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EDITOR'S NOTE

In this issue: For those of you who didn't make it to Indianapolis in person, this issue is full of reports about what went on and who was there.

Thank you: With this issue Jean Pajerek leaves as editor of the Serials column. Jean has done a great job of tracking the vagaries of serial titles. Jean has agreed to continue as editor of the TSLI index.

Christina Tarr, Catalog Librarian, UC Berkeley and Margaret McDonald, Technical Services Librarian, University of San Diego, will take on the Serials column beginning with the next issue.

ONLINE

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SERVICES

SPECIAL INTEREST SECTION

OBS SIS

MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR

Sally Wambold
Boston University
WAMBOLD@uofrlaw.urich.edu

In my first column as Chair of OBS, I want to begin with many, many “Thank yous!” First of all, Anne Myers has given me a worthy example to follow; I appreciate all of her hard work and gentle reminders. OBS members no doubt appreciate Anne’s thoughtfulness in providing food and beverages at all those early morning Indy meetings. The Board has been grand. Cindy May even does readable minutes, not to mention accurate ones. Mary Chapman has kindly lent much needed support. Vianne Sha has done splendid work with the Joint Reception; her ideas have inspired a mentor-mentee program, which Georgia Briscoe, in particular, supported as a mentor. All Board members have monitored their e-mail faithfully and have responded when needed. Thanks must go to Kathy Faust, outgoing Board Member-at-Large.

Approximately 25 librarians have contributed to the work of the 1995-96 Education Committee. In particular, I appreciate Mary Ann Van Cura’s ideas for the two OBS sponsored programs in Indy. Mary Ann was the Coordinator for “Logistics of Obtaining and Loading Records from Outside Sources into Local Systems.” Sue Roach and Jack Bissett capably co-coordinated “The Untold Story : Reference Databases Need Maintenance Tool!” OBS co-sponsored Alva Stone’s excellent program on format integration. Whether or not an Education Committee member’s program was accepted for the annual meeting, each Committee member contributed time and energy in an effort to benefit their colleagues. That is always appreciated!

The OBS Committee chairs have given generously of their time. OBS members missed seeing Karin Den Bleyker in Indianapolis, because Karin was ill. However, Karin kindly gave her OCLC Committee materials to Sue Roach who was Karin’s pro tem (Thanks, Sue). Karin also had arranged for Robert Bremer of OCLC to speak at the OCLC meeting; Robert was an excellent choice and an informative speaker. Heather Hawkins generously presided over the RLIN Committee meeting. Georgia Briscoe led a lively discussion at the Local Systems Meeting at 7 a.m. Mary Chapman, Chair of Nominations, is already at work to provide you with future leadership. Jacqueline Paul, Vice-Chair and Chair of Education, led an enthusiastic and well attended meeting of the Education Committee, and, I might add, Jacqui sent off some well-massaged program proposals for Baltimore.

Speaking of program proposals, I would like to urge each one of you with ideas for programs or with needs for educational
opportunities to think about Anaheim in 1998. It is never too early to start developing a program! You can bounce ideas off colleagues, scan programs of other meetings, read what is current on the Internet, or just listen to what other folks are coping with. A good program requires thought, and the two or three weeks after an annual meeting do not allow for careful reflection. Those weeks are just too frantic -- you know!

Also looking to the future is your Ad Hoc OBS Web Page Committee, chaired by Vianne Sha. Vianne is assisted by Janice Snyder Anderson, Mary Chapman, Anna Belle Leiserson, Anne Myers (who set up our Home Page), Jacqueline Paul, and myself. We welcome others with interest and ideas. Vianne's e-mail address is sha@law.missouri.edu. Please contact Vianne with your thoughts for your Web page. As you know, Web pages need updating to inform effectively. Currently we are considering adding the annual survey to the OBS Web Page for all those OBS members who have Web access.

Another forward looking activity is the planning going on now for the OBS Table in Baltimore. Sue Roach has agreed to oversee this work, and it will be hard to improve on the table in Indianapolis. Anne Myers provided countless colorful and informative flyers, the useful computer screwdrivers with a phillips-head and a straight edge, and the idea for a book swap. All of these features of the OBS Table drew people. Books were swapped speedily. It was so enjoyable that I already have a paperback to donate to the Baltimore book swap! Let me invite you to submit your ideas for the OBS Table. We'd like it to continue to be a useful and enjoyable feature of your time at the annual meeting.

Finally, let me encourage OBS members to think about their needs and interests. The annual survey, whether on the Web or in traditional paper format, is your opportunity to express your wishes. It does take time to fill out, but the survey is used to plan OBS activities and to serve OBS members. Make a mental note of what you would like to see OBS do; and when it is time to fill out the survey, you will be ready.

Now, I know that I've thanked many people in this column, but I can't possibly have thanked all the folks who deserve my gratitude. So, I apologize for any inadvertent omissions. I've also issued many, many invitations to you folks in OBS. And I hope that you will respond in the affirmative and participate in OBS activities!

*****

TECHNICAL SERVICES
SPECIAL INTEREST SECTION

SERIALS • CATALOGING • ACQUISITIONS
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TS SIS
MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIR

Jim Mumm
Marquette University
mummj@vmsa.csd.mu.edu

A.A. Milne described Winnie the Pooh as "a Bear of Very Little Brain." Well, here I am, new Chair of TS-SIS; and when I consider all of the work and people involved in this organization, I feel compelled to borrow from him and say that I am very humbled and sometimes feel like a librarian of "Very Little Brain."

Perhaps it is because of my desire to learn and grow (so as not to have so little a brain) that I enjoy being in TS-SIS. I know that it is this which leads me to appreciate conferences such as we had in Indianapolis.

There was, among the many session offerings, an abundance of opportunity to meet with people, get reacquainted, and learn. We sponsored many programs, including "The Politics and Practices of
Giving to Law Libraries;" "Serials in the Electronic Environment;" "Who's Counting? Who Cares? Delivering the Message with Statistics;" "Format Integration–Finally" and "LC Update." We also sponsored a very interesting Acquisitions Workshop. I am constantly amazed by the quality, variety, and interest we share in the programs we present. Thank you to everyone who was involved in them.

Thank you, also, to Mike Petit, Virginia Bryant, Judy Lauer, Richard Amelung, Joan Howland, Felice Lowell, Curt Conklin, Paula Tejeda and Katherine Tooley and the rest of last year's Executive Board for their work last year in guiding the SIS.

The officers for this year are:

Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect: Leonette Williams
Secretary/Treasurer: Carole Hinchcliff
Members at Large: Susan Goldner
Betty Roeske

The committee Chairs are:

Acquisitions: Brian Quigley
Awards: Regina Wallen
Cataloging and Classification: Carol Shapiro
Program/Education: Rhonda Lawrence
Exchange of Duplicates: vacant
Preservation: Hope Breeze
Serials: Joe Hinger

Please contact any of us with ideas or suggestions of what TS-SIS can do for you.

The 1995-96 Awards Committee, chaired by Richard Amelung, presented the Renee D. Chapman Award to Melody Lembke for her outstanding work and leadership in Technical Services. Congratulations, Melody!

TS-SIS is on a course which, I believe, will lead to some exciting changes. At the time of my writing this, Martin Wisneski (Washburn University) is in the process of developing a TS-SIS Home Page on AALLNET. This will include such things as a directory of officers, copies of TS-SIS publications, perhaps links to interesting TS sites, etc. Feel free to contact him if you have any suggestions for the home page.

Martin is also putting TSLL on the Web. To this end, he will need help putting the back issues into electronic format. If you have a little time and are willing to help edit older scanned issues, please let him know. Transferring the complete archives of TSLL will be a major undertaking, but I am confident that with a little help we can complete it on a timely schedule.

On a related note, Brian Quigley (University of Texas) is developing a site with policy statements for collection development, gifts, weeding/deselecting, etc. Please contact him if you have policy statements you can share.

Finally, we need to push the deadline for submitting program proposals up considerably. This year the TS program/education committee had less than a week from the time the last proposals were received until they had to be submitted to AALL. That is simply not enough time to properly evaluate and help each of us in working through our proposals. In an effort to ease the strain and continue to develop exceptional programs, I am asking that we all think about programs for Anaheim and submit them to Rhonda Lawrence by February, 1997. (For the purpose of submitting proposals to the TS-SIS Education Committee, it is okay to use the same forms as we did for Baltimore until AALL puts out forms for Anaheim). By getting the proposals in early, the Committee will have an opportunity to work with you in developing, coordinating and presenting them to AALL.
I am very grateful for the opportunity to serve as your Chair this year. I hope that we will all work together to continue making TS-SIS a very strong, vital and active section of AALL.

-----

ACQUISITIONS

Jean Eisenhauer
Washington & Lee University
jme@wlu.edu

In yet another law book publisher transaction, it was announced on July 3, 1996 that Shepards Citations (McGraw-Hill) is to be acquired by The Times Mirror Company in exchange for the Times Mirror Higher Education Group and additional undisclosed consideration. This means that Shepards Citations will now be with Matthew Bender, and, Richard D. Irwin, Wm. C. Brown, Brown & Benchmark, Irwin Professional Publishing, and Mosby College (T/M Higher Education Group) will go to McGraw-Hill. Times Mirror and Reed Elsevier also jointly announced "that Times Mirror would contribute Shepard's to a new 50/50 partnership with Reed Elsevier as the first step in what is expected to be a broader strategic alliance between Matthew Bender and Lexis-Nexis..." Shepards/McGraw-Hill legal treatises were previously sold to Thomson and distributed between Clark Boardman Callaghan and Warren, Gorham & Lamont.

According to the July 1, 1996 issue of Publishers Weekly, Little, Brown has decided to sell its professional publishing division, which houses its medical and legal publishing group. Time Warner decided to sell this "division because it did not fit with the consumer-oriented thrust of the company and was too small to compete with the giants in the medical and legal fields" (p.10).

The annual CRIV Publishers' Open Forum was held on Sunday, July 21, at the AALL convention. Responding to the topic "What Is Customer Service and How Do We Get It?" were representatives from Matthew Bender, CCH, and Aspen Law & Business. All have customer service departments and all purport to be responsive to their customers. And, if they are not responsive, the companies want to know about it. Bender has 20 customer service representatives; its hours are 8 a.m. to 8 p.m. Eastern Time. CCH has 105 persons in customer service (I assume this includes field representatives); its hours are 7 a.m. to 6 p.m. Central Time. Aspen Law & Business has no field representatives and also indicated that it is moving to New York. An Aspen Law & Business rep did call me recently to announce that he is the rep for Virginia, although he did not state that he would call on me.


This publication includes entries for over 3,000 academic and professional discussion lists and 1,688 electronic journals, newsletters, and newsletter-digests. It is useful for locating Internet resources and for assisting librarians in building electronic collections.

► Web versions:

Journals and Newsletters -
http://arl.cni.org/scomm/edir

Discussion Lists-
http://www.n2h2.com/KOVACS

Following are some condensed notes from the breakout sessions at the Acquisitions
Workshop held July 25 at Indianapolis.
These sessions were reported on LAWACQ.

From Brian Quigley's breakout session (as reported by Karen Pidgeon): Electronic ordering was the primary topic discussed -- which libraries are using it, which vendors have it available, and the importance of standardization of electronic data. Brian suggested two items to read: Barber, David. "Electronic Commerce in Library Acquisitions with a Survey of Bookseller and Subscription Agency Services," 31 Library Technology Reports 493 (entire issue) and Library Administration & Management, vol. 10, issue 3. Other topics relating to an integrated library system were also discussed.

From Carol Pitts Diedrichs' breakout session on Financial Management Using Electronic Systems (as reported by Karen Douglas): Discussion centered on documentation needed for a possible audit. Some suggestions/ideas:

- If using Innovative and ordering electronically, a purchase order can also be printed for a permanent record;

- If the acquisitions department is small, invoicing/ordering functions can be divided up so that one person orders and receives and the other pays invoices;

- One person selects the vendor and the other does the ordering;

- If there is only one person to do everything, invoices can be reviewed and signed by a supervisor or the director.

There was a brief discussion on interfacing the accounting module of a library's automated system with the university's accounting system -- consider the level of interaction between the two before making a change, i.e., batch payments. This group also discussed the frustration libraries have with legal vendors who are not interested in electronic invoicing. There was also discussion about licensing agreements.

