administrative Challenge

### Thursday, June 21
8:30 a.m. - 4:30 p.m.  Library of Congress "Law of Europe" Classification Schedule - Workshop

---

### ONLINE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES SIS SLATE OF CANDIDATES 1990-1991

The nominating committee of the Online Bibliographic Services SIS is pleased to announce that the following members have accepted its nomination to run for office. The candidates are:

- **Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect**
  - Jack Bissett
  - Cataloging Librarian
  - School of Law Library
  - Washington & Lee University
Alva Stone
Catalog Librarian
College of Law Library
Florida State University

Advisory Board

Mark Handler
Systems Librarian
Stanford University Law Library

Patricia Neff
Cataloger
National Center for State Courts Library

The chair of the nominating committee, Elaine T. Sciolino, would like to thank Carol Meyer and Harriet Wu for their assistance on the committee and all those who suggested candidates for nomination.

ONLINE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES SIS
MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR
Carol Avery Nicholson
University of North Carolina Law Library

The OBS-SIS Business Meeting scheduled for Sunday, June 16 at 2:45 p.m. will be a very important, and perhaps historic, meeting for the Section. As I reported in the November 1989 issue of the Technical Services Law Librarian, the OBS-SIS is considering a decision to begin its own newsletter. The matter will be on the agenda for the Business Meeting in Minneapolis and a firm decision will likely be made at that time. Please come to express your opinions, concerns, and desire to contribute to a separate newsletter for the OBS-SIS.

Next year the Local Systems Committee will distribute a questionnaire to develop a resource tool regarding the status of online systems in AALL libraries. More information on this project will also be provided at the OBS Business Meeting, along with other committee reports.

The survey soliciting ideas for projects for the OBS-SIS, program ideas for the 1991 Annual Meeting in New Orleans, and volunteers for serving the Section, is a very important planning tool for the Executive Committee and Chair-Elect Sue Roach. Please give your full cooperation, choose to participate and return the survey promptly. Program ideas for the 1991 Annual Meeting must be submitted to the AALL Education Committee by August 1, 1990.

Ballots are in the mail for the election of officers for 1990/91. If you have not received one by May 15, you can contact Kathy Faust at Northwestern School of Law Library at Lewis & Clark College. Thanks are due to Elaine Sciolino, Simpson, Thacher & Bartlett, Chair; Carol Meyer, Cincinnati Law Library
Association, and Harriet Wu, Stanford University, for serving on the Nominations Committee.

The AALL Nominating Committee is soliciting candidates for the 1991 AALL election. The Committee is charged with presenting a selection of candidates that reflects the Association's diversity. This year candidates are needed for the offices of Vice President/President Elect and Executive Board. Please contact me, or send your suggestions to Victoria (Tory) Trota, Chair, AALL Nominations Committee, directly or through AALL Headquarters by May 25, 1990.

The Online Bibliographic Services SIS cordially invites all members and potential members to the OBS, RS, TS-SIS Joint Reception to be held on Saturday, June 16 at 6:00-7:30 p.m. Those of you who ordered T-shirts may pick them up at the Joint Reception or at the OBS-SIS Business Meeting on Sunday afternoon. Please refer to your Minneapolis Annual Meeting Program for the location of the Joint Reception (it will probably be listed under the Technical Services SIS).

This is the final column that I will submit as Chair of the Online Bibliographic Services Special Interest Section. I hope that the efforts of the Executive Committee have been of benefit to you in some way. I have certainly enjoyed working with my fellow officers and AALL colleagues and would like to thank you all for your contributions and support this year. As the Annual Meeting draws near, I look forward to meeting and working with you this summer!

As many of you already know, Renee Chapman, past chair of TS-SIS, was killed in an automobile accident in March. Her husband, Terry Chapman, has established a scholarship fund in her memory in the Department of History at Cornell University. The Executive Board has agreed to make a donation of $200 to the fund from the treasury. Joan Englander, our Secretary/Treasurer, has also sent condolences to the family on behalf of the Section.

Convention time is approaching! Section members have, once again, planned many exciting programs. We are sponsoring 5 programs and co-sponsoring 3 programs. We are also sponsoring 2 workshops; one on Saturday before the convention and one on Thursday after the convention. I urge all members to support their colleagues and the section with their attendance at these programs. A list of these programs and workshops appear elsewhere in this issue. Finally, I would like to thank all the program and workshop coordinators for their hard work in bringing these programs to fruition.
I have received a request from Victoria Trotta, Chair of the AALL Nominations Committee, for suggestions of potential candidates for AALL offices. Like our own Nominations Committee, the AALL Nominations Committee seeks to present a balanced slate of candidates to the AALL Executive Board. Since Melody Lembke is completing her term this year on the Executive Board, it would be wonderful if we could balance the ever-present representation from administration and public services with representation from technical services on the Board. Please use the form published elsewhere in this issue to propose someone for nomination. The form can be sent directly to AALL Headquarters or to Victoria Trotta at Lewis and Roca in Phoenix.

Lynn Randall (Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library) is continuing to work on the award for a technical services librarian. She is planning to present a proposal at our business meeting in Minneapolis. However, she still needs one or two people to work with her on this project. This would involve some time talking with others on the telephone consolidating ideas. Please call Lynn or me if you are interested in working with her.

Don't forget to attend our joint reception with Online Bibliographic Services and Readers' Services SISs on Saturday evening. Once again, it is being sponsored by Innovative Interfaces, Inc. It has become a great way to kick off the annual meeting!

I would like to thank the section members for giving me the opportunity to serve the section as Chair. I believe I have grown professionally as a result of holding this position. I am looking forward to talking with old friends and meeting new ones in Minneapolis. See you soon!

CLASSIFICATION
Cecilia Kwan
University of California at Davis

Question no. 1: Why are the following DCLC records classified differently? Does LC plan to classify them all in "K" or with the country in the future?

International Congress of Comparative Law (12th : 1986 : Sydney, W.S.W. and Melbourne, Vic.)
vi, 325 p. : 25 cm.
Answer to Question no. 1: LC policy has been to go strictly by content analysis. If the emphasis of the book in hand is national in scope, we would class it in that country's schedule. Titles go to "K (General)" primarily because their subject content is general and comparative in nature. Each report of the International Congress of Comparative Law was, and will be, in the future analyzed and classified as a separate item according to content.

Question no. 2: The LC classification number on 66-29726//K84 seems to be incorrect. Should we delete the .U5 Cutter?
Please send any questions that you may have about descriptive cataloging to the editors of this column. We will either answer your individual letter, or we will pass your questions along to the Library of Congress. The editors want to thank Ben Tucker and his staff, particularly Adele Hallam, Senior Descriptive Cataloging Specialist, in the Office for Descriptive Cataloging Policy at the Library of Congress, for taking time to answer our questions.

In keeping with a time-honored seasonal activity, we sent several questions or comments to LC of a spring house-cleaning nature.

The intent here, of course, is to verify our understanding of the rules, and to clean up minor errors in records.

Question no. 1

According to LCR 25.3B, "... routinely apply the word 'constitution' (or its equivalent) as the complete uniform title by virtue of 25.3B." LC control no. 82-90658 showed a uniform title for the U.S. Constitution and its Spanish translation as (Constitution and amendments). We asked Office for Descriptive Policy if this uniform title should not be (Constitution), without the word amendments.
Answer to Question no. 1

Adèle Hallam responded that a correction to the uniform title would be initiated. She also added the language qualifications to the uniform title as directed by AACR 2 1988 revision 25.5c: "If an item is in two languages, name both. If one of the languages is the original language, name it second." See authority record n 82011037, and the corrected LC bibliographic record that now display the proper uniform title.
Question no. 2:

Continuing the problem of uniform titles for constitutions, we asked whether the uniform title for the Uruguay constitution shouldn't be the same for the 1967 as well as the 1917 version. Authority record n 80138124 spelled out the full name of the constitution, while n 81123907 for the 1917 Constitution used the abbreviated form of name.

Answer to Question no. 2:

The Office for Descriptive Policy replied that the form of name for the 1967 constitution should be "Uruguay. (Constitución de 1967)" and that corrections would be made to the authority records. See n 80138124 for the changes.
Question no. 3:

The bibliographic record for treaties between the Crow tribe and the United States government was originally entered under title, and then corrected to entry under one of the signatories with a uniform title for the treaty. See LC record no. 82-622931. However, the main entry should be for the "heading for the government whose catalogue entry heading (see 24.3E) is first in English alphabetic order." (AACR 2, 1988 revision, 21.35Alb)

110 20 Crow Tribe.

240 10 Treaties, etc. $g United States.

Per LCRI 21.35Al, "... the Library of Congress uniformly makes a jurisdiction(s)/uniform title reference (for the jurisdiction(s) not chosen as the main entry heading) in an authority record for the jurisdiction/uniform title (for the Jurisdiction chosen as the main entry heading) instead of the added entry called for by the rule." Therefore, the authority record should look like:

110 20 United States. $t Treaties, etc. $g United States.

410 10 United States. $t Treaties, etc. $g Crow Tribe.

Answer to question no. 3:

Adele Hallam replied that LC was initiating corrections for these records as well. See the changed bibliographic and authority records.
Question no. 4:
John Hostage of the Harvard Law School Library wrote to ask:
LC has established Swiss laws and codes with a German
uniform title. While this makes intuitive sense to me, since
German is the majority language of the country and government
bodies have been established in German, it seems to go against
AACR2 rule 25.3C3, which states "if any other work is published
simultaneously in different languages and under different titles,
none of which is known to be the original language or title, use
as uniform title (in this order of preference) the title in
English, French, German ..." This would seem to indicate a
French uniform title. Perhaps LC knows some basis under which
German is considered the original language? A couple of examples
of such authority records are "Obligationenrecht (1911). French"
(n81-97159) and "Zivilgesetzbuch. French" (n81-120676). Can you
or LC enlighten?
Answer to Question no. 4:

We had previously written to LC about the name for the Swiss constitution, which had not yet been established. LC bibliographic record 81-149360 had a French language version of the constitution. When we received Adele's reply that the name for the constitution would be "Bundesverfassung (1874) §1 French", we phoned her to ask her about the choice of language. As John Hostage had noted in his letter, Swiss corporate bodies are established in German. While jurisdiction headings are always in English, LC applies 25.3C2 to determine language choice for uniform titles. This rule states that "If a work entered under the heading for a corporate body is published simultaneously in different languages and under different titles, none of which is known to be the original language or title, use as the uniform title the title in the language in which the name of the corporate body is entered in the catalogue."

Thus, since corporate bodies are in German, uniform titles are in German. See 24.3 for choice of language for corporate bodies. See authority record n 88238682 and a corrected version of LC 81-149360.
The Library of Congress National Preservation Program Office has recently released a 30-minute videotape on library binding. "Library Binding: A Shared Responsibility, A collaborative Effort" is available from the Library of Congress Sales Office, Washington D.C. 20540 prepaid for $25.00 plus $4.50 shipping and handling. A description of the planning and production of the video is in volume 9, no. 1 (February 1990) of The New Library Scene. According to the article, the documentary juxtaposes the worlds of the bindery and the library. The working group of librarians and binders envisioned that libraries might show the video as part of their training program for binding preparation.
staff, or invite the university’s bids contracts officer to see
the video and discuss the importance of quality and service in
library binding. The video is a documentary of the state-of-the-
art of library binding, which models a librarian and a binder
working successfully and collaboratively to achieve their common
goal of a high quality binding program. I plan to view the video
and to give a first-hand review of it in the August TSSL.