Quoting Ms. Douglas' notes: "[Ms. Diedrichs] detailed how these agreements [are] negotiated and handled at Ohio State University. The agreements are negotiated with the vendor by the serials librarian with input from the university lawyer, who also reads the agreement after negotiation. The serials librarian has drawn up standard clauses relating to the needs of the library and the set-up of their network which are added to all agreements. Ninety percent of the work is done in the serials/acquisitions department. However, only the vice president for business administration has the authority to sign these agreements."

From the breakout session on CD-ROM Licensing with Norman Desmerais (reported by Teddy Artz): Topics discussed:

1. Publishers who allow concurrent users on a metering basis to libraries. Legal publishers are not now using this method. Metering limits access to an acceptable number of concurrent users;

2. GPO depository items available only in non-print format present problems for libraries -- getting appropriate equipment; interpreting and using information with little or no help from GPO; continuity of publications, that is, continuity in format and commitment to continued publication;

3. Networking CD-ROMs. Some work better on a network that has a single user, while others are disabled for network use; some may be adapted for network use, although sometimes different software is required; information may be so densely packed that it is difficult to extract; sometimes documentation is lacking or incorrect; technical expertise is required to work with networking quantities of CD-ROM products;

4. Disposition of diskettes which are included with books. Some libraries make a copy of each diskette, either to archive or to keep with the book; diskettes are kept with
the books by some, while others keep them on reserve. (As an aside, at Washington and Lee we used to keep the diskettes on reserve; however, recently, we decided to keep them with the books they accompany as this provides immediate access for the user and avoids the frustration of having to go to another location for the diskette. We do not keep or make copies.) License agreements for diskettes were also discussed.

Breakout session on Electronic Sources for Collection Development with Anna Belle Leiserson (as reported by Kaaren Pupino): This was primarily a question/answer session directed to Ms. Leiserson. Topics discussed: Using e-mail or a Website for acquisitions requests within a law school; Using e-mail for claiming when that claim is urgent; Some vendors/publishers respond better to E-mail claims than to paper; Problems associated with shorter time frames for claiming missing issues -- some libraries have put disreputable publishers/vendors on their websites; A general discussion about CD-ROM products and how to maintain them. The handout "Electronic Resources for Collection Development" URL (distributed at the Workshop by Ms. Leiserson) is:

http://www.library.vanderbilt.edu/law/acqs/aall.html

CD-ROM products were mentioned in nearly all these breakout sessions. I would suggest reading the April 1996 issue of Against the Grain which has several feature articles on CD-ROMs and which might help answer some of the questions asked, or at least give the reader several viewpoints.

RESEARCH AND PUBLICATIONS

Ellen McGrath
University at Buffalo
emcgrath@acsu.buffalo.edu

Brian Striman
University of Nebraska-Lincoln
brians@unllib.unl.edu

OBS/TS-SIS
Research Roundtable Meeting
July 21, 1996

Christina Tarr
Boalt Hall Law Library

The meeting of the OBS/TS Research Roundtable took place in Indianapolis on July 21, 1996. It was co-coordinated by Brian Striman (University of Nebraska-Lincoln) and Ellen McGrath (University at Buffalo) editors of the TSLL column "Research and Publications." The meeting was attended by 20 people. Brian opened by noting that in the most recent issue of Law Library Journal there were at least three articles written by technical services folks and that it is thus not true that we cannot get into LLJ. In fact, Brian said that he had been asked by the editor of LLJ to encourage technical services librarians to submit articles.

Brian brought a number of catalogs from various presses to show the kinds of research being done and the places in which they are being published. Among other things, he mentioned the new AALL title Publication Opportunities for Law Librarians: An Author's Guide, compiled by Dennis C. Benamati and Evelina E Lemelin (1996). Ellen mentioned that the Research Roundtable had been formed in 1992 and that interest had been growing since then. A project that she and Brian are working on currently is a proposal to institute a grant funded jointly by the OBS and TS SISs to award funding for research in subjects of interest to technical services librarians.
(There was discussed again later in the meeting).

Meeting attendees included people who had published widely, people who were currently researching projects and wanted moral support, and people who had not published at all yet. Issues discussed included the support (or lack thereof) provided by our own institutions for research and publishing; the need for an outlet for our thoughts about technical services issues, as well as a place for us to publish; and a concern that with downsizing, technical services librarians will become so overwhelmed with our primary duties that we will have no time or energy left to devote to research and publishing. In effect, our voices will be lost.

Several publication tips were offered. If you are giving a presentation, think also to submit it as an article for a journal. As you have already done the research for it, get double mileage out of that research. Volunteer to write something for a chapter newsletter. They are often in need of articles and if you volunteer, something else may fall into your lap too. Once you have a sample of your writing, you can apply to write for journals, perhaps as a columnist. Consider electronic publishing. There are a number of electronic journals. Or create your own Web site. People reported good feedback for articles written for electronic journals. Also it was felt that publishing was a good way to protect ourselves from outsourcing, as technical services staff that publish may be considered a professional asset.

Publishing one thing may also lead to institutional support for further research and publication. Look around at a wide variety of places to publish. General library journals may be interested in an article on a legal or technical services topic. You may be able to create something fairly quickly and still have it published. It need not be a long, scholarly article to be included as an enhancement to your vita.

Because the levels of publishing experience varied so much among the attendees, we discussed the possibility of having the more experienced people mentor the less experienced. We decided that would be a good idea and that it should be informal. Ellen annotated the list of attendees to indicate who would be willing to mentor and several partnerships sprang up as we were talking. Aaron Kuperman (Library of Congress) indicated that having a responsibility to someone outside of our own institutions might be a good way to drum up institutional support from within, since inter-institutional cooperation is generally considered to be a plus. It was also noted that it is usually a good idea to utilize one’s own director as a mentor or someone to turn to for feedback if possible.

Brian and Ellen discussed their proposal for the establishment of a research grant. They first felt the need for such a grant when AALL came up with a research agenda and formed its Research Committee. Then after hearing about the $50,000 Little, Brown research grant that was announced at the annual meeting last year, they knew that the time was right. They felt that the focus of the Little, Brown grant on how to do legal research might not be interpreted as inclusive of technical services topics. They were worried about the differentiation of technical from public services and saw a need for a grant designed to help those of us who are struggling on limited budgets and with limited support from our institutions. The draft proposal asks for $250 from both the OBS and TS SISs for one joint grant of $500. The qualifications for applicants for the proposed grant include membership in AALL and an idea for a project having to do with technical services. The draft proposal was submitted to each of the chairs of the two SISs in March 1996. So far the wheels are turning slowly, in part because the proposal calls for a joint OBS-TS committee to administer the grant. Someone also suggested that regional chapters might be another source for the funding of grants.
Another effort discussed by the Research Roundtable was to propose a program for the 1998 AALL annual meeting on research and how one approaches it. Given the interest shown at this meeting, this seemed like a good idea and Brian will look into it.

*****

RESEARCH AND PUBLICATIONS OPPORTUNITIES

Ellen McGrath

Just a brief comment about the informal mentoring process we have begun. If anyone else would like to be added to our list of those interested in participating either as a mentor or mentee, please contact Ellen McGrath (716-645-2254; em McGrath@acsu.buffalo.edu). We could use the names of more people willing to act as mentors. I will emphasize that this is very informal and it is really left up to those on the list who feel they need a mentor to take the initiative and make contact with a mentor. I am simply compiling and distributing the list to hopefully aid in the process.

The September 15, 1996 deadline on this might be tight, but there was a call for papers issued for the Spring 1997 meeting of the Ohio Valley Group of Technical Services Librarians (OVGTSL). Possible topic areas include acquisitions, cataloging, database maintenance, preservation, serials, and systems and submissions relating to academic, public, or special libraries are encouraged.

Haworth Press is planning a 1998 special issue of *The Acquisitions Librarian* on the broad topic of "Acquiring online management reports." Will Jarvis (Washington State University Libraries) is the guest volume editor and he is soliciting papers for inclusion.

The *OCLC Systems and Services* journal is asking for material addressing the wider context of technology and automation in libraries, as well as more specific pieces on OCLC events, products, etc.

- Legal Information Management Reports seeks authors for future issues. Contact Jane Miller at 770-578-8200; libspec@ix.netcom.com

- Contributors of biographical/bibliographical essays are needed for *Significant Contemporary Feminists: A Biocritical Sourcebook.*

"This book, under contract with Greenwood Press, will explore the lives and work of a diverse group of women involved with the feminist movement(s) during and since the Second Wave."

- Just a reminder that the *Journal of the American Society for Information Science* maintains a page listing new books awaiting reviewers:

http://weber.u.washington.edu/~tabrooks/review.html

- And don't forget about the new journal, *Journal of Internet Cataloging* which will be published soon by Haworth Press. As a new journal, it has been publishing its general call for papers on the Internet frequently.

*****

JOINT OBS/TS RESEARCH GRANT UPDATE REPORT

Brian Striman
University of Nebraska
brians@unllib.unl.edu

After discussions with several OBS and TS SIS folks during the AALL Annual Meeting in Indianapolis, it was decided that I would update and re-submit a seven page proposal which, if later approved by both SIS memberships, would create a Joint Research Grant Committee (JRGC). In a
nutshell, the JRGC would use approved criteria to award grants of up to $500 to any AALL member which would help successful applicants who needed financial assistance for their research and publishing in the arena of technical services law librarianship. Award guidelines and other forms were drafted in March of 1996.

The draft document will be mailed to the OBS and TS SIS Chairs for their input and advice on what the next steps should be regarding the research grant. It is hoped all the necessary things would be in place for a vote to be put before members of both SISs for AALL in Baltimore in 1997.

After conferring with Alva Stone, who is a member of AALL Research Committee, I feel it's important for us to continue to pursue this joint SIS grant committee, tailored for technical services, since the Little Brown Research Grant monies (of which there are still $32,000 remaining) are intended for larger research projects.

Stay tuned to your TSLL for more updates on what's happening with the JRGC. Contact me if you have any comments or ideas. Thanks to Alva for her input and advice!

Brian Striman  
402-472-8286  
e-mail: brians@unilib.unl.edu

*****

SERIALS

Jean Pajerek  
Cornell University  
jmp8@cornell.edu

The following serials title changes were recently identified by the Cornell Law Library acquisitions staff:

Capital defense digest  
**Changed to:** Capital defense journal Vol. 8, no. 2 (spring 1996)

Detroit College of Law review  
**Changed to:** Detroit College of Law at Michigan State University law review Vol. 1995, issue 3 (fall)

Directory of insurance companies regulated by the New York State Insurance Department  
**Changed to:** Directory of regulated insurance companies  
Date unknown, but at least since Dec. 31, 1995

Drug use forecasting. Annual report on juvenile arrestees/detainees  
and:  
Drug use forecasting. Annual report on adult arrestees  
**Merged to form:** Drug use forecasting. Annual report on adult and juvenile arrestees 1994-

George Mason independent law review  
and:  
**Merged to form:** George Mason law review Vol. 4, no. 1 (fall 1995)

High technology law journal  
**Changed to:** Berkeley technology law journal Vol. 11, no. 1 (1996)

University of Pennsylvania journal of international business law  
**Changed to:** University of Pennsylvania journal of international economic law Vol. 17, no. 1 (spring 1996)

*****
This year's meeting of the RLIN Committee at AALL followed a slightly different format from that of recent years. There was some of the usual reporting on RLIN developments, but this was kept to a minimum since RLIN was conducting the first ever RLIN Law Forum following the meeting! Most of the meeting consisted of discussion initiated by a Round Robin survey that had previously been posted to various discussion lists. This year we 20 bleary-eyed souls (I was bleary-eyed, so everything looked bleary to me!!) were joined at 7 a.m. by Win-Shin Chiang, RLG Member Services Officer, as well as Karen Smith-Yoshimura, Director, Library and Bibliographic Services.

The first item we heard about was the new RLIN-law List. Now, I know it's yet another Law list, but this one fills in where other lists leave off. A low volume list, it is open to anyone at a RLIN law library (or those interested in such issues). One does not have to be an RLG member to participate in this list, nor does this list relate to any specific local catalog setup. Most traffic on the list concerns RLIN activities at AALL, and since it's a smaller group of people than RLIN-L, it is hoped that it will be a place where one can discuss RLIN issues with peers. To subscribe, send the following one line e-mail message, without a subject header, to listproc@lists.rlg.org

SUBSCRIBE RLIN-LAW <your full name, first name first>.