What to do with books with badly embrittled paper, possibly
tearing out of the margins, completely or partially out of their
cases? There have been only a few options, among them boxing, or
putting the books in protective enclosures, removing the books to
a storage area to limit use and thus wear and tear, or
withdrawing the books from your collection. When the books are
not large, it is possible to photocopy them onto acid-free paper
in-house and to have the pages commercially bound. But this
option is not terribly practical if you have a large number of
books or if the books themselves have many pages. Now there is
another option for books which fall into this category:
preservation photocopying done by commercial companies. This
service may be the answer for books which are badly embrittled
(and cannot be rebound), are out of print and have not been
reprinted, but still have value in your collection.

I have written to three companies which do preservation
 photocopying in order to compare their prices, turnaround time
and binding options. All use acid-free paper in their copies.
If you are interested, I suggest you write directly to them for
complete information. Since my space is limited, I can include
only a small portion of what they have sent me. Please write
to me about your experiences with these or similar companies.

Archival Products; 2134 E. Grand Avenue; P.O. Box 1413; Des
Moines, IA 50305; (800) 247-5323. The turnaround time for the
Brittle Book Replacement Service is 30-60 days, depending on the
size and complexity of the order. The cost depends upon the
number of pages; a 200 page book is $42.00; a 500 page book is
$92.00. A special handling charge is applied to volumes that
must be retained in the original binding.

Booklab; 8403 Cross Park Drive, Suite 2E; Austin, TX 78754; (512)
837-0479; Fax (512) 837-9394. The turnaround time is about two
weeks, depending on the particular needs of the books. Prices are
the same as for Archival Products. Both have overcharges for
foldouts, maps, color illustrations, etc. Prices include double
fan adhesive, cased construction cloth binding.

University of Minnesota; University Bindery; 2810 Como Avenue
S.E.; Minneapolis, MN 55414; (612) 626-1516. Turnaround time
is five to seven weeks, depending on the size of the order. Cost of
copying is $.13 per page; binding is $7.50 to $10.50 per book.
Volumes are bound per L.B.I. standards. All volumes must be
disbound. There are extra charges for pockets, repair of plates,
or encapsulation of plates.
SELECTED SERIAL ARTICLES ON PRESERVATION

Jackson, Cheryl. "A Short Research Project into the Permanence of Thermal Fax Papers." The Abbey Newsletter, 13 no. 8 (December 1989): 133-4,6. A testing project was conducted on thermal facsimile papers at the Conservation Laboratory at Australian Archives. Their results indicate that the paper has only a five year life span under optimum conditions. Several recommendations are given to help the papers last longer, including photocopying the information onto alkaline paper.

Wilson, William K. "Remarks on House Resolution 226. Alkaline Paper Advocate, 3 no. 1 (March 1990): 6-8. Wilson discusses some of the problems involved if/when HR 226 passes. Appropriate standards for permanent paper need to be developed and implemented. Existing standards are outdated and need to be brought up-to-date. Suggested requirements for the U.S. Government to use recycled paper could affect paper permanence since recycled fibers are not as strong or as pure as non-recycled fibers. Also included: a definition of terms (acid-free paper, alkaline-filled paper, pH, etc.), a history of the movement to strengthen book paper.

QUARTERLY QUOTE: "Preservation is the first duty of every librarian." Representative Major Owens (D-N.Y.)

SERIALS
Jean Pajerek
Cornell University

The following serials title changes were recently caught by the Cornell Law Library acquisitions staff:

Characteristics of inmates discharged
   Changed to: Inmates discharged. 1984-

Conciliation courts review
   Changed to: Family and conciliation courts review. Vol. 27, no. 1 (July 1989)-

Directory of United States probation officers
   Changed to: Directory of United States probation and pretrial officers. 1989(?)-

Legal economics
   Changed to: Law practice management (Chicago, Ill.). Vol. 16, no. 1 (Jan./Feb. 1990)-

PS (Washington, D.C.)
   Changed to: Political science & politics : PS. Vol. 21, no. 1 (winter 1988)-
Revue de droit penal militaire et de droit de la guerre

United States. Office of Export Administration. Export administration bulletin

United States. Office of Export Administration. Export administration regulations
   Changed to: United States. Bureau of Export Administration. Export administration regulations. 1988-

University of Alberta. Institute of Law Research and Reform. Annual report
   Changed to: Alberta Law Reform Institute. Annual report. 1988-1989-

Wisconsin court rules and procedure. State and Federal

Workmen's compensation law review
   Changed to: Workers' compensation law review. Vol. 11 (1988)-

The following serials cessations were identified by the Cornell Law Library acquisitions staff:

Association of Juvenile Compact Administrators (U.S.) Minutes of the annual meeting
   Ceased with: 1987?

Council of Europe. Activities of the Council of Europe in the field of human rights
   Ceased with: 1984

Developments in government contract law
   Ceased with: 1978

Impact (Canada. Solicitor General Canada. Programs Branch)
   Ceased with: No. 2 (1984)

Legal bulletin
   Ceased with: 1989?

SUBJECT HEADINGS
Alva T. Stone
Florida State University Law Library

Back in 1978-79 the Library of Congress attempted to create some order out of the previously chaotic state of "Law" subject
subdivisions. All subject headings that had been set up in the form [Topic]--LAW, [Topic]--LAWS AND REGULATIONS or [Topic]--LAWS AND LEGISLATION were revised at this time to use the new standard (though not free-floating) subdivision, LAW AND LEGISLATION. However, a few of the outdated forms continued to linger in LCSH (or the Subjects File) as UF (450) references. For example:

Building laws
UF Building--Laws and regulations

Medical laws and legislation
UF Medicine--Laws and legislation

Railroad law
UF Railroads--Law

I asked Mary K.O. Pietriss, Chief of LC's Office of Subject Cataloging Policy, if LC would consider revising the obsolete forms in the UF (450) references on authority records for 15 law-related subject headings, including the three shown above. Mrs. Pietriss responded positively to the suggestions, but said that if any of the 450 forms had once been the established form of heading, the reference would not be revised other than to add the subfield w codes which indicate that fact. All of the references were revised in January 1990.

Some law catalogers might wonder why the valid forms of these headings are in phrase form, as we have become so accustomed to the [Topic]--LAW AND LEGISLATION form prevalent in recent years. Actually, there are over 65 phrase headings containing the word "law" or "laws", including the pattern heading for legal topics, LABOR LAWS AND LEGISLATION. While it's true that some of these older headings represent branches or types of law (ADMINISTRATIVE LAW, COMMON LAW, UNIFORM STATE LAWS, etc.) and therefore would not be appropriate for the [Topic]--LAW AND LEGISLATION form, most of the phrase headings are not in that class. There is no reason why, for instance, MARRIAGE LAW couldn't be changed to MARRIAGE--LAW AND LEGISLATION. Well, there is one practical reason. LC has too many bibliographic records that would require (manual, one-by-one) correction if these records were revised.

Although library staff may be somewhat dissatisfied with the lack of consistency, a more significant problem may be the lack, in some cases, of "use" (search under) references directing the catalog user from the [Topic]--LAW AND LEGISLATION form to the established phrase form. To illustrate, let us look at the results of two different searches:

Education--Law and legislation
SEARCH UNDER
Educational law and legislation
Marriage--Law and legislation
NO ENTRIES FOUND

Now, it's true that in a card or CD catalog, where file arrangement is word-by-word without regard to punctuation, MARRIAGE LAW would be located at the same place where MARRIAGE--LAW AND LEGISLATION was sought. However, in some online catalogs all of the subdivided subject headings are arranged in one sequence, but the phrase headings beginning with the same word are arranged in a separate sequence. In our library's NOTIS-based catalog, which includes the campus Main Library's entries, a search of s=marriage yields a hit of 415 unique headings. It would be helpful to see a cross-reference under MARRIAGE--LAW AND LEGISLATION, among the various geographic, form and topical subdivisions in the first alphabetical sequence. But there is nothing there. If the user is patient enough to continue through this list and move beyond all the MARRIAGE CUSTOMS AND RITES headings, he/she will eventually find the MARRIAGE LAW headings beginning on the 16th screen display, the 308th heading.

Sometimes, as in the EDUCATIONAL LAW AND LEGISLATION example, the entry word chosen by the user may differ in form from that used in the phrase heading. When a catalog user looks under NARCOTICS--LAW AND LEGISLATION and finds nothing, we do not know if he/she will be creative enough to browse backward (or upward) until the desired heading, NARCOTIC LAWS, is located. Since it seems like a relatively easy means of improving user access to subject information, why can't Library of Congress include a UF (450) reference in the form [Topic]--LAW AND LEGISLATION on the authority records for all "law" phrase headings (except those that represent branches or types of law)? I gave a list of 24 subject headings needing the cross-references to Mary K.D. Pietras in January; her preliminary reaction to the proposal was favorable, and she promised that LC would examine these authority records.

Note: Is anybody now using the new CDARC Subjecta as your source for LC subject authorities? I would really be interested in having an evaluation of this new subject cataloging tool ... and would welcome a "guest" columnist for the assignment! Also, please send me your questions about subject headings, and any other issues you'd like to see addressed in this column. Thanks!

TECHNICAL SERVICES IN SMALLER LIBRARIES
Susanna K. Scott
Technical Services Consultant
165 East 36th St., Apt. 2B, New York 10016

Blue Chip Cataloging

Quite recently, I've had the opportunity to refresh my study of cataloging at a more sophisticated, standardized level. This "blue chip cataloging" is turning out to be so engrossing that I
want to mention it here as an enticement to other neophytes catalogers.

First, I want to justify the common absence of standards in smaller libraries as the inevitable result of conditions today. For one, cataloging has a less than titillating reputation. Droves of students do not attend cataloging classes; those that do fall to get the cataloging idea.

Librarians working as catalogers in smaller libraries work alone, often having had inadequate training, without a mentor system such as may be found in academic libraries. Moreover, part of the mission of many smaller libraries is to generate income; this objective tends to brand the cataloging process as an overhead menace to be avoided.

Subsequently, there is now a keenly felt dearth of candidates for cataloger positions in special (and probably in other types of) libraries. All of this contributes to low, or no, levels of cataloging. Among small libraries it is common and appropriate that they start out to be uncataloged. As the collection becomes unruly, a need for the catalog is felt; however, the level of cataloging may or may not be recognized as an issue.

Speaking as a new convert, I would advocate for full use of standards in smaller libraries - the LC classification scheme and subject headings; adherence to AACR2; development of authority control; access to a utility such as OCLC or RLIN; use of MARC (if automated) and consistent documentation of cataloging decisions. Mastering and implementing these standard aspects of cataloging is difficult. The procedures, and the purpose of them, can be a mystery to the uninitiated, so it behooves catalogers to learn to talk and teach about the subject in lucid and constructive ways.

I am not yet aware of studies showing whether cataloging at the blue chip level is more or less expensive than the customized alternatives, but I suspect over-the-long-term studies would show the use of standards to be more economical. Standards facilitate money-saving copy cataloging; they enhance the subtle aspects of communication that make up the accessing of information effort. Standards immeasurably contribute to efficiency and inter-library cooperation. Ultimately, standards assure a better information product which makes for more effective information service.