For more information, contact Win-Shin Chiang at BL.WSC@RLG.ORG

Karen Smith Yoshimura gave a brief report of RDAG (RLG Database Advisory Group) activities. Margaret Leary has finished her term on RDAG and will be replaced by Melody Lembke. In addition, there is a new member for the United Kingdom, Hugh Taylor. RDAG has worked on a survey concerning in-process records from RLIN users, and due to the favorable response, has submitted an RLIN change request for two new RTYP values for on order and in process that could be used for records both batch loaded and created on RLIN.

Another development relates to the TYPE command (a command for printing an entire record instead of printing screen by screen; it's been around for years, but wasn't too well known to meeting participants). Proposed changes will allow you to print holdings with the Full display and to specify any record in a cluster. To find out more about the TYPE command, type EXPLAIN TYPE on RLIN.

RDAG is also involved in reviewing foreign MARC records and vendor records before they're loaded. In June, RLG signed a contract with Blackwell North American and B.H. Blackwell to load RLIN-enhanced CIP records and approximately 120,000 Table of Contents records from monographs published in North America and the United Kingdom. Blackwell is the first commercial participant in the Program for Cooperative Cataloging, and their staff has been trained on RLIN NACO. (See the August 1996 issue of RLIN Focus. Table of Contents records will be in a separate file, available on RLIN, Eureka, and through Diogenes; the rates for Passing and Putting TOC records will be forthcoming.

The rest of the meeting was spent discussing the Round Robin questions. A summary of responses to the questions was distributed at the meeting (contact me if you'd like a copy). We first discussed connectivity; there were many different options employed by the attendees. Internet connection reliability varied widely from library to library, and many libraries had changed to connecting to RLIN via CompuServe, finding increased reliability outweighed added costs.
Yoshimura pointed out that some institutions had increased reliability by using frame relay Internet connections through CompuServe.

RLIN Terminal for Windows was also mentioned. Some wondered if they should upgrade to version 3 if they weren’t interested in non-Roman scripts. It was pointed out that version 3 has some communications enhancements that make it worth the upgrade. Karen informed us that version 4 is in beta testing and should be released soon. Version 4 has a new installation setup that allows you to generate separate icons for CJK scripts, Arabic/Cyrillic/Hebrew scripts, and/or roman-only scripts, depending on your needs. There were also questions about whether or not to convert to Windows, and although RLG will continue to support DOS software, it will not be enhanced. Upgrading to Windows 95 was also discussed, and Karen mentioned that RLIN for Windows tends to work better under Windows 95.

Karen also talked about RLIN World Wide Web developments. A prototype of Eureka over the Web was demonstrated at ALA. The same search block can be applied to searches over the Web, Eureka, Zephyr, or “traditional” RLIN. (Or a CitaDel or BIB subscription can be used with new RLG Web access, Eureka, or Zephyr). With Web access, we’ll be able to click on the 856s that already occur in records. A related RLIN development is the relatively new EA index, which has only one value, y (a record has an 856 field or it doesn’t)! You can retrieve all the records with 856s in any file with the command: fin ea y or you can limit any search by and ea y to get those records with 856s. Web access will eventually be available to all RLG data resources, including the RLIN bibliographic and CitaDel files. This may happen as soon as this fall.

RLG will also discontinue some services. RLG will move from transaction tapes to transaction files via FTP, and discontinue all transaction tapes by August 31, 1977. If this affects you, have your system people contact the RLIN Information Center. If you currently use tapes to load records into OCLC, you can arrange to authorize OCLC to get your records from RLG via FTP. Rather than FTP-ing records one by one, your library profile can be set so that the T in the INS field puts all the records you’ve created or updated into one FTP file. In addition, RLG will also discontinue Fuller Record Notification (sites with outstanding requests may wish to submit then as Diogenes files) and reporting additional locations to the NUC Register (INS=Z).

Many of us continued on to the RLIN Forum where we heard short presentations with lots of questions from the audience. Many of the above topics were covered, such as the new EA index. Additionally, the TP and TW indexes now search the 505 $t in RLIN records (this will include the new Blackwell TOC records)! RLIN is supporting the new $v for subject form divisions and it is indexed in the SP and SW indexes. The new fixed field 006 is useful for als limiting. For example, als mat ser will limit your search to records containing a serial 006. Great information on format integration in RLIN, with examples, can be found at

http://www.rlg.org/fi.html

We also heard about record contributions to RLIN. RDAG is working with record contributors to encourage at least weekly dataloads via FTP rather than on tape. RLG will set up a “buddy” system to pair people who have done scripts for automatically extracting records from their local system for dataloading with others who need such scripts. BIBCO records are to be contributed weekly, and RLIN flags PCC records in PRI and MUL displays (drawing from the pcc in the 042). (See also RLIN Focus 18:5)

The new vendor records in RLIN are also differentiated by having a library identifier
beginning with X, i.e. Castlini Libri is XCAS. The uncataloged vendor records have a CC code of 999.; however, the Blackwell enhanced CIP records will have a CC code of 9112 or 9412 since they are fully cataloged. There is also a different charge for exporting vendor records that may vary by type of record, as outlined in the Rates for User Services. For example, the charge for a Blackwell TOC record may be different from a Blackwell enhanced CIP record.

There will also be more files available to RLIN users when RLG implements its own Z39.50 client. RLG has reciprocal access agreements with ISM and Pica; right now their users can search the RLIN bibliographic files using ISM's or PICA's Z39.50 clients and Zephyr. With an RLIN Z39.50 client, RLIN users could search the ISM or PICA databases using RLIN commands and retrieve records from these other, external databases to use as source copy.

Mary Jane Kelsey than reported on Yale Law's use of Diogenes for reclassifying approximately 287,000 titles from Hicks to Library of Congress. Since their original load into INNOPAC didn't include the 050 field, one of their first steps was to go back to their original RLIN tapes for the 050s. Then, some materials were excluded from Diogenes processing, such as dead pamphlet collections or unique materials. The remaining records that were selected for processing with Diogenes resulted in single hits, multiple hits, and no hits, with various reports. Mary Jane showed us examples of reports that included 001, 035, 245, 260, 950, 090/950/050 fields (those with multiple hits also include library identifiers). On the multiple hits, they would indicate the call number they wanted, or that they didn't want any of them.

I hope to see you all on RLIN-Law where the discussions that began at the Committee meeting can continue!

The rest of this column, a report on Session 2 of the RLIN Forum, was graciously written by Karen Smith-Yoshimura. I also thank her for her help in ensuring that the above report was accurate!  

Heather Hawkins.

Kris Tague, RLG Director for Sales and Marketing, highlighted current and upcoming RLG services for public reference and document delivery. She noted that the eight RLIN bibliographic files (BIB) are available by either subscription or search blocks; the search blocks can be combined for both public services use through Eureka and Zephyr and technical services through RLIN. She summarized Eureka's distinguishing features (free browsing, narrowing search results, and e-mailing records retrieved). Some campuses have access to RLIN BIB files on their menus through both Eureka and their own Z39.50 interface, i.e., the University of California system includes Eureka and Melvyl access.

This September RLG is offering an expanded Inside Information PLUS file covering over 20,000 journals available through the British Library Document Supply Centre and two new CitaDel files from the French Institut de l'Information Scientifique et Technique (INIST) — FRANCIS, covering social sciences, and PASCAL, covering the sciences. FRANCIS will be available for free trials in August, PASCAL in September.

Access to both the BIB and the complete array of CitaDel files will be made easier when RLG releases "Eureka on the Web," allowing you to search RLG resources through Web browsers. Kris showed some sample screens from the current prototype, illustrating how you can "click" — which index you want to search with; which records you want to display; on an access point in the record to perform a related search; on a record's 856 field to go to a remote electronic resource.

Ariel has become a delivery option from major suppliers, including UMI and the
BLDSC. Kris noted that Ariel can be used in place of fax for all kinds of document delivery, not just for ILL, i.e., among law firms and law libraries around the world, intra-campus delivery, and among administrative offices. As a result of a recent RLG contract with a consortium of libraries in Australia, New Zealand, and the UK serving more than 100 sites, RLG is enhancing Ariel to be MIME compliant so that scanned documents can be delivered to any e-mail address that uses MIME.

RLG has a number of mechanisms to foster communications with libraries using its services. RLG has initiated a new "instructional services" listserv for anyone dealing with bibliographic instruction that includes RLG online services (send a message subscribe RLINIS <your name> to listproc@lists.rlg.org)

Kris also gave all attendees the contact information for their respective RLG account managers. If you don't know who your RLG account manager is, send a query to BL.SAL@RLG.ORG

****

OBS
ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING
Indianapolis
July 21, 1996

The meeting was called to order by Anne Myers, Chair, at 1:30 p.m.

The minutes of the 1995 business meeting were approved as they appeared in Technical Services Law Librarian.

Anne welcomed new Board members Jacqueline Paul, Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect, and Paula Tejeda, Member-at-Large. She thanked those officers leaving the Board: Mary Chapman, Past Chair, and Kathy Faust, Member-at-Large. She also thanked Innovative Interfaces Inc. for their financial support of the OBS-TS-RS-ASD reception.

Announcements:

Anne Myers announced the dates and times, of 1996 OBS-SIS committee meetings, and that this year food and beverages would be available at the meetings.

OBS engaged in several public relations initiatives this year. The OBS Table in the exhibit hall included a new feature: a very successful used book swap. Popular souvenir reversible screwdrivers were given out at the Table as well.

Anne urged members to sign up for time slots to keep the Table staffed as fully as possible during exhibit hall hours. Another 1996 initiative was Anne's creation of an OBS Web page, with Board input on content. She asked members to contact her with ideas for additional information to be added to the page. The page is located at http://pappas-ntl.bu.edu/obs/obs.htm and it is linked to AALL-Net.

Anne reminded committee chairs to submit their annual reports to her as soon as possible after the conference.

Secretary/Treasurer's report:

Finances: Cindy May reported a balance of $8,807.10 on October 1, 1995, the beginning of the AALL fiscal year. Income from dues ($6 per member) in 1994/95 totalled $2322. Other sources of income included royalties and the Innovative Interfaces contribution to the 1995 reception. Expenses included food and beverages for the 1995 conference, souvenirs, printing, postage, and office supplies. Because nearly all income is recorded between the conference and the
end of the fiscal year, there has been little activity in fiscal year 1995-96 through May, the most recent statement received from headquarters prior to the conference. Expenses have included the OBS share of the costs for Technical Services Law Librarian and postage for mailing the membership survey, proposed amendments to the bylaws, and election ballots. The balance as of June 1, 1996, was $7,203.25. A substantial time lag generally exists in distribution of monthly financial statements by headquarters, and occasionally sources of income or expense are unclear. AALL controller Stephen Ligda has been very responsive to questions concerning the statements.

Election report: Of 395 ballots mailed, 115 were returned for a return rate of 29 percent. Jacqueline Paul was elected Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect and Paula Tejeda was elected Member-at-Large. Cindy expressed appreciation to all the candidates for their willingness to participate in the election.

Anne Myers emphasized the importance of linking OBS program proposals to association goals and the AALL strategic plan. She announced the split of the AALL Education Committee into an Annual Meeting Program Committee, whose members will have one-year appointments only, and a Professional Development Committee for broader association educational endeavors. She suggested that OBS strive for regular feedback from the Annual Meeting Program Committee concerning bumped programs in order to improve future proposals and increase their chances for acceptance.

Carol Avery Nicholson, AALL Board member, expressed the Board's desire for member input on educational needs and conveyed the Board's delight with the 46 percent return rate of this year's association-wide demographic survey. Committee reports, the report of the MARBI representative, and the report of the Technical Services Law Librarian editor were then presented, and appear elsewhere in this issue of TSLL.

Alva Stone, Chair of the Bylaws Revision Committee, reviewed proposed amendments to the bylaws, specifying that the Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect shall also serve as Chair of the Education Committee and that the Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect shall appoint members to the standing committees for the one-year term which corresponds to the year in which s/he is SIS chair. The proposed amendments further delineated the duties of the Education Committee Chair, dropped the WLN Committee, and formally created the Education Committee. The bylaws were amended by a unanimous voice vote.

Anne Myers then turned over the meeting to incoming chair Sally Wambold. On behalf of all, Sally thanked Anne for her hard work and leadership throughout the year.

Sally announced 1996 workshops and programs sponsored or co-sponsored by OBS-SIS. "Weaving Your Web: Building and Maintaining a World Wide Web Site" was presented as an all day workshop on Saturday, July 20, and again on Thursday, July 25. Three programs were presented: "The Logistics of Obtaining and Loading Records from Outside Sources into Local Systems," "Format Integration -- Finally," and "The Untold Story: Reference Databases Need Maintenance, Too."