**ONLINE BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES SIS OCLC COMMITTEE**
Patricia Neff
National Center for State Courts

Bring your morning coffee and bright ideas to our Online Bibliographic Services SIS OCLC Committee meeting in Minneapolis. We will meet from 7:15 to 8:30 on Tuesday morning, June 19. Our
program will include discussions of current and future activities, a presentation by Phyllis Post of Capital University Law Library and Tom Clareson of OCLC regarding OCLC users groups, and updates on OCLC products and services. Colleen Way, Paul Cappuzzello, and Randy Dykhuis of OCLC will briefly describe the CAP CD450 LAW Collection: LEGEND: Legal Electronic Network and Database; and the new EPIC Service for subject searching. There will also be an opportunity to ask questions about new products, share experiences in using these or other services, and bring up issues for further discussion or action.

For those of us who would like to learn more about specific OCLC products and see demonstrations, OCLC staff will schedule appointments to be held at their exhibit booths from 2:30 to 5 on Tuesday. OCLC representatives will bring a sign-up sheet or appointment cards to the OCLC Committee meeting. Also, an OCLC product demonstration session will be held from 12 noon until 2 on Wednesday. During this session, thirty to forty minutes will be devoted to each of the three products listed above.

Anyone who would like a wake-up call for our meeting (!) or who has questions or suggestions, please feel free to call me at (804) 253-2000 or 220-0449 (fax).

RLIN Committee
Patricia Callahan
University of Pennsylvania

At this year's convention the RLIN Committee will not meet in the traditional Sunday afternoon time slot. Please ignore the fact that the convention program will show a meeting scheduled from 4:30 to 5:30 on Sunday June 17. That meeting had to be scheduled before we were sure that the expanded Saturday RLG Law Program Committee meeting would take place at the University of Minnesota.

By now, all Directors at RLG law libraries should have received an agenda for an expanded Law Program Committee meeting scheduled for Saturday June 16 from 10:00 a.m. to 2:30 p.m. at the University of Minnesota Law School. Patti McIlwain, RLG representative to the Law Program Committee, will attend the Saturday meeting, but cannot stay for a Sunday afternoon meeting.

Concurrent with the regular meeting of the Law Program Committee there will be individual small-group sessions for LPC library staff members. The first session of hour-long discussion groups will be for the following: Collection Development, Heads of Cataloging or Interlibrary Loan Librarians. The second session will be for Reference Librarians, Foreign and International Law Librarians, Acquisitions Librarians or Special Collections Librarians. Library tours will be given during both of the hour-long discussion sessions for anyone who is not attending both sessions.
If your library director has not received the announcement about the meeting, please contact Gail Daly at the University of Minnesota Law Library. Please take advantage of this opportunity to learn more about the recently expanded Law Program Committee agenda and to participate in discussion with other RLG librarians.

LOCAL SYSTEMS COMMITTEE
Anne Myers
University of Maine

The Local System Committee will meet in Minneapolis on Sunday, June 17, from 12:00-1:00 p.m. The format will be informal, with discussions of common problems. Whether you already have, are planning to install, or are just dreaming about, a local system, come and join us! Feel free to bring lunch with you and eat while we talk.

One item for discussion will be the Local System survey project. Let me fill you in on the current status. A draft questionnaire was sent to 20 librarians representing all types and sizes of AALL libraries. They edited the draft to include missing areas and clean up phrasing. By the time this issue comes out, a second draft will have been sent to a small group of libraries to be site-tested and fine-tuned.

We will be requesting funds of the AALL Executive Board to finance the project. The funding proposal is due in to Headquarters on August 1. I hope that the convention meeting will give us a chance to confirm and finalize plans so that the proposal will be in with time to spare. If it is approved, the survey will go out next year to all AALL libraries. We hope to publish the results as an AALL Occasional Paper.

My thanks to all of you who edited or tested the draft questionnaire. Any and all help is welcomed on this project, so feel free to drop in on the Minneapolis meeting and help us take the next step. We hope to see, and hear from, you there!

TECHNICAL SERVICES SPECIAL INTEREST SECTION
ACQUISITIONS COMMITTEE
Joan Howland
University of California, Berkeley

The guest contributor for this issue is committee member, Elaine Sciolino.

The Acquisitions Committee of TS-SIS recently sent out a survey form to all private law firms asking them to submit a copy of their acquisitions policy. We are also interested in seeing how many firms do not have them or are currently developing one. Thus far, about thirty percent of the forms have been returned. We would like to thank everyone for their support and remind those who have not sent back a response that the deadline is May
1, 1990. If you have not received this mailing which went out in early March, please let me know, and I will be happy to send you a form.

The accompanying letter also invited everyone to make use of the sample acquisitions policies that were collected last year by Joan Howland from the academic law librarians. We have also collected over twenty policies from law firms. These are being reviewed, and samples will be sent out to anyone who requested them or would like to request to review them.

The law firm survey has not been completed yet, but enough librarians have asked that some results be published so there will be updates and final results published in both the TS-SIS and PLL-SIS newsletters. If you would like to review some sample project or a survey form, please call me - Elaine Sciolino, 212-455-3142.

CATALOGING AND CLASSIFICATION COMMITTEE
Regina Wallen, Santa Clara
Ann Sitkin, Harvard

The guest contributor for this issue is Diane Hillman.

Report to the Cataloging and Classification Committee
by the Working Group on Administrative Regulations

In October of 1989, we were asked by Regina Wallen, chair of the Cataloging and Classification Committee, to review AACR2 rule 21.32B and its application to British and Canadian administrative regulations. Also as part of this charge, we were asked to reevaluate the list published in Cataloging Legal Literature. What follows is our report on that endeavor.

We discovered early on that we needed to look at the entire rule, not just the part concerned with British and Canadian regulations. AACR2 rule 21.32B divides jurisdictions into two groups, those where administrative regulations are considered laws, and those where they are not. For most catalogers, the difficulty arises when s/he encounters a jurisdiction other than the U.S., Canada or Great Britain. The list in Cataloging Legal Literature has been a great help, but it is largely unavailable outside the legal cataloging community, and we were reluctant to suggest a solution which continued to rely on such a list.

To begin with, we examined the National Library of Canada rule interpretations for administrative regulations, which treat regulations as subsets of the statutory structure. We compared this to what we in the U.S. were doing, and found that there were some aspects of the Canadian approach that were very attractive. After discussing a basic approach, we tested it on various categories of materials, including those we knew would be
problems under any scheme, as well as those from unfamiliar jurisdictions. In our quest for examples from other jurisdictions, we enlisted the help of Melody Lembke, who provided us with several useful examples.

Our recommendations can be summarized as follows:

1. Eliminate the distinction between jurisdictions which consider regulations laws and those which do not, and treat all regulations as laws;
2. Eliminate 21.32C, and enter general collections of regulations and individual regulations under the rules for laws, rather than the rules for collections (e.g. under jurisdiction rather than title);
3. Use the qualifier (Regulations) after the uniform title Laws, etc. and after the uniform title for individual laws;
4. Enter subject compilations under jurisdiction (we could not come to a consensus concerning whether to use a uniform title, so options and discussion of the alternatives appear later in this report).

Discussion:

Recommendation no. 1: To a certain extent, the choice of a Canadian approach has become more attractive due to the changes in qualifying practices for session laws and compiled statutes in the rule interpretation to AACR2 rule 25.15A (CSB36). For that reason, choosing similar access to administrative regulations made a great deal of sense to us. And, given the strong preference in Canada to treatment as laws, it seemed more sensible to move in that direction if we wanted to unify the approach to these materials, e.g.:

Texas.

[Laws, etc. (Regulations : 1979- )]
Official Texas administrative code / published ... under authority of the Texas Secretary of State.

Recommendation no. 2: When collections of regulations are entered under title, it is often difficult to access them. There may not be any non-subject entry which includes the jurisdictional name, unless all the regulations share a promulgating agency. This can be a problem in both card catalogs and online catalogs with limited searching capability. Collections of regulations of more than one jurisdiction would continue to be treated as a collection, e.g.:

California.

[Laws, etc. (Regulations : 1945- )]
California code of regulations.
[under present rules would be cataloged under title]

Recommendation no. 3: After some consideration of the Canadian practice, which uses a $k Regulations after the uniform title, we chose to use a parenthetical qualifier, without
separate subfielding. This style commends itself as the option most in line with what we already do with session laws and compiled statutes. Dates would be added as for compiled statutes and session laws, using the date of promulgation if there is one, or issuing date if necessary. For serial or open entry collections, dates would be added routinely.

Recommendation no. 4. We had some of the same difficulties with collections of regulations that we've always had with collections of law: drawing the line between general and subject collections. We could not reach a consensus on the best course of action with subject compilations, and so decided to state the options for discussion in Minneapolis:

**Option A:** enter as a subject compilation of laws, under jurisdiction with no uniform title (unless there is a citation title), e.g.:

North Carolina.
Pharmacy laws of North Carolina: including rules and regulations of North Carolina Board of Pharmacy.
[no enabling act mentioned in the description]  

**Option B (the Canadian option):** enter a subject compilation as if it were a general compilation, e.g.:

Sonora (Mexico : State)
[Laws, etc. (Regulations)]
Reglamento de la Policia Judicial del Estado.

The Canadian option has the advantage of collocating all laws in the same place; the other has the advantage of following American practice for subject compilations. In the case of regulations derived from single acts, the qualifier (Regulations) would be added to the 240 following the uniform title for the act. An additional added entry for the act itself is unnecessary, however, since the uniform title performs the collocation function quite nicely on its own. We discovered several examples of publications that emanated from single laws, where determining the proper uniform title for that law was extremely difficult. We decided to stick closely to the 25.15 RI's and use treatment as a subject compilation (using whichever option is adopted) as the default if we can't easily determine the individual law from which the regulations were derived. This was considered preferable to searching through sources we might not have access to for the enabling act, e.g.:

North Carolina.
[North Carolina Radiation Protection Act (Regulations)]
North Carolina regulations for protection against radiation / adopted by the North Carolina Radiation Protection Commission ...  
["Regulations promulgated under the North Carolina Radiation Protection Act."]
As an auxiliary recommendation, we would suggest that advisory opinions and decisions, as defined by LC in SBB34, be removed from the definition of administrative regulations and continue to be entered under promulgating agency under rule 21.182. It might be useful, if the recommendations above were adopted, to add advisory opinions and decisions of administrative bodies explicitly to the list under 21.182.

Respectfully submitted April 9, 1990 by Hope Breeze, Diane Hillman, Chair, and Lenore Rapkin.