Sally requested member input for program proposals for both next year's meeting in Baltimore and the following year's meeting in Anaheim. A new proposal deadline schedule has created a particularly tight timetable for Baltimore programs, which must be submitted by August 9. To avoid a similar crunch next year, Sally asked that Anaheim proposals be submitted by March 1997. There being no further business,
Sally adjourned the meeting at approximately 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Cindy May, Secretary/Treasurer

* * * * *

OBS
LOCAL SYSTEMS COMMITTEE
MEETING
Indianapolis
July 21, 1996

Georgia Briscoe
University of Colorado
briscoe_gcu@bldr.colorado.edu

The Local Systems Committee of the Online Bibliographic Services Special Interest Section of the American Association of Law Libraries met on July 21, 1996 at 7 a.m. 15 people attended the meeting led by Chairperson, Georgia Briscoe. The library attendees introduced themselves and told of the latest major change to their local systems. INNOPAC was the system represented by the highest number of librarians (nine) with NOTIS and DYNIX used by two libraries, BEST SELLER by one and one librarian had no system, but was shopping for one.

Topics for Technical Services Law Librarian: Several good suggestions were made for possible submissions to the Newsletter from our Committee. Georgia Briscoe will write a summary of the meeting for the next issue and also write an announcement that electronic serial claiming is now possible on INNOPAC with Rothman and Hein. Mary Chapman, NYU, will write a summary of her experience using a Yankee book Peddler software product for electronic ordering (known as GOBI) in conjunction with her local system. We will ask Terry Ballard (NYU) to allow us to print his list of common misspellings found in local systems. Sally Wambold (Richmond), Georgia Bryant, and possibly Virginia Bryant (George Washington) will compile a checklist for batch loading of MARC records into local systems for publishing in the Newsletter. Allan Keely (Wake Forest) may have time to write a piece on DYNIX's system administrator certification program and Susan Chinoransky (George Washington) may write a piece on her upcoming experience with "table of contents" use in local systems.

Education Program suggestions: Connecting local systems to vendors, bibliographic utilities, and LANs were discussed. Several librarians gave examples of interfaces they had established between their local system and OCLC, Peter Ward, Rothman, Hein, Blackwell, Uncover, and Yankee Book Peddler. A program proposal form will be submitted by Georgia Briscoe on this subject. Pat Callaghan (Pennsylvania), Mary Chapman, and Georgia will participate. A second possible program for the Anaheim meeting could cover the intricacies involved in connecting a CD-ROM LAN to a local system: security, technology, licensing, hotlinks, etc.

Discussion items: Several other items were covered, including the benefits and problems of each of the three platforms available for most local systems -- character based, windows based, and Web based. Two libraries represented at the meeting had their PACs on the Web for use at limited workstations or by off-site users only. Several libraries were considering putting their PACs on the Web.

Jack Bisset of Washington & Lee Law Library summarized his experience as a beta test site for release 10 of the inventory control module of INNOPAC.

The order of options on local systems was of interest to many librarians. No one was aware of research on this topic, but everyone agreed that the order could definitely affect patron searching.
The locations of PACs in the library was questioned. Most libraries spread them throughout their buildings, but concentrated several in the reference area.

- E-mail discussions: These will continue throughout the year. Georgia will start a message to all members of the Committee and those attending the Indianapolis meeting. Anyone is welcome to reply or offer their own questions to the list.

*****

ELECTRONIC SERIAL CLAIMS

Georgia Briscoe
University of Colorado
briscoe_gcupbdler.colorado.edu

Claiming unreceived serial issues just got easier if you are an Innovative Interfaces, Inc. site and subscribe to your serials with William S. Hein & Co. or Fred B. Rothman & Co.

The initial procedures to create a claim on INNOPAC remain the same. There are three ways to produce the claim:

- In the Serials Check-in function you may claim any issue of any serial by choosing the options Maintenance mode, Modify a box, Write a claim letter;

- Also in the Serials Check-in function, you may be prompted by INNOPAC to claim any late issues for a title you are checking in;

- In the Claim Overdue Issues function you may systematically review, for claiming, overdue issues selected by INNOPAC from portions of the serials file that you specify.

After the claims are made, verified, etc., libraries either print the claims and mail them to the vendor or they phone them into the vendor. Printing the claims involves putting special forms in the "system printer," then stuffing them into envelopes, posting them, etc.

Now claims may be processed by hitting one key on the computer -- selecting the command E to "send via e-mail." This is a special option which Innovative makes available for a separate charge. Hein and Rothman each have a separate Internet mailbox capable of receiving mail from INNOPAC libraries. Innovative installs the Electronic Claiming module and enables the appropriate programs and menus. Each library that purchases this module puts certain information in the record of each vendor that is capable of receiving claims over the Internet -- for each vendor record CODE2 field should be s and NOTE2 field is for the vendor's Internet address. Rothman's NOTTE2 field is:

$EDI[EMAIL]@ROTHMAN.COM

Hein's NOTE2 field is:

$EDI[EMAIL]@CLASS>ORG

Each check-in record being claimed should have a vendor record number (insert f ) in it. Most Innovative libraries will have this number already in their order record of each title and merely need to copy it into the check-in record. INNOPAC groups the claims by vendor into separate transmission files and sends them immediately upon command. Lynne Sitek at Hein and Kathy Ball at Rothman print the claims they receive each day, highlighting the vendor record number, title, and issues claimed. They both send feedback to the claiming library with the action each has taken. I learned at the AALL meetings in Indianapolis that Innovative is working on a method of getting feedback from the vendor directly into INNOPAC so that the claiming loop is completed electronically.

We at the University of Colorado find that claiming electronically saves us time and money. Fewer mistakes are made because we aren't transposing information, taking
notes, making phone calls, etc. Electronic communications are definitely the wave of the future and have improved work for us many times over.

*****

TECHNICAL SERVICES
GENERAL BUSINESS MEETING
July 21, 1996

TS-SIS Chair Mike Petit called the meeting to order at 12:05 P.M.

After a discussion of the agenda for the meeting, Mike introduced the current and incoming Executive Board members. He announced that a flyer was available from Alva Stone with information as to how law libraries can become involved in LC's Program for Cooperative Authority, and be trained to create original authority records.

Mike mentioned that the Technical Services Section is well represented in programs at the conference this year with five programs and one workshop.

Jim Mumm presented the Vice Chair/Chair Elect's report. He reported on the results of the TS-SIS survey which provided good information for the Board in terms of what people wanted to see for programs, and areas in which people were interested in working on committees. Rhonda Lawrence congratulated Jim on his detailed analysis of the survey results, and how well they were compiled. Virginia Bryant presented the Secretary/Treasurer's report. This past year, there were 637 members of TS-SIS. She noted that the section receives its portion of AALL funding based on the number of members as of September 1st, and encouraged members to send in their dues promptly. The results of the elections for the 1996-97 Executive Board were: Leonette Williams, Vice-Chair/Chair-Elect, Carole Hinchcliff, Secretary/Treasurer, and Betty Roeske, Member-at-Large. Financially the section is in the black, with an approximate fund balance of $14,800. There is a discrepancy between the section's financial records and AALL headquarters' records, and Virginia has met with Steve Ligda, the AALL controller, in order to obtain a more accurate fund balance. Currently, the section spends what it receives, with 60 percent of its income going to fund the Technical Services Law Librarian newsletter. The section did not break even on the souvenirs that were sold last year in Pittsburgh, and has incurred significant expenses in transporting the items.

The following Standing Committee reports were received:

► The Acquisitions Committee report was presented by Brian Quigley. He reported that the committee has been working on updating the Directory of foreign vendors, and plans to make the results available on AcqWeb when they are ready. They have also worked on the Acquisitions workshop, which will held following the conference, and for which there are fifty registrants.

► Carol Shapiro, Chair of the Cataloging and Classification Committee, reported on the activities of the committees various task forces. These are task force on constitution making, whose report has been favorably received by LC; task force on cataloging documentation, sources people use as working materials; task force on cataloger's notes from LC K schedules; and, the use of Name of the Act as a subject heading, whose report was shelved by LC as it waits for the outcome of the Toronto Conference on Cataloging Principles.

► Felice Lowell, Chair of the Exchange of Duplicates Committee, reported that there were 96 subscribers for the list this year, 12 of whom were Committee members. She noted the payment problems which occurred this year, with checks arriving from an institutions' accounting department with no registration information included, and checks sent directly to Headquarters without the Chair
receiving them to start the subscription. The Committee has a number of recommendations to change procedures if the Committee continues. It has been suggested that a different method to handle duplicate exchanges needs to be considered. The Committee is looking for a new Chair for next year.

► A report of the Preservation Committee’s activities was given by Curt Conklin. He reported that the Committee is looking into a continuing project, originally begun by Harvard University and others, to identify 19th century legal practice materials and arrange to get them preserved, particularly the 19th century state materials from states whose materials have not yet been identified. The Committee’s roundtable discussion will focus on how to protect rare and brittle books in poor condition using microclimate boxes. Curt noted that Hope Breeze will be the incoming Chair of the Preservation Committee.

► Paula Tejeda, Chair of the Serials Committee, reported on the programs the Committee is sponsoring this year, “Serials in the Electronic Environment,” and “Who’s Counting?” a program which is the result of the statistical survey that the Serials Committee sent out this year. The Committee will be considering ways that the survey results can be published. The incoming Chair of the Committee will be Joe Hinger.

► Rhonda Lawrence, Chair of the Education Committee, encouraged those present at the meeting who have good ideas for programs for Baltimore to submit them to the Education Committee quickly. There is a short turn around time for the Committee to review the proposals before the deadline of August 8th, when they have to be turned into AALL’s Education Committee. She urged people to be thinking of programs now for Anaheim, so there is more time for the Committee to help members with their program ideas, and complete the review process.

The following reports from the AALL official representatives were received:

► Jean Pajerek, representative to ALA’s ALCTS/CCS Subject Analysis Committee (SAC), reported on the work of several subcommittees, including the Subcommittee on Subject Authority File which is to investigate ways to record the history of heading changes including obsolete headings; Subcommittee on Subject Relationships/Reference Structures, which will investigate the kinds of relationships that exist between subjects; the Subcommittee on Subject Access to Computer Files which recommended that the 1986 ALA Guidelines on Subject Access to Microcomputer Software no longer be published since the information is out of date; and, the Subcommittee on Form Headings/Subdivisions implementation assigned to work on the subfield v and the 655 field. Jean presented information on current LC activities and publications. SAC received approval to have an ALA preconference on "Demystifying Subject Cataloging of Electronic Resources."

► Jim Martin, AALL representative to the Preservation and Reformatting Section (PARS) of ALA’s ACLTS, presented information regarding institutes that PARS will be sponsoring, including a Binding Institute in Boston in November, and an ALA preconference on Basic Scanning. In August LDV/NIISO will be issuing a new binding standard. He noted that SOLINET has a separate page on their home page to discuss preservation matters, and that through the Internet other good resources for information about preservation are available, such as the web page of Conservation online.

► AALL representative to SISAC, Nona Watt, reported on the establishment of a task force to work on guidelines for EDI elements in purchase orders to integrated library system vendors. Also, the Committee recently decided to revise the SISAC barcode implementation guidelines
to extend them to include a machine-readable representation for individual articles within a serial issue, instead of only at the issue specific level. This extension will make it easier to track copyright royalties due authors and publishers if copy machines are able to use this barcode to gather that information. This year, SISAC has given a number of programs at ALA discussing the issues of implementing EDI, and Nona encouraged attendance at the AALL program on "Serials in the Electronic Environment" where further information and explanation of SISAC will be given.

Ann Sitkin, AALL representative to CC:DA, reported that there were various discussions of rule revisions, but decisions were held off until the upcoming Conference on Cataloging Principles. There was also a discussion of the impact of electronic resources. She noted the work of several task forces, particularly the one to Define Bibliographic Access in the Electronic Environment, where people felt librarians did not have enough of a role in electronic access, that it was controlled more by the computer people who tend to move on issues faster than librarians. Ann will be working with the Task Force on the Distribution of CC:DA Documents to set up a CC:DA home page, and will make recommendations on what documents to post on it. She noted that ALA is working on an electronic version of AACR2 to be available in early 1997. Ann mentioned that CC:DA now has withdrawn its support for one of the long standing projects of the Cataloging and Classification Committee, namely the use of cross references for certain legal headings. In concluding her report, Ann mentioned the plans for an international conference of cataloging experts in Toronto, probably in the fall of 1997, at which the delegates will be looking at the underlying principles of AACR with the view towards fundamental rule revision. They will be soliciting position papers from others in the cataloging world, who are not necessarily delegates to the conference.