In Memoriam: Renee D. Chapman

Renee was killed in an auto accident on March 19, while returning to her home near Ithaca, N.Y. from a trip to the Midwest. She had been Catalog Management Librarian at Cornell University Library since fall 1989. Renee had been a member of AALL since 1975, and had served as chair of the Technical Services SIS in 1988/89. She was also a member of the Cataloging and Classification Standing Committee of TS-SIS. In addition, she was an active member of the Online Bibliographic Services SIS, chaired its RLIN Standing Committee from 1983 to 1985 and served on its Executive Board in 1986/87. Renee came to Cornell from the Charles B. Sears Law Library, SUNY/Buffalo, where she had served as Head, Technical Services from 1986 to 1989. Prior to that she was Head Cataloging Librarian at the University of Iowa Law Library (1981-1986) and Technical Services Librarian at Drake University Law Library (1975-1981). Renee was well known to all of us as a dedicated librarian who was always at the forefront of her profession, but also as a warm and caring friend. We will all cherish our memories of her, especially those informal moments at the convention when she showed us her wonderful sense of humor. In addition to her professional accomplishments, she was also an enthusiastic scholar, interested in women's labor history. She had received her M.A. in History at SUNY/Buffalo in May 1989 and was working toward her Ph.D. at Cornell at the time of her death. A scholarship fund has been established by her husband Terry, to be used for the support of a female graduate student in history. Contributions to the fund should be made to: Renee Chapman Memorial Fund, c/o History Dept., McGraw Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853. Renee will be missed by all who knew and worked with her, as we continue to be inspired by her example.

SERIALS COMMITTEE
Janis L. Johnston
University of Notre Dame

Preparations continue for the Minneapolis educational activities sponsored by the Standing Committee. Norma Feld (Yeshiva) reports that planning for the program "Bar Coding Revisited" is progressing well. The "Law Serials Management Workshop: or What They Never Taught You in Library School" is also shaping up. Speakers for that workshop include Margie...
Axtmann (Cornell), Janice Anderson (Georgetown), Mary Ann Van Cura (Hamline), Jim Gates (Boston U.), Michele Finerty (Orange County) and myself.

Norma Feld, Chair of the Special Committee on Uniform Bar Codes for Legal Publications, reports that the SISAC bar code standard is scheduled for a vote by ANSI this spring. Once that code has been approved, efforts will be made to encourage legal publishers and system vendors to implement the code, thereby streamlining the automated serial check-in process. Unfortunately, the SISAC code is designed only to accommodate an ISSN. A code that also accommodates an ISBN is needed if we are to "wand in" monographic supplements as well.

Although this year's educational programs are still several weeks away, it is time to begin developing program ideas for the New Orleans meeting. I would like to encourage anyone with a program or workshop idea to contact me. When we arrive in Minneapolis, it would be very useful to have several ideas ready to submit on behalf of the committee.

The Serials Standing Committee meeting is scheduled for Sunday, June 17, 1990 at 12:00. Even though this meeting conflicts with the normal lunch hour, I do hope you can make arrangements to attend. Perhaps you can enjoy Sunday brunch at one of the hotels prior to the meeting. I look forward to seeing you in Minneapolis.

**AD HOC COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE PUBLISHING OPPORTUNITIES FOR TECHNICAL SERVICES LAW LIBRARIANS (CIPO)**

Brian Striman

University of Nebraska

At my request as CIPO's Chair, Cindy Larter granted permission to extend the deadline of CIPO's charge one more year. Two reasons for requesting the extension were: 1) Not all the research has been completed and received, and, 2) The recent developments concerning AALL publications review. For example, the establishment of a Special Committee on AALL's Publications Program, chaired by Kaye Stoppel (Drake). [See "From the President" column in the March, 1990 AALL Newsletter]. CIPO's investigation activities and report would be instantly out of date and inaccurate if we don't wait to see what information and recommendations emerge from the Special Committee, and what policies result from AALL Headquarters regarding publishing in our Association.

CIPO will have a meeting of its members in Minneapolis on Sunday, June 17th, 4:30-5:30. Location of this meeting will be listed in the AALL official program "pocket guide" as part of the registration materials. Any person who is interested in the Committee's work and who might be interested in participating in discussion and/or committee work is encouraged to attend.
Meeting agenda and update on CIPO will be sent to CIPO members or to anyone who contacts the Chair prior to June 17th.

COMPUTER CONFERENCES: OR,
HOW READING E-MAIL CAN HELP YOU DO YOUR JOB BETTER
Anne Myers
University of Maine

"Computer conferences" is a fancy name for electronic mail discussion groups. They are used for a variety of purposes: asking questions, posting news, conducting surveys, sharing information about local projects, exchanging opinions. A mailing list sends copies of all messages and replies to all subscribers at the same time.

There have been many articles recently about computer conferences - what they are, who joins, and how they can be used. The High Tech section of the April AALL Newsletter included a good overview, as well as a description of how to get access to Bitnet or Internet. Access is the first step in using a computer conference. I want to mention several conferences that may be helpful, particularly to academic law libraries, as well as how to subscribe to them.

First, though, a note of "net-etiquette": when sending or responding to messages, be sure to include enough identifying information at the end of your message to allow people to contact you individually. This usually includes your name, position, library, and your full e-mail address.

PACS-L (Public Access Computer Systems). This group deals with all computer systems that libraries make available to their patrons. These include CD-ROM, computer-assisted instruction, hypertext, microcomputers, locally-mounted databases, and online catalogs. This is a very active group, with many daily postings. Many of them go over my head! But I have found it a good place to ask a question and get quick responses from different types of libraries who have already dealt with an issue or who have additional information for me to consider.

To get on the list:
Send an e-mail message to LISTSERV@UHUPVM1.BITNET
or LISTSERV at UHUPVM1.BITNET
Message line: Subscribe PACS-L <your name>

If you have any difficulties subscribing, contact Charles Bailey, Asst. Director for Systems at the University of Houston Libraries, at LIB3@UHUPVM1.BITNET.
INNOPAC-L (Users of Innovative Interfaces products). This group deals specifically with Innovative Interfaces INNOPAC/INNOVAC systems and related subjects. Recent topics have included circulation parameters, control problems, hardware and software issues, interfaces with OCLC and RLIN, and patron responses to screen displays. The conference is provided by the University of Maine System, not by Innovative Interfaces.