Rhonda Lawrence, who was appointed AALL MARBI representative recently with the resignation of Phyllis Post, reported on her first MARBI meeting at ALA. She noted MARBI has both a listserv and a web page which easily makes their documentation available. There was discussion of the name MARBI, in light of the initiatives to align USMARC with Canadian MARC and other counties MARC formats. One suggestion was IMARC, to show the international aspects. There were a number of discussion papers and proposals discussed at MARBI. Rhonda mentioned two in particular, one a discussion on how to make URL's and URN's functionally permanent to avoid cataloging maintenance on these fields, and the second was Proposal 96-8, dealing with how to align Canadian MARC and USMARC tag and field indicators.

Carol Shapiro reported for Kathy Price on the status of the LC Special Committee on Foreign Class K Schedule. At their Committee meeting this year, there was continuing discussion and support for the JZ/KZ reclassification, discussion of the placement of Ukraine in the Eurasian K schedules, and the committee encouraged LC to convert the K schedules to online. The Committee wants to encourage law catalogers to join the cooperative projects for name authorities. Once training is received in that area, it opens the door to participation in other LC projects. The highlight of the meeting was the presentation of the Renee D. Chapman Memorial Award to Melody Lembke by Alva Stone. In a humorous presentation, Alva noted Melody's many accomplishments and service to AALL, Technical Services SIS, Cataloging Institutes, programs, and the books and columns that she has written which have helped all of us in the law library profession.
In closing Jim Mumm, the incoming Chair, made a few remarks about the coming year. Reggie Wallen will be the new Awards Committee Chair. He encouraged people to have program ideas for Anaheim ready by January or February, so that the Education Committee can be more involved in helping members put their program ideas together. Jim plans to restructure the Awards and Education committees so that members from other Technical Services SIS committees are appointed to serve on the Awards and Education committees. It is hoped that this will bring more diversity and participation to these committees.

Mike Petit thanked everyone for coming to the meeting, and all of the Board members for their work this year. The business meeting was adjourned at 1:35 P.M.

Respectfully submitted,
Virginia Bryant
Secretary/Treasurer

*****

RENEE D. CHAPMAN AWARD
Indianapolis
July 21, 1996

Alva Stone
Florida State University
atstone@law.fsu.edu

Following the delivery of reports by numerous committee Chairs and Representatives at the TS annual business meeting, the Renee D. Chapman Award was presented to Melody Busse Lembke by Alva Stone. Hereewith is a "record" of the presentation. Alva Stone.

Mike Petit (outgoing Chair of the TS SIS): "And finally, as our last item of business today, I call upon Alva Stone to come up and present the annual award for the section."

ALVA STONE (AS): "Thank you, Mike. As many of you will remember, the Technical Services section has established this award in memory of Renee D. Chapman, former head of Technical Services at the Law Library at SUNY-Buffalo; Renee also served as Chair of TS-SIS in 1888-89."

TS MEMBERS (TS): "Nineteen 88-89!"

AS: "Huh?"

TS: "19.." [chuckles, giggles]

AS: "Did I say 1888? Ooops! I mean... 1988 to '89! Anyway, this award is meant to recognize "extended and sustained" service to technical services law librarianship and to Double-A-Double-L. Well, I don't think I can build up any suspense here, since it's already been reported in the AALL Newsletter as well as in a handout that came in your registration packet -- that this year's recipient is Melody Lembke."

TS: [enthusiastic applause, also extended and sustained. Alva shifts from foot to foot, while the correct pronunciation of "Busse," as given to her by 9-year-old Andrew Lembke, dances in her head...]

AS: "I am especially pleased to have been asked to present the award this year, because I feel a special affinity with Melody. She and I are the same age. (Well, OKAY!, I am a few weeks older than her, if you must know.) And, well, the fact that this conference is going on at the same time we're trying to watch the Olympics in Atlanta -- it reminds me of another time when sports history was being made. It was 1985. I was new to law libraries, so I attended the AALL Basic Cataloging Institute at Cornell that summer. I met Melody there; she was on the faculty of the Institute. We found each other, having a common interest in tennis, in the basement of a Cornell dormitory watching the rec room TV in amazement as an unknown German teenager, Boris Becker, went to the men's finals and won the tournament at Wimbledon!"
"Melody has also been an inspiration to me in another important way. Like her, I've also spent 20 years in the same place, since in my case, I worked as a cataloger at FSU's main library for 10 years before going to the FSU law library. But Melody has proven by her example that you can achieve great accomplishments even while you stay in one job -- you don't have to move around every few years or continually rise to "higher" positions in order to contribute to and have an impact of the profession.

TS: [Scattered applause]

AS: It's also nice to be able to present this award to Melody this year, since this is a kind of homecoming for her. She got her B.A. degree at Purdue, and her MLS at Indiana University, and here's her Mom with us today, up from southern Indiana! [Her two sons, Andrew and Tim, were also present.] Now, I could read you a list of all the reasons why we think Melody deserves this award ... but, tell the truth, I'd rather ask YOU some rhetorical questions. And I'll tell you straight off, that the answer to every one of the questions is "Melody." So I want you to give me the answer, Mel-O-Dy, and say it loud, say it clear...

AS: "Who has written the "Description and Entry" column in TSLL newsletter for over 14 years?"

TS: "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who was the first practicing cataloger to serve a three-year term on the AALL Executive Board?"

TS: "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who was chair of the Technical Services section in 1984, and was also the very first SIS Council chair the following year?"

TS: "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who is co-author of the AALL publication series title, Cataloging Legal Literature, which has influenced law catalogers all over, and will soon be out in its third edition?"

TS: "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who has proven that you can stay in one library for 20 years and you don't have to become a director in order to rise to the top in the eyes of your peers?"

TS: [Laughter and cheers] "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who has participated in at least seven programs, workshops, or AALL institutes, most recently instructing us on how to handle dead authors who are reincarnated on law book title pages?"

TS: "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who served on the Search Committee for AALL Executive Director in 1988, and who chaired the AALL Nominations Committee in 1995?"

TS: "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Who comes 'home' to Indiana, and brings her whole family to a business meeting of the Tech Services SIS ?"

TS: [Laughter] "Mel-o-dy !"

AS: "Very good, you guys! There's just one more thing I need your help on. If you are of the same generation as me and Melody, you might remember the theme song to a popular TV show we all watched when we were kids. Now, sing along with me, as I point to the letters and words on the plaque, to the tune of the Mickey Mouse song ..."

AS & TS:

"M - E - L, O - D - Y, B - U - S - S - E
Lembke, Mel-o-DY! Lembke, Mel-o-DY!
She's the greatest law cataloger of all!

TS: [Unrestrained laughter, a few tears too]

AS: "Okay, let's stop embarrassing Melody and give her her plaque. But I should mention that Richard Amelung was really the chair of the Awards Committee this year, but he couldn't come to Indianapolis. Oh! and Reggie Wallen was also on the Committee, and she's not here either, that's why you got stuck with me. Congratulations, Melody!"

[Melody steps up to the podium, receives the plaque, and says...]

MELODY: Putting my thank you on paper to Alva Stone's presentation gives me the opportunity to make it more complete. I didn't have notes so this is an approximation of what I said, so for those of you who were at the Technical Services meeting the following may sound slightly different!

My thanks to Richard, Alva, and Reggie for selecting me to receive the Renee D. Chapman Memorial Award. I am honored to be associated with Renee's name. For those who didn't know her, she was not only intelligent, organized, and met deadlines faithfully, she was also a very nice person. I also admit to a great feeling of pride in having a technical services person's name on the award brochure that annual meeting registrants received.

Alva's presentation was probably one of the funniest and most embarrassing I've ever experienced. She picked the "Mickey Mouse" song, and didn't realize that many a time my sister Mickey came home with a black eye after someone had taunted her with that song. My husband and I are originally from Indiana. Receiving this award here was an added bonus as it allowed my mom, Billie Busse, to come to this presentation. I brought my sons, Andrew and Timothy, so that they could spend the convention week on the farm with Grandma. They have been bugging me for a day and a half as to when I was going to let them out of this hotel so they could go to the farm. And now I've made them sit through a torturous meeting of incomprehensible acronyms. [There was a nomination from the floor that they receive an award for sitting through the TS business meeting.] Of course, I have to mention that I refer to them as my Executive Board Babies [nine year old Andrew put his head in his lap in embarrassment] since AJ was born when I was elected, and Tim during my second year on the board.

Alva mentioned several times that I've been at the same place a long time. I often blame my husband for much of what I have accomplished. [He came on Thursday post-convention to vacation with our relatives.] Mike put in a tremendous number of hours as a new attorney; I got active in professional activities to keep myself out of trouble while he was working. He also likes California law which limits my territory for job searching. At least with my job I know a tort from a torte. An when you work for an institution the size of LACLL who needs more challenges?

Peter Enyingi is also the reason for so much of what I've done. He knew when an editor was needed for the Law Cataloger; he encouraged me in this my first participation in AALL activities. He had tried for years to put together a committee to do a "Cataloging Manual." When Rhonda Lawrence came to LACLL, we finally had the group to do Cataloging Legal Literature. I inherited the Legal ..Weekly Lists as well from Peter when he retired. Rhonda and I are now stuck doing the 3rd ed. of CLL by ourselves which has taken much longer than we anticipated!

Of course, in addition to Peter and Rhonda there have been many other AALL members
along the way who have worked with me on projects: from Kate Pecarovich and instructors on the 1992 Cataloging Institute, to John Hostage, Lenore Rapkin, and Jacqui Paul on the Constitution Heading Task Force this past year, to name just a few of them. For all the others of you who have shared the learning, joys, dances, and burdens along the road these many years, Thank you.

*****

TS STANDING COMMITTEE ON CATALOGING AND CLASSIFICATION

Cataloging Issues Roundtable Minutes
Indianapolis
July 23, 1996

The meeting was called to order at 7:05 a.m.

► Guerilla catalogers and multiversions: A short discussion took place regarding the use of one bibliographic record for multiple versions of an item; approximately 50 percent of those present currently use this practice. A few catalogers were interested in using latest entry records for serials rather than successive entry, but none was actually cataloging this way.

► NACO in Baltimore: Alva Stone spoke about the Library of Congress' Program for Cooperative Cataloging (PCC), which invites libraries from all over the world to contribute high quality bibliographic and/or authority records to the national file. She urged roundtable attendees to consider participating in a four day training session on the NACO Program to be held next year before the annual conference in Baltimore. Aaron Kuperman of the Library of Congress gave out informational packets to those interested in the program. The BIBCO Program was also mentioned, and clarification was made that a library must be a NACO member in order to participate in the BIBCO Program. Jacqui Paul made the point that individual libraries would be able to create authority records for their respective state corporate bodies and other headings, which would be very useful to the law library community at large.

► Revised K schedules form tables: Pat McCoy summarized Regina Wallen's notes on the draft of the regional K form tables revisions(K, KD, KDZ, KG-KH). Jolande Goldberg then opened the floor to debate on several important concerns raised by the notes. The following amendments were finalized as a result:

1. Keep breakdown in serials and monographs
   .A15 Periodicals -- refers to mixed materials;

2. Drafts of treaties will be separate;

3. .A75 General works, Treatises (term added);

4. Get rid of mention to Addresses, essays, lectures;

5. Intergovernmental congresses -- refers to both serial and ad hoc congresses;

6. Clean up language in general
   Add term "Official" to Annual reports;

7. Take out mention of law reports; replace with term "Official journals" for journals of legislation;

8. The Cutter form tables will be replaced by the following:
   - Statutes
   - Monographs
   - Serials
   - Cases
   - General works. Treatises;

9. Under Texts of treaties, annotated editions:
   .A3-Z8 Works on the treaties
10. Societies formerly classed under .A54A-Z will now be moved to .A75-Z and placed under General works; 

11. Dictionaries and encyclopedia will be referred in a scope note to the specific branch of law and withdrawn from the form tables.

The Committee determined that Jolande had gotten a good sense of our concerns, and that she should use her judgment to finish the revisions. She will post the final revisions to LAW-TECH on Monday, July 26, with comments due within a week. A reminder on how to subscribe to LAW-TECH will be posted on AUTOCAT and LAW-LIB.

Aaron Kuperman made the point that, although the terminology can be standardized and some numbers can be omitted, it really isn't feasible to have one table for all schedules.