To get on the list:
Send an e-mail message to LISTSERV@MAINE.BITNET
or LISTSERV at MAINE.BITNET
Message line: Subscribe INNOPAC <your name>

If you have any difficulties subscribing, contact Marilyn Lutz,
Systems Librarian, University of Maine System, at
LUTZ@MAINE.BITNET.

NOTIS-L (NOTIS Users). This group deals specifically with NOTIS systems and related subjects. Recent topics have included test scripts, printer reliability, cost and maintenance, individual problems in NOTIS tables, and bringing up and fixing new versions. This conference is not provided by NOTIS, although they do read posted articles.

To get on the list:
Send an e-mail message to LISTSERV@TCSVM.BITNET
or LISTSERV at TCSVM.BITNET
Message line: Subscribe NOTIS-L <your name>

LAW-LIB (Law Librarians). This is a brand new conference supported by the University of California, Davis. It was established as a forum to discuss law library issues. At this writing, it is still getting its users established. While some of the topics addressed may be the same as those discussed by other groups, the focus will be specific to the law library setting.

To get on the list:
Send an e-mail message to LAW-LIB-REQUEST@UCDAVIS.EDU
or LAW-LIB-REQUEST at UCDAVIS.EDU
Message line: Subscribe Law Library Group <your name>

If you have any difficulties subscribing, please contact Al Lewis, Law Library, University of California, Davis, at AJLEWIS@UCDAVIS.EDU.

Special note for RLIN users. RLIN has a gateway to Bitnet. That means that users with RLIN mail accounts can use RLIN mail to send and receive messages from Bitnet users, including computer conferences. Bitnet sees something extra added to your RLIN mail account. Example: my RLIN mail address is bm.x68. Bitnet sees that as bm.x68@RLIN. When sending mail to a non-RLIN user on
Bitnet, be sure to include your full RLIN address, including "@RLC". That way, you will be sure that responses will be sent to the right place.

Note: This article was also submitted for publication in the June LLNE Newsletter.

ANNUAL MEETING POTPOURRI

1. Acquisitions Roundtable Discussion Group (TS-SIS)
   Sunday, June 17; 4:30-5:30 p.m.
   Meeting Room Assignment Pending
   Submit topics for discussion to: Marilyn Earhart; Skadden Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom; Four Embarcadero Ctr.
   Suite 3700; San Francisco, CA 94111. (415) 984-2605

2. Innovative Users Group Meeting
   Sunday, June 17; 1:00-4:00 p.m.
   Meeting Room: To be announced
   Chair: Cecilla Kwan

   Adding government documents to the online catalog is the topic of an informal discussion/information exchange which the Government Documents Special Interest Section is tentatively planning for the Minneapolis convention. We'd like to bring together librarians with experiences to share and those who are just in the planning stage. Discussion will include retro and current cataloging, use of Harviac and the OCLC GOVDOC Service, working with online systems vendors, and other related topics. Members of the OBS-SIS and the TS-SIS are invited to attend and participate. Please contact Cheryl Nyberg (University of Illinois Law Library) by May 31st if you are interested.

4. TS-SIS, OBS-SIS and Reader Services SIS Combined Reception
   Sponsored by: Innovative Interfaces
   When: Saturday, June 16, 1990; 6:00-7:30 p.m.
   Where: Minneapolis Convention Center
   [See Convention Program for exact room]
   Who: Open Admission

PUBLICATION ANNOUNCEMENT

Infosources Publishing, publishers of Legal Looseleaves in Print and Legal Newsletters in Print, announces the upcoming publication of The Legal Researcher's Desk Reference. This one-volume ready reference handbook is edited by Arlene L. Eis. Included in this volume are: current addresses and phone numbers for agencies, judges, bar associations, etc.; finding aids to federal laws and regulations; historical tables on Presidents and Supreme Court Justices; state information and statistics; tables of economic information; and hot line phone numbers. The book is priced at $40 with discounts for multiple copies.
March 1, 1990

TO: Chapter Presidents
    Chairs, Special Interest Sections
    Newsletter Editors

SUBJECT: CALL FOR NOMINATIONS FOR 1991 ELECTION

The time has come again for the AALL Nominations Committee to identify candidates for the 1991 AALL election. The Committee is charged with presenting a selection of candidates that reflects the Association’s diversity. This year we need candidates for the offices of Vice President/President-Elect and Executive Board.

A 1989 AALL Executive Board resolution recognizes that it is increasingly difficult for the Committee alone to identify potential candidates for service to the Association. We therefore need the help of the Chapters and the Special Interest Sections to meet our responsibility to present a balanced slate of candidates to the AALL Executive Board in November 1990.

Each Chapter or any individual AALL member is invited to propose members for candidacy. Please use the enclosed form to identify proposed candidates, or send a letter and include as much supporting information as possible. Please send your suggestions to me directly or through AALL Headquarters or to any Committee member by May 25, 1990.

On behalf of the AALL Nominations Committee, I would like to thank you and your group for helping us identify appropriate candidates to serve the Association.

Sincerely,

Victoria K. Trotta, Chair
AALL Nominations Committee
PROPOSED CANDIDATE FOR 1991 AALL ELECTION

NAME:________________________________________

AFFILIATION:________________________________

ELECTED OFFICE SUGGESTION:____________________

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF THIS CANDIDATE:________
________________________________________________
________________________________________________
________________________________________________
________________________________________________
________________________________________________
________________________________________________
________________________________________________

HAS THE PROPOSED CANDIDATE BEEN ADVISED THAT HIS/HER NAME HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE?________

GROUP OR INDIVIDUAL SUBMITTING PROPOSED CANDIDATE:________
________________________________________________

PLEASE ATTACH A RESUME OR ANY ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING MATERIAL TO A COMPLETED COPY OF THIS FORM AND SEND TO ANY MEMBER OF THE NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE OR TO THE COMMITTEE THROUGH AALL HEADQUARTERS.