There was a discussion about where to class conference proceedings, with some dispute as to their value (should they be considered as minor works). Carol Shapiro commented on the lack of standardized title page information. Ann Sitkin reported that NISO is preparing a standard for conference proceedings title pages. CC:DA has a task group which is currently examining conference proceedings with regard to the following:

1. To define what is a named conference;

2. To remove the word "prominently" from defining what constitutes a named conference (RI 21.1B2).

Rhonda Lawrence reported that CONSER is also looking at the definition of conference proceedings for serials, which may allow more proceedings to be cataloged as serials. Pat McCoy expressed concern that information (i.e., table of contents) would be lost as a result.

The anticipated revision of the KF, KD, and KE tables was discussed. Nothing has been done with the form division tables yet. According to Aaron Kuperman, the schedules need large amounts of revision; there is a cooperative project to update terminology and provide more explanatory notes. John Hostage stated that he would like to see it issued in a timely manner, but that it would need to be proofread first. The H schedules were not proofread before being submitted.

> **Law and Legislation: free-floating?**

There was virtually unanimous agreement that the subdivision **Law and legislation** not become free-floating. Marie Whited explained the history of the term, which was free-floating several years ago. As a result of misuse of the term, the reference structure to several major branches of law was completely lost and quality control suffered. The term was removed from the free floating list within a year. Aaron Kuperman suggested that law catalogers submit subject heading modifications to the SACO program for any inherently legal terms that might need a cross reference with the **Law and legislation** subdivision. The question of what is an inherently legal subject heading was discussed (terms with most of the works in the LC database classed in law; terms defined in Black's Law Dictionary). Rhonda Lawrence asked that this topic be the focus of a working group to come up with proposals and suggestions for next year on how to identify what is inherently legal and when to use **Law and legislation**. Carol Shapiro stated that this group would be set up. In addition, mention was made regarding the formulation of standing subcommittees for classification, cataloging, and subject headings, inasmuch as the size of the C&C Committee has grown so large as to be unwieldy. Nothing was finalized on this subject.

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 A.M.

Respectfully submitted,
Susan Chinoransky
TASK FORCE ON CONSTITUTION HEADINGS

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW
FINAL DRAFT
August 15, 1996

Members of the Task Force: Melody Lembke, John Hostage, Lenore Rapkin and Jacqui Paul

The goal: Simplify the constitutional headings. Whenever possible have the Constitutional law headings conform with current LC subject heading practices. Make Constitution treatment as similar to that for an act as possible.

The method: This document was circulated among the Task Force members and an early draft presented to Paul Weiss for quick comment by catalogers at LC. The following recommendations were discussed at the Indianapolis meeting and were acceptable to the Cataloging and Classification Committee members; the document was forwarded to Paul Weiss at the Library of Congress. Now we wait and see what happens!

I. Texts of constitutions
   a) Individual texts.

   Proposal: Cancel --Constitution; use Constitutions (May Subd Geog)

   Assign the form heading Constitutions (May Subd Geog) to individual texts of constitutions.

   Do not assign the heading for the constitution, i.e. [jurisdiction]. [uniform title of the law] to a work that consists solely of the text of the constitution.

   For the text of the United States Constitution:

   110 United States.
   240 Constitution
   245 Constitution of the United States
   650 Constitutions$zUnited States.

   b) Collected texts.

   Proposal: Use Constitutions (May Subd Geog) for collections of constitutions of a single jurisdiction as well as for general and regional collections. Cancel Constitutions, State or Provincial constitutions.

   Assign the form heading Constitutions (May Subd Geog) to collected texts of constitutions. Subdivide the heading by place if the collection is limited to a particular country, state, etc.

   Sample headings:

   650 Constitutions$zCalifornia
   650 Constitutions$zUnited States$xStates.
   650 Constitutions$zCanada
   650 Constitutions$zCanada$xProvinces.

II. For works about constitutions and/or constitutional law.

   a) Works about constitutions of multiple jurisdictions.

   Proposal: Change heading to Constitutional law (May subd geog). Cancel $xConstitutional law or $xConstitutional law, State. Also change: H1149.5 $xColonies$xConstitutional law.

   650 Constitutional law.
   650 Constitutional law$zUnited States.
   650 Constitutional law$zUnited States$xStates.
   650 Constitutional law$zUnited States$xColonies.
   650 Constitutional law$zCanada$xProvinces.
b) Works about individual constitutions.

Proposal: For works about individual constitutions, assign the heading for the name of the constitution in the form [jurisdiction], [uniform title]. Also assign the topical heading Constitutional law (May subd geog).

610 United States.$tConstitution.
650 Constitutional law$zUnited States
610 California.$tConstitution (1879)
650 Constitutional law$zCalifornia

III. Constitutional amendments

a) For works about constitutional amendments and the amending process.

Proposal: Change heading to Constitutional amendments (May Subd Geog). Cancel $xConstitutional law$xAmendments, $xConstitutional law, State$xAmendments. Discontinue individual numbering in the topical heading such as -- 1st, 2nd, etc.

i) For works about amendments of multiple jurisdictions, multiple amendments or the amending process in a single jurisdiction assign Constitutional amendments (May Subd Geog).

Single jurisdiction:

Constitutional amendments$zCalifornia
Constitutional amendments$zUnited States

Multiple jurisdictions:

Constitutional amendments
Constitutional amendments$zCanada$xProvinces

ii) For works discussing specific amendments assign the heading for the name of the amendment usually in the form [jurisdiction], $[unform title], $n[numbered amendment]. Also assign a topical heading or headings appropriate to the amendment being cataloged. In addition assign the topical heading Constitutional amendments (May Subd Geog)

Work about the Bill of Rights
Entry and title could be anything.
610 United States.$tConstitution.$n1st-10th Amendments.
650 Civil rights$zUnited States.
650 Constitutional amendments$zUnited States.

b) For the text of amendments

Assign the appropriate topical heading(s). Also assign Constitutional amendments (May Subd Geog) as a form heading.

Do not assign the heading for an amendment to a constitution to a work that consists solely of the text of the amendment.

Text of Bill of Rights
110 United States.
240 Constitution.$n1st-10th Amendments.
650 Civil rights$zUnited States.
650 Constitutional amendments$zUnited States.

Note: Constitutional amendments is being used as both a form and a topical heading.

IV. For works discussing the historical development of constitutions

Proposal: Change heading to Constitutional history (May Subd Geog) Alternate that was rejected: Constitutional law (May Subd Geog)--History. Unchanged: Constitutional history, Ancient and Constitutional history, Medieval

Constitutional history$zUnited States
Constitutional history$zUnited States$xStates
Constitutional history$zQuebec (Province)

VI. Interpretation and construction.

This is a free-floating subdivision under legal topics.
Proposal: No longer permit use of this subdivision under Constitutional law because most constitutional law works deal to some degree with interpretation and construction. See Black's law dictionary "Constitutional law." Change reference to Constitutional law--interpretation and construction USE Constitutional law.

****

TS
STANDING COMMITTEE ON CATALOGING AND CLASSIFICATION
MEETING
Indianapolis
July 22, 1996

Carol S. Shapiro, Chair, called the meeting (66 attendees) to order and designated Pam Deemer (Emory University) secretary for the meeting. Ms. Shapiro then announced that the program "Format Integration--Finally," coordinated and moderated by Alva Stone, would take place on Tuesday afternoon and reminded everyone about the Tuesday morning meeting of the Cataloging and Classification Issues Roundtable and the Wednesday afternoon Library of Congress update meeting with Aaron Kupperman, Mark Stratner, and Jolande Goldberg.

Ms. Shapiro also called for program proposals for the 1997 Baltimore and 1998 Anaheim AALL Annual Meetings and asked that the proposals be given to the TS SIS Education Committee, Rhonda Lawrence or herself. Rhonda Lawrence encouraged people to attend the Wednesday noon meeting of the Education Committee and to volunteer to be a moderator or coordinator. New members were especially encouraged to be moderators or coordinators, as doing so is a good way to begin to become active in AALL and the TS SIS.

The Chair ended her announcements by congratulating Melody Lembke on her receipt of the Rene Chapman award.

Reporting for Regina Wallen on last Annual Meeting's program, "Cataloging Problems: Now and Forever," Ms. Shapiro said the program received rave reviews.

Marie Whited, Director of the 1996 Cataloging Institute, reported that 47 attendees undertook intensive training all day and into night at the Institute, which was held at Notre Dame. Ms. Whited said it went well.

This report was followed by those of various AALL representatives. (Kathleen Price, AALL Representative to the LC Committee on Class K, Ann Sitkin, AALL Representative to CC:DA, Jean Pajerek, AALL Representative to SAC, and Rhonda Lawrence, filling in for Phyllis Post as AALL Representative to MARBI) Carol Shapiro read the report for Ms. Price, which stated that the Committee has been pushing to have the K schedule completed and published in a timely manner. Ann Sitkin stated that the proposal for a rule for cross references for certain legal headings was dead for now because the British Commonwealth countries wish to wait until after the 1997 Conference on Cataloging Principles before considering the proposal. Jean Pajerek described four subcommittees of SAC, one dealing with subject authority, one with the subject relationships in authorities and classification/reference structures, one with subject access to computer files, and one with form headings and subdivisions. Form/genre tag (655) and indicator $v$ are still being considered. Library of Congress has not made a decision. Ms. Pajerek announced that the 19th edition of LCSH has been published as well as the 5th edition of the LC Subject Manual and other publications. Rhonda Lawrence said MARBI is still working on a character set to the Unicode. Diacritics and online catalogs are problematic. The section symbol is still not there. Although Diane Hillman is no longer a law librarian, she will be a voting MARBI member in 1997 and is a section symbol.
pusher. These reports should be published in full in this fall's TSLL.

Jolande Goldberg told the Cataloging and Classification Committee that she was pleased with the Committee's cooperation with the proposed classification form division tables' revisions and that she was close to finishing. It was decided that the revisions and the report of the Working Group on K Schedule Form Tables, headed by Regina Wallen, which studied the revisions would be discussed at the Roundtable the next morning. New and revised law schedules final product should be done by 1997. The civil law and uniform law tables have been worked on, although the common law tables have yet to be touched. KZ is finished and the paralleling JX has been developed to her satisfaction. Now the political characteristics of the relation between E and F must be struggled with. Ms. Goldberg provided handouts showing comparative classification patterns for K and KZA (international regime theory). Canon law schedule work has been begun. The Committee and Ms. Goldberg also discussed the problem of classifying and reclassifying intergovernmental agency materials (ex.: UN, OAS, WHO) and how the schedules dealing with these materials should be organized. The Committee decided comments from a working group will be wanted and a note will be placed on LAW-TECH to elicit volunteers.

The Committee next heard study group reports, beginning with Melody Lembke's Task Force on Constitution Headings. (See report on p. 27). The Chair commended Ms. Lembke for the work of the Task Force.

Ellen McGrath, Working Group on Cataloging Documentation, apologized for lack of action this year and asked for approval to publish a survey in TSLL to determine who has what documentation and to investigate the possibility of someone's working on a web site for the gathering together of online documentation and a possible bibliography. The Committee charged the working group to do both.

Brian Striman, Chair of the Working Group on Classification Notes, said notes have been gathered from experienced catalogers and a listserve has been set up. Although Larry Dersham has been working on a classification CD-ROM which could have links and pop-ups to these notes, Aaron Kupperman warned that notes created for ones' job and published by a commercial publisher could cause copyright problems, i.e., the employer could claim the rights. Other possibilities for distribution of the notes will have to be explored.

Submitted by Pam Deemer

*****

LC UPDATE
July 24, 1996

Lorna Tang
University of Chicago
lyt2@midway.uchicago.edu

The meeting was chaired by Carol Shapiro. The speakers from the Library of Congress included Mark Strattner, Aaron Kupperman, and Jolande Goldberg.

Mark Strattner is the Legal Collection Development Specialist at the Library of Congress Law Library. Mark replaced Marie Whited as the liaison between the Law Library of Congress and the LC's law cataloging team.

There are several major changes in the Law Library of Congress. One is the loss of Marie Whited's cataloging expertise in the Law Library; she has left LC to work at Yale Law Library. The Library of Congress Law Library still has a large amount of uncataloged materials including microforms, rare books and law titles shelved in the general collection. Law serial titles cataloged before 1968 still need to be classified. Like other law libraries, the Law
Library of Congress also eagerly awaits the completion of the class K schedules: JZ, KZ, and KB. LC is committed to reducing backlogs, and hopefully, 80 percent of the arrearage will be cataloged by the year 2000.

As of June 27, LC had recataloged all Shepards as serials. CD’s, disks, and other computer files held in the "Machine Readable Reading Room" before its closure in December 1995 have been redistributed to the reading rooms. They have also been assigned LC class number plus a simplified cutter number. Louisiana codes are now being cataloged together in KFL30. With Marie’s help the BNA Labor Relations Reporter is being recataloged and reclassified in KF3315. The American State Papers and the United States Congressional Serial Set are now classified in KF. The Law Library of Congress hopes to have all reading room materials classified in the K class by year 1999. LC will cooperate with other law libraries in reclassifying foreign materials or will simply make use of other library’s records. LC froze their foreign approval plans to cover the serials cost overrun.

Aaron Kuperman has been a Subject Cataloger with the Law Team at the Library of Congress Social Science Cataloging Division since 1987. There are three subject catalogers on the Law Team. They catalog about 12,000 new titles each year. The Law Team has always enjoyed support from AALL and the Law Library of Congress; vacancies on the Law Team have always been replaced. The Law Team is also the most productive one among LC cataloging teams. A third of the materials that the law team is responsible for requires only subject cataloging (classification and subject headings). LC uses cataloging records produced by other libraries as much as possible and will upgrade the copy record as needed. Aaron asked all law catalogers to let LC know if they see anything wrong with the classification schedule or with LC’s classification numbers. LC will revise a record, if needed and will revise subject headings retrospectively to 1968. Catalogers at LC particularly need other catalogers’ help in understanding local headings. Please send proposed subject headings or classification to LC. Any law library which is interested in joining LC’s program for cooperative cataloging, should contact Aaron Kuperman.

Jolande Goldberg, the Senior Cataloging Policy Specialist in the Cataloging Policy & Support Office at the Library of Congress, found this conference very productive. At the TS-SIS Cataloging and Classification Committee meeting and the Cataloging and Classification Forum meeting, she received valuable input from committee members and other law catalogers. The law catalogers generally prefer to see a "revised" KF schedule, not just a new KF schedule with accumulated changes. In the process of converting the class K schedules to LC MARC format, she discovered inconsistencies in the form tables. She plans to clarify and to eliminate repetition in the form tables in subclass K and the European schedules. Many form tables have been incorporated into the schedule. After returning to LC, Jolande will finalize the form tables. The final version will be published in the Cataloging Service Bulletin.

Jolande also reported on the progress of converting K classification into the LC MARC classification format. KF is already done. Other K schedules, besides KF and KZ, will be done with outside funding. Differences in opinions regarding JZ and KZ have been resolved. Jolande has 82,000 JX shelflist cards copied. They will serve as the base of the LC JX reclassification project. LC also wants to cooperate with other libraries on this project. 35 staff members in LC already have the LC classification schedules online to use at their desks. One third of the LC online classification schedules is the K schedule. Jolande studied the B-BX materials at Boalt Hall and LC. There is an option of creating...
parallel classification schemes for B-BX and KB-KBX. Ukrainian materials before 1916 and after 1991 will be moved from the Asian schedules to the European schedules. Jolande has regularly consulted the State Dept. and the BGN for policies regarding countries. Outside input influences LC’s priority setting. KJC and KJE have to be revised because of the expansion of the European Communities. Tax preparation materials are generally classified in K and criminology usually is not classified in K.

*****

TS ACQUISITIONS COMMITTEE MEETING
Indianapolis
July 23, 1996

The TS-SIS Acquisitions Committee met on Tuesday, July 23, at 7 a.m. In attendance: Brian Quigley (Chair), Jim Mumm (TS Chair), Teddy Arzt, Cynthia Aninao, Sandy Beehler, Maria Cap, Joe Custer, Karen Douglas, Mary Ertl, Jo Anne Giammattei, Mary McKee, Jack Montgomery, Kent Milunovich, Alice Pidgeon, Susan Ryan.

► POLICY STATEMENTS: The group discussed having statements for deselection/weeding, collection development, and gifts on the University of Texas WWW site as well as having paper copies available. Brian volunteered to post notices on LAW-LIB to request samples of these policy statements. Alice will submit deselection/weeding statements and Carol Hinchcliff will be asked about gifts statements as a result of her successful program last Monday.

► STATUS OF LAWACQ: LAWACQ will remain a moderated discussion group coordinated by Cynthia Aninao instead of going to an automated listserv. The Committee preferred the human control. This discussion group does not generate much traffic which would create a lot of work. Acquisitions questions appearing on LAW-LIB are also a valuable input but the Committee liked having a select group of acquisitions librarians with whom to discuss issues. Brian Quigley will coordinate LAWACQ in Cynthia’s absence.

► ACQUISITIONS WORKSHOP: - The workshop will be held this Thursday, July 25. Last count for registration was 49, the highest for any institute or workshop this year. Jack Montgomery will write a summary of the entire workshop and Teddy Arzt, Karen Douglas, Alice Pidgeon, and Kaaren Pupino will record the breakout sessions. These will be posted on LAWACQ and LAW-LIB and submitted to TS SIS Librarian, the AALL Spectrum, and Against the Grain.

► FOREIGN VENDOR SURVEY: There was sufficient interest in updating this list. Mary Ertl and Harold Moren sent out surveys in June and have received vendor listings from all over the world. Anna Belle Leiserson has offered to post on ACQWEB. Brian Quigley will make paper copies available. Jim Mumm will investigate the possibility of having TS SIS publish this work.

► ANNUAL MEETING PROGRAM IDEAS: Jim Mumm would like all TS program proposals for the 1998 Anaheim Meeting formulated by Jan-Mar of 1997. Karen Douglas will be the Acquisitions Committee rep to the TS Education Committee. All the programs will be rated top-notch instead of ranking them as previously done. For the 1998 Anaheim meeting, Jack Montgomery will submit a proposal on the current state of legal publishing and Karen Douglas will submit a proposal on the human element in organizational change. Cynthia Aninao proposed a program on ethics for vendors and libraries. Previous program ideas are in files with Mike Petit, Jim Mumm, Rhonda Lawrence, and Jack Montgomery.

► ACQUISITIONS DIRECTORY: There was sufficient interest for this as a result of the recent TS survey. The Committee suggested including information on library
systems used and specialties. Brian will post a request for information on LAWACQ.

- CHARLESTON CONFERENCE: Jack announced that the 1996 theme will be “Money Talks”. Against the Grain (ATG) is the publication of the Charleston Conference. Law-related columns in ATG include JURIS LIBRIS and BIZ of ACQ. Jack reported that it was easy to get involved in the Charleston Conference and ATG and encouraged more people to do so.

- ACQUISITIONS ROUNDTABLE: The Roundtable will be this afternoon at 12 noon in room 146. Bring your own lunch.

- COURT RECORDS, INC.: Members reported on dealings with this vendor who used to be called Microform Inc. Tax liens are still being levied by the IRS. All agreed to be cautious in their dealings and keep each other informed.

- CCH: The group discussed the billing and customer service difficulties with this vendor over the past couple of years. CCH has been restructured and is introducing a new computer system. A good field rep or home office customer service rep (Kevin Roberts is the Head of Customer Service) can be instrumental in solving a library's problems.

The meeting was adjourned at 7:50 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Cynthia Aninao
Recording Secretary

---

The Committee held meetings at both the Midwinter and Annual meetings. This report summarizes discussions and actions taken at both meetings.

CC:DA heard updates from a number of Task Force Groups and Representatives from other groups involved in issues of interest to the Committee. A common thread running through much of the discussions, particularly at the New York Annual Meeting, were a cautious approach to many problems in the rules because of the upcoming Conference on cataloging principles, a reluctance to initiate any recommendations for rule revisions until after this Conference, and the recognition of the impact of electronic resources on all aspects of librarianship. A brief summary of some of the discussions follows.

- ALCTS Task Force to Define Bibliographic Access in the Electronic Environment: Jennifer Younger, Chair, noted that this group has been charged “to lead the way in defining access and bibliographic control mechanisms for information in electronic form, and communicating that mechanism to users.” CCDA discussion centered on the role librarians should play in influencing how electronic data is described and accessed, a role now controlled more by the computer industry than by librarians. Possible actions are for librarians to attend more computer conferences, develop more contacts with people in the industry, organizing a joint conference, appoint a task group to examine the Dublin core data set, and having joint meetings with Marbi to discuss issues of common concern. The Task Force has a Web site where minutes and
working documents can be viewed at: www.lib.virginia.edu/alcts.

- CC:DA Task Force on Cataloging Conference Proceedings: This group is examining Rule 21.1B2 and considering the possibility of dropping the word "prominently" in determining what constitutes a named conference, and also looking to define what constitutes a named conference.

- CC:DA Task Force on Rules for Music Moving Image Materials, and CC:DA Task Force on Works Intended for Performance: Both these groups are examining the rules for entry of musical works of mixed responsibility and the rules that cause conflicting interpretations on what constitutes authorship. The root of the problem lies in differing views on the nature of authorship and differences in defining a "work" versus a "manifestation".

- CC:DA Task Force on Distribution of CC:DA Documents: This group is working on recommendations for changes to CC:DA document distribution policies and procedures. Primarily it will develop plans for a website, recommend which documents to distribute, determine how to label them, examine issues of maintenance, archiving and timeliness. The website under construction is currently accessible from the ALCTS home page. Currently available at the site are the documents "How to Submit a Rule Change Proposal", and a pamphlet about CC:DA and its work.

- Report on Electronic AACR2: David Epstein from ALA publishing reported at Midwinter on the progress for issuing an electronic version of AACR2. The SGML markup is complete and plans are moving ahead to publish using FolioViews software. AACR-E will be comprised of the 1988 version, the 1993 amendments, and subsequent JSC approved revisions. The ALA version will be the archival version, with JSC (Joint Steering Committee for Revision of Anglo-American Cataloging Rules) approval. There will also be a commercial version, and a paper version. CC:DA members expressed concern about the synchronicity between the electronic and paper versions; the former is easily updatable, but the paper is not. Another concern was the cost of the electronic version. ALA hopes to issue the product early in 1997.

- Report on the Guidelines for Bibliographic Description of Interactive Multimedia - Laurel Jizba: The Guidelines need revision. In particular, the GMD needs to be changed, corrections need to be made, and other changes resulting from format integration need to be incorporated.

- Report from OCLC Representative - Glenn Patton: The Internet Cataloging project officially terminated on June 30th, with the end of its funding. Participants are encouraged to continue their cataloging efforts. A final report on the Project is due September 30th. OCLC also reported that it has held a number of meetings with representatives from Germany and Russia with the goal of harmonizing cataloging rules between these countries and AACR2, and developing a common MARC format.

- Report from the Library of Congress Representative - Barbara Tillett: The Representative gave a lengthy and detailed report of news from LC. Some of the highlights are:

  - The Cataloging Distribution Service had its budget cut in half, and consequently must eliminate some of its products. In particular, all CDMARC products will no longer be issued after 1996. The paper versions of cataloging manuals will continue however.

  - The British Library will begin sending name authority records to NAF beginning in September.

  - A new edition of the Subject Cataloging Manual is being prepared. The new edition will have many more examples.
All the LC classification schedules are now in MARC format, except for KFA-KFZ which is presenting unusual problems. Schedules KF, M, PL, and Z will be republished soon.

Development of the Canon law schedule has begun; and revision of the form division tables is under way.

Report from the ALA Representative to the Joint Steering Committee on Revision of AACR - Brian Schottlaender: The Representative reported on some of the highlights of the just completed JSC meeting and the issues which needed action from CC:DA:

- A proposal to amend Rule 9.0B1 Chief source of information for computer files, to include the first screen of display when there is no title screen and the header to the file is being considered. CC:DA endorsed the proposal.

- LC had proposed a change in the rule form of entry of Vietnamese names, which would drop the comma between surname and forename. This is not common practice in Vietnamese. CC:DA voted not to support this proposal until rules for Chinese and Hungarian names were included and recommended that LC redraft the proposal with their inclusion.

- LC introduced a number of proposals for changes in rules for serials which were recommended by PCC, the Program for Cooperative Cataloging. The proposals are 1) to omit titles of related works from the title proper; 2) omission of names, dates and numbers which are likely to change frequently from the title proper; 3) revision of the rule concerning the inclusion of questionable words and phrases in the title proper; and 4) proposes a slight revision to the wording of notes regarding relationships with other serials. CC:DA deferred action until the Serials Cataloging Committee could review the proposals.

- CC:DA approved a proposal to add a list of initial articles which are to be omitted from certain headings as a new Appendix to AACR2.

- CC:DA withdrew its support of the rule revision for cross-references for certain legal headings. This proposal had the support of AALL and the Library of Congress, but had drawn mixed reactions in JSC. This spring three of the five JSC members, British, Canadian, and Australian Library Associations, agreed to postpone any further discussion of this revision until after the International Conference. In view of this decision CC:DA felt it could no longer support the revision at this time.

The Representative also reported on JSC plans to sponsor an international conference of cataloging experts to consider the underlying principles of AACR, with a view to determining whether fundamental rule revision is appropriate and if so, advising on the direction and nature of those revisions. Participation will be by invitation only, with additional observers. It will have a workshop format, with selected position papers, discussions and break-out sessions. As soon as a Conference Director is chosen, more information on participants and submission of position papers will be forthcoming. CC:DA is considering preparation of position papers on a number of issues such as the conceptual schema of AACR2, definition of what constitutes a work; concepts of main entry and corporate body, relationships between bibliographic entities, and on the concept of publication.
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The ALA ALCTS/CCS Subject Analysis Committee (SAC) met twice during the ALA Annual Conference in New York. As usual, much of the Committee's work was delegated to its various subcommittees.

The SAC Subcommittee on Subject Authority File Recommendations was formed after the 1995 annual conference in response to a request made by the Cooperative Cataloging Council's Subject Authority File Task Group. The Task Group referred to SAC two recommendations for further discussion and study; these recommendations became the Subcommittee's charge:

- "Investigate ways of recording the history of heading changes in authority records"

and

- "Identify changes to authority records for main headings and subdivisions needed to accommodate coding that will allow automatic validation of heading-subdivision combinations."

Regarding the first part of the charge, the Subcommittee concluded that:

1. [The cataloging community wants information on changes in subdivision usage.]

2. Not only are topical and subdivision cross reference structure essential, but reference records on obsolete headings.

3. Programming is needed which will identify obsolete split headings for human review.


The Subcommittee also concluded that changes in MARC content designation need to be identified to accommodate the automatic validation of heading-subdivision combinations. The Subcommittee will continue to work on its charge during the coming months. The Chair (Diane Casey of Governors State University) plans to set up an Internet mailing list for Subcommittee members in order to facilitate their discussions.

The SAC Subcommittee on Subject Relationships/Reference Structures is charged with investigating the kinds of relationships that exist between subjects; how these relationships are or could be recorded in authorities and classification formats; and options for how these relationships should be presented to users of online and print catalogs, indexes, lists, etc. While the group has made substantial progress toward fulfilling its charge, the Chair (Gregory Wool of Iowa State University) requested that the Subcommittee be given additional time to investigate the varied and complex issues surrounding the charge. Those who are interested in the work of this group may subscribe to the Subcommittee's Internet mailing list, SSRRS. To subscribe, send the command subscribe to ssrrs-request@iastate.edu

At the Midwinter Conference in 1995, at the urging of the SAC Subcommittee on Subject Access to Computer Files, SAC voted to recommend to the Cataloging and Classification Section Executive Committee that ALA not reissue the 1986 Guidelines on Subject Access to Microcomputer Software. The Subcommittee believed the guidelines were too out of date to be used for current cataloging. The recommendation has been accepted and the Guidelines are no longer available for purchase. The Subcommittee will be working on a white paper which the members plan to have ready for the 1997 ALA annual conference in San Francisco.

In other news related to computer files, LC recently announced that it is discontinuing use of field 753 (System Details Access to Computer Files) in monograph cataloging, on the grounds that it contains information...
that is also present in other fields of the record, notably the 538 System Details Note. LC monographic computer file records created after mid-April 1996 will not have the 753 field. The Cataloging Policy and Support Office plans to recommend that a proposal be written to make the 753 field obsolete in the USMARC bibliographic format.

The fifth edition of the *Subject Cataloging Manual*: *Subject Headings*, scheduled for distribution this fall, will include two new instruction memos pertaining to computer files. H 1520 will provide guidelines on the assignment of subject headings to databases, to works about databases, to certain related materials, and on the assignment of the free-floating subdivision *--Databases*. H 1580.5 will provide guidelines on the assignment of subject headings to electronic serials. I can provide copies of these new instruction memos for those who are interested.

The SAC Subcommittee on Form Headings/Subdivisions Implementation is charged with "working with interested and involved parties on the widespread implementation and use of 'explicitly coded' form data in bibliographic databases (the subfield v and the 655 field). The committee should publicize the availability of the explicitly coded form tagging and encourage implementation." Pursuant to their charge, the Subcommittee has established a World Wide Web site where documentation on this issue may be found. The URL is:

http://www.pitt.edu/~agtaylor/ala/alaac.htm

Although subfield v for form subdivisions has been established in the USMARC format, LC has not yet implemented it. A group at LC has been formed to investigate the issues surrounding implementation of subfield v and field 655 (Index Term-Genre/Form) in the USMARC bibliographic format, and field 155 (genre/form headings) in the USMARC authorities format.

Lynn El-Hoshy of the Library of Congress updated the Committee on various other developments at LC:

- All LC classification schedules except for some subclasses of K have been converted into machine-readable form. Newly available schedules are: B-BJ, N, Q and U-V. These schedules are to be included in the third release of Classification Plus, LC's Windows-based CD-ROM product that also includes the *Library of Congress Subject Headings*. Among the new schedules expected to be issued either later this year or in 1997 are H (with HJ revisions) and KF. More information about the LC classification schedules may be found on the Web at: http://www.loc.gov/cds

- Due to budget constraints, the Cataloging Distribution Service at LC will discontinue some of its CD-ROM products, as of sometime in early 1997. These products are: CDMARC Subjects, CDMARC Names, CDMARC Serials, and the Music Catalog on CD-ROM.

The 19th edition of the *Library of Congress Subject Headings* has just been published. New topical subject headings have been established for names of decades in the 20th century; e.g., "Nineteen fifties." In an upcoming project, LC will be updating headings that contain the word "man," e.g., *Man, Prehistoric*.

- The soon-to-be-published fifth edition of the *Subject Cataloging Manual*: *Subject Headings* will consist of four binders, and will incorporate many of the improvements suggested by participants in a user survey recently conducted by LC. The text will feature examples showing USMARC content designation and there will be better referencing between instruction memos. Form subdivisions will be identified by a diamond in the margin of the pages on which they appear. Of particular interest to
law catalogers will be a new instruction sheet with guidelines on how to deal with split or merged jurisdictions.

► Also of interest to law catalogers are the proposed revisions to class K form division tables. A draft of the proposed revisions appears in no. 72 (spring 1996) of the Cataloging Service Bulletin. LC invites users of class K to submit their comments on the proposed revisions to Barbara Tillett, Chief of CPSO, Library of Congress.

► LC is considering a request from a Ukrainian library to move law of Ukraine from Eurasia to Europe, i.e., out of KLP and into KKU.

SAC has been given approval to present a preconference called "Demystifying Subject Cataloging of Electronic Resources" prior to the 1997 ALA Annual Conference. The goal of the preconference is to "review and update fundamental subject cataloging principles, particularly as they relate to the cataloging of electronic resources." A SAC-sponsored program on form/genre access is being planned for the 1997 ALA Annual Conference itself.

*****

TSLL
ANNUAL REPORT
1995-1996

Four issues of volume 21 of Technical Services Law Librarian were published. The Volume contained 112 pages, 17 more pages than Volume 20. Each issue averaged 18 pages. Mary Dzurinko and Lorraine Lorne were Editor and Business Manager, respectively.

Contributing editors for Volume 21 included: Jean Eisenhauer (Acquisitions), Mary Chapman (Automation), Regina Wallen and Marie Whited (Classification), Rhonda Lawrence and Melody Lembke (Description and Entry), Pam Perry (Internet), Patricia Denham (Preservation), Brian Striman (Research and Publications), Jean Pajerek (Serials), Karin Den Bleyker and Joan Liu (Serial Issues), Alva Stone (Subject Headings), Karin Den Bleyker (OCLC Committee), and Heather Hawkins (RLIN Committee). MARC Remarks and Technical Services in Smaller Libraries columns were not published in Volume 21. MARBI and ALA ALCTS/CSS reports were published and Jean Pajerek produced the index for Volumes 19 and 20. Pat Denham relinquished her Preservation column with this Volume.

Beginning with this Volume, each editor was required to contribute material for only two issues in a volume. This procedure worked very well, allowing contributors more flexibility in their content and deadlines.


Total production costs for Volume 21 were $3851.51. A financial report will be submitted by Lorraine Lorne, TSLL Business Manager.

Large sized paper with staples in the middle fold was used for Volume 21. Adding a cover sheet helped protect the Newsletter during mailing. The March issued contained a feature article on subject headings by Alva T. Stone. The June issue contained a document on the proposed revision to LC K schedules and a list of OBS-TS meetings in Indianapolis. Most contributors submitted copy by e-mail, allowing for faster initial
compilation and accurate editing of the Newsletter.

Respectfully submitted,
Mary K. Dzurinko, Editor

*****

TSLL
BUSINESS MANAGER REPORT
1995-1996

Volume 21 had approximately 900 subscribers, including OBS and TS members and 78 paid subscriptions. Costs for each issue, including printing and foreign and domestic postage were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>36 p.</td>
<td>$1108.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>20 p.</td>
<td>$ 620.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>28 p.</td>
<td>$1061.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#4</td>
<td>40 p.</td>
<td>$1116.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc post</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 48.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3954.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Newsletter was sent out at Bulk Mail Rate. Beginning with Volume 21, #2, a cover sheet and larger sized paper, stapled in the middle crease, was used for the Newsletter.

Respectfully submitted,
Lorraine Lorne
Business Manager

*****

TSLL BOARD MEETING
Indianapolis
July, 21, 1996

The Board met on 21 July 1996 at 4 p.m. Mary Dzurinko, Editor, chaired the meeting. Among the attendees were Sally Wambold, Jim Mumm, Jacqui Paul, Joe Thomas, Melody Lembke, Anna Belle Leiserson, Jean Pajerek, Christina Tarr, and Margaret McDonald.

The Editor announced the following columnists for Volume 22:

Acquisitions: Jean Eisenhauer
Automation: Mary Chapman
Mary Dzurinko
Classification: Regina Wallen
Marie Whited
Description and Entry:
Melody Lembke
Rhonda Lawrene
Internet: Pam Perry
Preservation: Chris Anglim
Research & Publications:
Ellen McGrath
Brian Striman
Serials: Jean Pajerek
Serial Issues: Karin Den Bleyker
Joan Liu
Subject Headings: Alva Stone
Volume 22 index: Jean Pajerek

Jean Pajerek will leave as Serials editor with v. 22, #2. Margaret McDonald and Christina Tarr will take over the column. The Classification and Description & Entry editors indicated that they will solicit guest editors for columns during this volume and recruit new editors to take over their columns for v. 23. It was suggested that Diane Hillman might contribute an update on her Metadata column; the Editor will contact her.


Sally asked about TSLL deadlines. Mary explained that, allowing enough time for editing and production, it takes approximately six weeks from receipt of material to mailing to produce an issue. A deadline is fixed to allow enough lead time for late contributions.

The Board discussed the format and print type of the Newsletter. The Editor explained that several difficulties hampered production of a new format during vol. 21. Members have expressed the opinion that
the Newsletter's print type is small. Mary commented that using much larger print size will really up the number of pages in each issue, increasing postage costs. A sample of print sizes for possible use in a new format will be forwarded to the Board. Mary also expressed concern that any software used for a re-formatted Newsletter be commonly available and useful to future editors. It was suggested that WordPerfect 6.1 would be one good choice. The Editors will send the Board a sample, updated Newsletter format before the production of the second issue of vol. 22. Regarding the use of graphics in the Newsletter, discussion centered on the fact that TSLL is so copy intensive that adding additional media would really increase size and cost.

The Board moved forward on making TSLL available on the Web. Martin Wisneski at Washburn University has agreed to be the Webmaster. The Editor will send the June 1996 issue to Martin so that Martin can work on setting up the site. She will also ask Martin about an archives site.

(cont in next column)

The subject of advertisements was again considered. After discussion, it was decided to pursue vendor contributions. Jim Mumm appointed Nancy Paul of the University of Wisconsin to investigate vendor underwriting.

Richard Vaughan of Indiana University was appointed as TS Board member for term 1997-1996.

The meeting adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

Mary Dzurinko

******

In the picture below, Alva Stone, on the left, presents the Renee D. Chapman Award to Melody Lembke.